

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 06-726 (JJF)
)	Civil Action No. 07-357 (JJF)
v.)	
)	CONSOLIDATED CASES
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS)	
CORPORATION, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

**DECLARATION OF THOMAS C. WERNER IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS LG DISPLAY TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS
RESPONSIVE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 98**

OF COUNSEL:

Morgan Chu
Jonathan S. Kagan
Alexander C.D. Giza
Adam Hoffman
Thomas C. Werner
Irell & Manella LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
(310) 277-1010

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
1313 N. Market St.
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation*

Dated: September 5, 2008

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 06-726 (JJF)
)	Civil Action No. 07-357 (JJF)
v.)	
)	CONSOLIDATED CASES
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS)	
CORPORATION, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

**DECLARATION OF THOMAS C. WERNER IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS LG DISPLAY TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS
RESPONSIVE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 98**

I, Thomas C. Werner, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP, counsel of record for defendant Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation and Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc. ("CMO"). I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would testify competently to such facts under oath.

2. I have personally reviewed materials produced by LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc. ("LGD") from *LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.*, 02-6775 CBM (C.D. Cal.) (which represents the consolidation of Civil Actions 02-6775, 03-2886, 03-2866, 03-2884, 03-2885) (the "CPT Action"); and *LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. v. Tatung Co.*, 05-292 JJF (D. Del.) (collectively, the "LGD Prior Litigations"). LGD has produced only the following documents: four expert reports; claim construction

briefing, which CMO produced on July 18, 2008; four letter briefs; and one hearing transcript regarding claim construction in one of the LGD Prior Litigations.

3. Regarding LGD's agreed production of LGD Prior Litigations materials, LGD has not produced: an expert report regarding the validity of one of the LGD Asserted Patents; any deposition transcripts or exhibits thereto; any hearing transcripts except regarding one claim construction hearing; any transcripts or trial testimony; any discovery responses; and documents that LGD continues to withhold pending its continuing review.

4. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of LGD's February 4, 2006 Opening Claim Construction Brief from the CPT Action.

5. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of the May 5, 2005 Claim Construction Order from the CPT Action.

6. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of the CPT Action court's Civil Minutes of September 7, 2007.

7. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of the CPT Action docket as of May 7, 2008.

8. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of the August 15, 2006 redacted version of the August 10, 2006 Motion to Compel Production of Documents filed by the defendant in *Wyeth v. Impax Labs., Inc.*, 248 F.R.D. 169 (D. Del. 2006), D.I. 39.

9. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of CMO's Objections And Responses To LGD's Second Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents, served on June 26, 2008.

10. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of the September 1, 2008 letter from Gaspare J. Bono to Teresa M. Corbin.

11. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy of the August 22, 2008 letter from Cass. W. Christensen to Thomas C. Werner.

12. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy of the August 17, 2006 Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Documents filed by the plaintiff in *Wyeth v. Impax Labs., Inc.*, 248 F.R.D. 169 (D. Del. 2006), D.I. 41.

13. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 24 is a true and correct copy of the July 2, 2008 letter from Lora A. Brzezynski to Thomas C. Werner.

14. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 25 is a true and correct copy of the August 8, 2008 Initial Disclosure Statement regarding U.S. Patent 5,825,449 reexamination proceedings, filed with the PTO by counsel for LGD in this action, on behalf of LGD. This document identifies LGD Prior Litigations materials, including deposition transcripts, trial transcripts, discovery responses, and filings that LGD has failed and/or refused to produce to CMO.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the state of Delaware that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 5, 2008, in Los Angeles, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. Werner', is written over a horizontal line.

Thomas C. Werner

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Philip A. Rovner, hereby certify that on September 5, 2008, the within document was filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following; that the document was served on the following counsel as indicated; and that the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF.

BY CM/ECF, HAND DELIVERY AND E-MAIL

Richard E. Kirk, Esq.
Ashley Blake Stitzer, Esq.
The Bayard Firm
222 Delaware Avenue
Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899
rkirk@bayardfirm.com
astitzer@bayardfirm.com

BY CM/ECF, HAND DELIVERY AND E-MAIL

John W. Shaw, Esq.
Karen L. Pascale, Esq.
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor LLP
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
jshaw@ycst.com
kpascale@ycst.com

I hereby certify that on September 5, 2008 I have sent by E-mail the foregoing document to the following non-registered participants:

Gaspare J. Bono, Esq.
Matthew T. Bailey, Esq.
Lora A. Brzezynski, Esq.
Cass W. Christenson, Esq.
R. Tyler Goodwyn, IV, Esq.
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
gbono@mckennalong.com
mbailey@mckennalong.com
lbrzezynski@mckennalong.com
cchristenson@mckennalong.com
tgoodwyn@mckennalong.com

Vincent K. Yip, Esq.
Peter J. Wied, Esq.
Jay C. Chiu, Esq.
Katherine F. Murray, Esq.
Ms. Denise Esparza
Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP
515 South Flower Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
vincentyip@paulhastings.com
peterwied@paulhastings.com
jaychiu@paulhastings.com
katherinemurray@paulhastings.com
deniseesparza@paulhastings.com

Ron E. Shulman, Esq.
Julie M. Holloway, Esq.
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
rshulman@wsgr.com
jholloway@wsgr.com

M. Craig Tyler, Esq.
Brian D. Range, Esq.
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
8911 Capital of Texas Highway North
Westech 360, Suite 3350
Austin, TX 78759
ctyler@wsgr.com
brange@wsgr.com

/s/ Philip A. Rovner

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
P. O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com

846666

EXHIBIT 15

JEFFREY N. BROWN (CA SBN 105520)
 TERESA A. MACDONALD (CA SBN 217053)
 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 300 South Grand Avenue
 Twenty-Second Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
 Tel: (213) 612-2500
 Fax: (213) 612-2501

ANN A. BYUN (CA SBN 161593)
 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 1701 Market Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 Tel: (215) 963-5000
 Fax: (215) 963-5001

ANTHONY C. ROTH (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 NATHAN W. MCCUTCHEON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
 Washington, DC 20004
 Tel: (202) 739-3000
 Fax: (202) 739-3001

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
 LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TATUNG CO. OF AMERICA,
 TATUNG COMPANY AND
 CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES,
 LTD.,

Defendants.


LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEAN COMPANY LTD.,


Defendant.



Case No. CV 02-6775 CBM
 Case No. CV 03-2866 CBM
 Case No. CV 03-2884 CBM
 Case No. CV 03-2885 CBM
 Case No. CV 03-2886 CBM

**LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.'S
 OPENING CLAIM
 CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

DATE: April 5, 2004
 TIME: 3:00 PM
 PLACE: Courtroom of the Honorable
 Consuelo B. Marshall



140

1 LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,
2 Plaintiff,
3 vs.
4 LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CORP. and
5 LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL INC.,
6 Defendants.

7 LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,
8 Plaintiff,
9 vs.
10 TPV TECHNOLOGY, LTD, and
11 ENVISION PERIPHERALS, INC.,
12 Defendants.

13 LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,
14 Plaintiff,
15 vs.
16 VIEWSONIC CORP.,
17 Defendant.

18
19 ////

20 ////

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LAW OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	1
III. THE SIDE-MOUNTING PATENTS	4
A. Technology Overview	4
B. Side-Mounting Claims	6
1. Liquid Crystal Display Device Claims	7
2. Portable Computer Claims	11
C. Claim Construction Disputes	12
1. The claims that recite a liquid crystal display device are not limited to a portable computer	12
2. The phrases “frame,” “first frame” and “second frame” should be given their plain and ordinary meaning	18
3. The phrases “attachable to a housing” and “fixable to a housing” and “fastening part” should not be loaded down with unrecited details from the specification	19
4. The invention of the side-mounting patents is not limited to the use of screws and holes, thus, the phrases “through” and “passing through” have different meanings	21
5. A typographical error does not render a claim incapable of construction	25
6. The remaining terms proposed by Defendants for construction do not need a construction, but if the Court decides to construe them, LPL’s constructions should be adopted	26
a. Defendants’ stretch to find synonyms for or to define common words frequently does not do justice to the original words	26
b. Defendants’ stretch to define common words frequently loads the words down with unrecited details improperly taken from the specification, or worse, improperly taken from extrinsic evidence	27
IV. THE SEMICONDUCTOR PATENTS	28
A. Overview of Technology	28
1. The Thin Film Transistor	28
2. LCD Applications for TFTs	30
B. ‘737 Patent Claims	32
C. Construction of Disputed Terms in the ‘737 Patent	34
1. “thin-film transistor”	34
2. “source electrode”	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
3. "continuously depositing"	37
4. "high-resistivity semiconductor film" and "low-resistivity semiconductor film"	38
5. "conducting film" and "conducting film containing at least a low-resistivity semiconductor film"	40
6. "oxidizing atmosphere" and "without exposing them to an oxidizing atmosphere"	42
7. "island region"/"island region on said gate electrode"	43
8. "a fourth step for selectively forming a source electrode and drain electrode"	45
9. "contacting a part of the surface of said island region"	46
10. "at least a part of the mask"/ "said source and drain electrodes serving as at least part of the mask"	46
D. '449 Patent Claims	48
E. Construction of Disputed Terms in the '449 Patent.....	50
1. "on"/"formed on"/"disposed on"	50
2. "contact hole is provided through . . . layer"/"provided through"	52
3. "thin film transistor"	53
4. "selectively etching"	54
5. "gate electrode", "source electrode", "drain electrode"	54
6. "gate pad" and "source pad"	56
7. "active layer"	57
8. "common hole"	58
9. "aligned"	59
10. "said second insulating layer having a second contact hole exposing a predetermined portion of said second conductive layer and said first contact hole region"	59
11. "wiring structure"	60
V. CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

3M Innovative Properties Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp., 350 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	19
Arlington Industries, Inc. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc., 345 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	21
Bell & Howell Document Mgmt. Prods. Co. v. Altek Sys., 132 F.3d 701 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	4
Bell Atlantic Network Services, Inc. v. Covad Communications Group, Inc., 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	28
Bell Communications Research, Inc. v. Vitalink Communications Corp., 55 F.3d 615 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	2
Bowers v. Baystate Tech., Inc., 320 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	23, 53
Brainy Ideas, Inc. v. Media Group, 169 F. Supp. 2d 361 (E.D. Pa. 2001)	54
CAE Screenplates Inc. v. Heinrich Fiedler GmbH & Co. KG, 224 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	50, 52
CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp., 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	18
Comark Communications, Inc. v. Harris Corp., 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	2, 15
CSC Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp., 288 F. 3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	2
Dow Chem. Co. v. U.S., 226 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	15
Elektta Instrument S.A. v. O.U.R. Scientific Int'l, Inc., 214 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	54
Elkay Mfg. Co. v. Ebco Mfg. Co., 192 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	3
Helifix Ltd. v. Blok-Lok, Ltd., 208 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	4
Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group Int'l, Inc., 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>IMS Technology, Inc. v. Haas Automation, Inc.</i> , 206 F.3d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	3
<i>Interactive Gift Express, Inc. v. Compuserve Inc.</i> , 256 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	2, 13, 15
<i>Jeneric/Pentron, Inc. v. Dillon Co., Inc.</i> , 205 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	22
<i>Karlin Tech., Inc. v. Surgical Dynamics, Inc.</i> , 177 F.3d 968 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	16
<i>Key Pharms. v. Hercon Lab. Corp.</i> , 161 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	4
<i>Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corp.</i> , 163 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	13
<i>Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Co., Inc.</i> , 2004 WL102849, *7 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	21
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), <i>aff'd</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996)	3
<i>Mycogen Plant Science Inc. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 243 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	3
<i>Northern Telecom Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> , 215 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	3
<i>Omega Eng'g Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	3
<i>Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 182 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	4
<i>Sargent-Welch Scientific Co. v. J/B Indus., Inc.</i> , 496 F.Supp. 972 (N.D. Ill. 1980)	26
<i>Schwing Gmbh v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft</i> , 305 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	18
<i>Texas Digital Systems, Inc. v. Telegenix, Inc.</i> , 308 F.3d 1193 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	2, 13
<i>The Laitram Corp. v. Cambridge Wire Cloth Co.</i> , 863 F.2d 855 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	21
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	2, 3, 4, 6, 23, 60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page(s)

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 112 2 (2003).....2, 13, 22

OTHER AUTHORITIES

1981 Webster's.....37

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. ("LPL") has sued Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.
3 ("CPT"), Tatung Co. and Tatung Company of America (collectively, "Tatung"),
4 Jean Co., Ltd. ("Jean"), Lite-On Technology Corp. and Lite-On Technology
5 International Inc. (collectively, "Lite-On"), TPV Technology, Ltd. and Envision
6 Peripherals, Inc. (collectively, "TPV"), and ViewSonic Corporation ("ViewSonic")
7 (all collectively "Defendants") for patent infringement. Following the parties'
8 Second Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement (hereinafter "JCC"), this is
9 LPL's brief in support of its claim constructions.

10 The patents at issue relate to liquid crystal display ("LCD") devices, which
11 are ubiquitous today in the form of displays in laptop computers, LCD monitors,
12 LCD TVs, and other consumer and commercial products. LPL's patents fall into
13 two categories. Four of the patents relate to a particularly advantageous way of
14 mounting an LCD device in a laptop computer or stand-alone LCD unit (*e.g.*, an
15 LCD monitor) by using the sides of the LCD device so that the front, viewing
16 surface of the LCD device is maximized. For convenience, this first group of
17 patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,373,537 ('537 patent); 6,002,457 ('457 patent);
18 6,020,942 ('942 patent); and 5,926,237 ('237 patent), will be referred to as the
19 "side-mounting patents." The other two patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 4,624,737 ('737
20 patent) and 5,825,449 ('449 patent), relate to the semiconductor structures used in
21 LCD devices and their formation and are referred to as "the semiconductor
22 patents."

23 Copies of the asserted patents can be found in LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.'s
24 Exhibits to the Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement at 2-56 (side mounting
25 patents); 374-83 ('733 patent); and 374-83 ('449 patent). This collection of
26 exhibits will be cited hereinafter as "LPL Exs. at ____."

27 **II. LAW OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION**

28 "It is well-settled that in interpreting an asserted claim, the court should first

1 look to the intrinsic evidence, i.e., the patent itself, including the claims, the
2 specification and, if in evidence, the prosecution history.” *Vitronics Corp. v.*
3 *Conceptronic, Inc.*, 90 F.3d 1576, 1582 (Fed. Cir. 1996). However, all intrinsic
4 evidence is not equal. *See Interactive Gift Express, Inc. v. Compuserve Inc.*, 256
5 F.3d 1323, 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2001). First, the court should focus on the claims
6 themselves, both asserted and unasserted, to define the meaning and scope of the
7 patented invention, because that is the “language that the patentee chose to use to
8 ‘particularly point[] out and distinctly claim[] the subject matter which the patentee
9 regards as his invention.” *Texas Digital Systems, Inc. v. Telegenix, Inc.*, 308 F.3d
10 1193, 1201-02 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (citing 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2 (2003)); *see also*
11 *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1582 (citing *Bell Communications Research, Inc. v. Vitalink*
12 *Communications Corp.*, 55 F.3d 615, 620 (Fed. Cir. 1995)). There is a “heavy
13 presumption” that the ordinary and accustomed meaning of a claim term, as
14 understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, is the correct construction. *CCS*
15 *Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.*, 288 F. 3d 1359, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2002);
16 *Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group Int’l, Inc.*, 222 F.3d 951, 955 (Fed. Cir.
17 2000). Dictionaries, encyclopedias, and treatises, which are extrinsic evidence,
18 may be employed to “assist the court in determining the ordinary and customary
19 meanings of claim terms.” *Texas Digital*, 308 F.3d at 1202 (citations omitted).
20 After examining the claim language the court may consider the remaining intrinsic
21 evidence. *Interactive Gift Express*, 256 F.3d at 1331.

22 Second, the court should review the specification, as claims must always be
23 read in view of the specification, of which the claims are a part. *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d
24 at 1582. A review of the specification will reveal whether or not the inventor has
25 given a term an unconventional meaning. *Id.* However, it is improper to read a
26 limitation into a claim from the specification. *Comark Communications, Inc. v.*
27 *Harris Corp.*, 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998). The inventor may act as his or her
28 own lexicographer and use terms in a manner other than their ordinary meaning, so

1 long as any such specific definition is clearly stated in the patent specification or
2 prosecution history. *Mycogen Plant Science Inc. v. Monsanto Co.*, 243 F.3d 1316,
3 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1582. Therefore, for claim
4 construction purposes, the specification is “the single best guide to the meaning of a
5 disputed term.” *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1582; *Markman v. Westview Instruments*,
6 *Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 979 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), *aff’d*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996).

7 Third, the court may also consider the prosecution history of the patent.
8 *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1582. The prosecution history is significant in understanding
9 the claims because it reveals “the course of dealing with the Patent Office, which
10 may show a particular meaning attached to the terms, or a position taken by an
11 applicant” to secure the patent. *Markman*, 52 F.3d at 991. As such, the prosecution
12 history may be reviewed to assess whether a patentee “relinquished [a] potential
13 claim construction in an amendment to the claim or in an argument to overcome or
14 distinguish a [prior art] reference.” *Elkay Mfg. Co. v. Ebco Mfg. Co.*, 192 F.3d 973,
15 979 (Fed. Cir. 1999), *cert. denied*, 529 U.S. 1066 (2000). However, for subject
16 matter to be held relinquished, a court must find that the patentee disclaimed the
17 subject matter with “reasonable clarity and deliberateness.” *Northern Telecom Ltd.*
18 *v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, 215 F.3d 1281, 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2000). In
19 particular, subject matter should not be held relinquished by statements merely
20 emphasizing “improvement over the prior art” or “explaining the pertinency” of
21 prior art references without expressly disclaiming subject matter. *IMS Technology*,
22 *Inc. v. Haas Automation, Inc.*, 206 F.3d 1422, 1434, 1439 (Fed. Cir. 2000), *cert.*
23 *denied*, 529 U.S. 1066 (2000). Statement made during patent prosecution disclaim
24 ordinary meaning of a disputed term only of the disclaimer is “clear and
25 unmistakable.” *Omega Eng’g Inc. v. Raytek Corp.*, 334 F.3d 1314, 1325-26 (Fed.
26 Cir. 2003).

27 Finally, if, and only if, a claim term remains “genuinely ambiguous” despite
28 the full consideration of the intrinsic evidence, then a court may look toward

1 extrinsic evidence to interpret the claim term itself. *Bell & Howell Document*
 2 *Mgmt. Prods. Co. v. Altek Sys.*, 132 F.3d 701, 706 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Vitronics*, 90
 3 F.3d at 1583. However, the need for such a departure from the intrinsic evidence
 4 “rarely, if ever, occur[s].” *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1585. Accordingly, the step of
 5 attempting to interpret a claim term by resorting to extrinsic evidence is rarely
 6 encountered and should not be attempted unless the meaning of the claim term at
 7 issue is not apparent from the totality of the intrinsic evidence. *See Helifix Ltd. v.*
 8 *Blok-Lok, Ltd.*, 208 F.3d 1339, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2000); *Key Pharms. v. Hercon Lab.*
 9 *Corp.*, 161 F.3d 709, 716 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Note, however, that while using
 10 extrinsic evidence to construe the language of the claim is strongly discouraged, it
 11 is otherwise permissible solely for the purpose of assisting the court in
 12 understanding the underlying technology. *See Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-*
 13 *Packard Co.*, 182 F.3d 1298, 1309 (Fed. Cir. 1999) (“[C]onsultation of extrinsic
 14 evidence is particularly appropriate to ensure that [a judge’s] understanding of the
 15 technical aspects of the patent is not entirely at variance with the understanding of
 16 one skilled in the art.”); *see also Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1585 (“Had the district court
 17 relied on the expert testimony and other extrinsic evidence solely to help it
 18 understand the underlying technology, we could not say the district court was in
 19 error.”).

20 **III. THE SIDE-MOUNTING PATENTS**

21 **A. Technology Overview**

22 Liquid crystal displays, or LCDs, are now commonly used as displays in
 23 desktop computer monitors, laptop computers, view finders in video cameras,
 24 navigation screens in automobiles, flat panel televisions and mobile


25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

FIG. 7



communications systems. An example of an LCD module is shown in Figure 7, item 700, of LPL's '457 Patent (reproduced below). LPL Exs. at 37. This LCD module is installed in the computer or monitor and then used to display the visual information.

Before LPL's side-mounting invention, companies mounted the LCD module in the computer or monitor using screws through the front of the module as shown in prior art Figure 5 of LPL's '457 patent (reproduced below):



FIG. 5
PRIOR ART

FIG. 9



The screws 43 were inserted into holes 41 in the front of the LCD module and attached the module to the computer as shown in Figure 5. LPL Exs. at 39 (col. 2, ll. 24-26). Because the screws were inserted through the front of the module, space had to be provided on the front of the module for receiving the screws, and the module had to be thick enough to support the mounting screws. *Id.* (col. 1, l. 66

1 – col. 2, l. 4). This method resulted in the viewing area (generally shown as area 20
2 in Figure 5) of the display being smaller than desired and in making the computer
3 monitors thick and heavy.

4 LPL's innovation, shown in Figures 7 and 9 (reproduced above), addressed
5 these limitations. As shown in Figure 7, the screws 430 securing the LCD device
6 700 to rear case 500 are inserted through the side of the case and the device (hence,
7 the commonly used phrase "side-mounting" patents). LPL Exs. at 40 (col. 4, ll. 55-
8 65). The border at the front of the module can be much thinner using this approach,
9 and the viewing area (shown generally as 300) is much larger than in the prior art
10 technique. The effect of LPL's innovative design, as implemented in a computer,
11 can be seen by reference to Figure 9. The mounting screws 430 are located on the
12 side, thus, the front viewing area is maximized in Figure 9.

13 LPL's innovative side-mounting technology revolutionized the way in which
14 LCD modules were mounted in LCD devices. After LPL introduced its design,
15 most of LPL's competitors in the market, including Defendants, adopted the
16 patented feature and designed or implemented side-mounting LCD modules.

17 **B. Side-Mounting Claims**

18 There are four side-mounting patents in suit: the '237 patent, the '457 patent,
19 the '942 patent and the '537 patent. These four patents share the same specification
20 and the same figures, but claim different aspects of the invention. For example, the
21 '237 patent includes method claims (*i.e.* a method of making or forming liquid
22 crystal display devices or portable computers); the '457 patent includes apparatus
23 claims for liquid crystal display devices; the '942 patent includes apparatus claims
24 for only portable computers; and the '537 patent includes apparatus claims for both
25 liquid crystal display devices and portable computers.

26 The claims of the side-mounting patents fall into two main categories: (1)
27 claims directed to a "liquid crystal display device" and (2) claims directed to a
28 "portable computer." Disputed terms often appear in multiple patent claims; so for

1 simplicity, the parties presented their disputes in the JCC on a term-by-term basis
2 rather than on a claim-by-claim basis. Nonetheless, for illustration purposes, LPL
3 presents two sample claims below -- a liquid crystal display device claim and a
4 portable computer claim -- to illustrate the nature of the side-mounting claims.

5 **1. Liquid Crystal Display Device Claims**

6 Claim 37 of the '457 patent recites a liquid crystal display device.

7 ////

8 ////

9 ////

10 ////

11 ////

12 ////

13 ////

14 ////

15 ////

16 ////

17 ////

18 ////

19 ////

20 ////

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

A liquid crystal display device comprising:

a first frame;

a reflector unit adjacent to the first frame;

a light guide unit adjacent to the reflector unit;

a liquid crystal panel adjacent to the light guide unit; and

a second frame having a fastening part at at least one side edge of the second frame,

wherein the reflector unit, the light guide unit and the liquid crystal panel are between the first and second frames,

the second frame attachable to a housing through the side edge of the second frame.

Fig. 6





Fig. 7



1 The claimed device is a sandwich-type structure where the components are
2 held between two support members, or frames (190 and 400). LPL Exs. at 40 (col.
3 4, ll. 38-54). The assembly and mounting of various components of a liquid crystal
4 display device is a complicated and delicate process that requires engineering
5 acumen. Various components of the LCD device, together with the delicate liquid
6 crystal panel must be combined to ensure that the display works properly. For
7 example, a light source 110, frequently a fluorescent bulb, generates light. LPL
8 Exs. at 39 (col. 1, ll. 21-22 and 36-38). Light guide unit 130 guides the light across
9 the device. *Id.* at ll. 43-45. Since viewing occurs through the front of the device
10 (top of Figure 6), any light directed in other directions would be wasted; therefore,
11 a reflector unit 140 is provided to direct light toward the front of the device. *Id.* at
12 ll. 24-26. In operation, the light from light source 110 is directed across the device
13 by light guide unit 130, and reflected forward toward the liquid crystal panel 300 by
14 reflector unit 140. The liquid crystal panel 300 obscures or passes light on a dot-
15 by-dot basis to create an image. *Id.* at ll. 18-50. The dots are often referred to as
16 picture elements or "pixels."

17 Of particular importance to the functioning of the device is liquid crystal
18 panel 300. Liquid crystals, as the name implies, are liquid but still tend to take on
19 an ordered, crystalline structure. That crystalline structure can affect the
20 polarization (or direction of) light that passes through it, but more important and as
21 described above, the effect can be controlled by application of an electric field.
22 Properly constructed, many pixels can be independently controlled by applying a
23 desired electric field to each pixel. Data, including text and graphics, are displayed
24 in that manner. In a liquid crystal display, all the data shown is made up of tiny
25 dots that together create an image. *Id.* (col. 1, ll. 43-50).

26 The elements recited in claim 37 are words that are well-known and
27 understood in the field of LCDs or words that have a plain and ordinary meaning.
28 For example, claim 37 recites a first frame and a "reflector unit" adjacent to the first

1 frame. The parties agree that the definition of a “reflector unit” is a member that
 2 reflects light. JCC at 10. Claim 37 then recites that a “light source” is adjacent to
 3 the reflector unit, that a “light guide unit” is adjacent to the light source and that a
 4 “liquid crystal panel” is adjacent to the light guide unit. The parties also agree that
 5 the definition of a “light source” is an element that provides light for the display
 6 and that a “light guide unit” is a member that guides light. JCC at 9. Claim 37 then
 7 recites that “a second frame having a fastening part at least one side edge of the
 8 second frame, wherein the reflector unit, light source, the light guide unit and the
 9 liquid crystal panel are between the first and second frames.” Claim 37 then recites
 10 that the second frame is “attachable to a housing through the side edge of the
 11 second frame.”

12 Claim 37 describes that the various components of the liquid crystal display
 13 device are sandwiched between the first and second frames and that the second
 14 frame has a “fastening part” at the side edge of the second frame. This language
 15 corresponds to the holes 410b in frame 400. LPL Exs. at 40 (col. 4, ll. 52-54). The
 16 claim also describes the second frame “as attachable to a housing through the side
 17 edge of the second frame.” Notice in Figures 6 and 7 how screws 430 engage holes
 18 410c in rear case 500 (Fig. 7) and then engage holes 410b in frame 400 (Fig. 6).
 19 LPL Exs. at 36, 37 and 40 (col. 4, ll. 55-65). The LCD device is thus attached to a
 20 housing.

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

2. Portable Computer Claims

Claim 4 of the '942 patent is exemplary of the portable computer claims in the side-mounting patents.

A portable computer comprising:

a liquid crystal display device comprising:

a first frame;

a reflector unit adjacent to the first frame;

a light source adjacent to the reflector unit;

a light guide unit adjacent to the light source;

a liquid crystal panel adjacent to the light guide unit; and

a second frame having a first fastening part at a first side edge,

wherein the reflector unit, the light source, the light guide unit and the liquid crystal panel are between the first and second frames,

a body having an input device;

a cover joined with the body and having a second fastening part at a second side edge; and

a fastening unit joining together the liquid crystal display and the cover through the first and second fastening part of the second frame and the cover, respectively.

Fig. 6





Fig. 9



Claim 4 recites a portable computer including a liquid crystal display device having the components previously discussed (*i.e.* a first frame, a reflector unit, a light source, a light guide unit, a liquid crystal panel and second frame) in a

sandwich-type configuration. The second frame has a first fastening part, corresponding to the holes 410b in frame 400 (Fig. 6). LPL Exs. at 23 and 27 (col. 4, ll. 51-54). Claim 4 then recites a “body” having an input device. The parties agree that a “body” means the portion of the portable computer that contains the input device. JCC at 7. Claim 4 recites that a “cover,” joined with the body, has a second fastening part. Finally, claim 4 recites a fastening unit (screw 430 in the embodiment of Figs. 6 and 9) “joining together the liquid crystal display and the cover through the first and second fastening parts of the second frame and the cover, respectively.”

C. Claim Construction Disputes

The parties have outlined their disputes in the JCC. In addressing the disputes, LPL has grouped them according to common issues.

1. The claims that recite a liquid crystal display device are not limited to a portable computer.

The side-mounting patents contain two types of claims: (1) liquid crystal display device claims and (2) portable computer claims. LPL proposes that claims that recite a “liquid crystal display device” apply generally to displays and claims that recite a “portable computer” are limited to portable computers. This is in accord with the plain language of the claims. Defendants, on the other hand, propose that all claims of the side-mounting patents – regardless of whether they recite “liquid crystal device” or “portable computer” – are limited to portable computers. Thus, under Defendants’ proposed construction, even if the claim does not contain the words “portable computer,” Defendants would read that limitation into the claims. This is simply wrong and violates the first rule of claim construction.

It is well-settled that the Court must first look to the claim terms themselves, both asserted and unasserted, to define the meaning and scope of the patented invention, because the claim is the “language that the patentee chose to use to

1 'particularly point[] out and distinctly claim[] the subject matter which the patentee
2 regards as his invention.' 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2." *Texas Digital Systems, Inc. v.*
3 *Telegenix, Inc.*, 308 F.3d 1193, 1201-02 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (citing *Interactive Gift*
4 *Express.*, 256 F.3d at 1331). "[I]t is the claims, not the written description, [that]
5 define the scope of the patent right." *Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corp.*, 163 F.3d 1342,
6 1347 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (emphasis added).

7 In the side-mounting patents, when the inventors wanted to claim generally,
8 they used the words "liquid crystal display device." When the inventors wanted to
9 limit their invention to something "portable" or to a "portable computer," the
10 inventors specifically used those words. When the inventors purposely did not use
11 the words "portable computer," it is inappropriate for the Court to insert them after
12 the fact. *Interactive Gift Express*, 256 F.3d at 1341 ("Although the preferred
13 embodiment [has the features], these features are not recited in the independent
14 claims and . . . [the Court is] not at liberty to read them into the claims.").

15 The specification confirms LPL's construction in that the specification
16 illustrates both a "liquid crystal display device" and a "portable computer." For
17 example, Figure 7 of the '457 patent is described as a perspective view of the
18 assembly structure of the liquid crystal display device and Figure 9 is described as
19 an assembly structure of the liquid crystal display device and portable computer.
20 LPL Exs. 37, 38, and 40 (col. 4, ll. 15-24).

21 In addition, the prosecution history further supports LPL's proposed
22 construction. From the day the original patent application for the side-mounting
23 invention was filed in the United States Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO"), both
24 types of claims were presented for patenting. Compare originally-submitted claims
25 1 and 10 (liquid crystal display device) to originally-submitted claim 6 (portable
26 computer). LPL Exs. at 236 and 238-39, and 237, respectively. The liquid crystal
27 display device claims have no language therein suggesting that they are limited to a
28 portable computer.

Defendants' improper attempt to narrow all the claims to portable computers can be seen in their effort to add the word "portable" to every aspect of the side-mounting patents, as shown in the following chart:

Claim Term	LPL's Construction	Defendants' Construction (emphasis added)
liquid crystal display device JCC at 43.	a type of display that generates an image by directing light through an array of liquid crystal pixels, where the amount of light effused by each pixel is controlled via an electric field varying the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules contained within the pixel	a <u>portable</u> display that generates an image in response to an input from a <u>portable computer</u> by directing light through an array of liquid crystal pixels, where the amount of light effused by each pixel is controlled via an electric field varying the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules contained within the pixel
housing JCC at 36. outer casing JCC at 58.	a member that contains the frame(s)	the exterior cover or exterior case of a <u>portable computer</u>
liquid crystal panel JCC at 47.	liquid crystals encapsulated between substrates	an array of liquid crystals of a size and weight suitable for use in a <u>portable computer</u>

Defendants' improper rewriting of the claims to add "portable computers" is further illustrated by the dispute over "housing" and "outer casing." Exemplary claim 37 of the '457 patent (reproduced above) uses the word "housing."¹ LPL's definition of "housing" is a member that contains the frame(s). JCC at 36.² LPL

¹ This section will focus on the term "housing," although other claims use the words "outer casing" in a similar way and should be given the same definition.

² Some claims recite a single frame while others recite two frames, *e.g.*, claim 33 of the '457 patent (one frame) and claim 37 of '457 patent (two frames). LPL (continued).

1 proposes that the term “housing” is used in its plain and ordinary way and is
2 certainly not limited to a portable computer. The specification of the ‘457 patent
3 describes frames 190 and 400. LPL Exs. at 40 (col. 4, 11. 38-42 and 47-51). In
4 Figure 7 of the ‘457 patent, reproduced above, notice that rear case 500 contains the
5 frames 190 and 400. *Id.* Therefore, LPL’s definition is consistent with the
6 specification. The dictionary definition relied upon by LPL confirms that
7 “housing” is a broad, generic term (“something that covers, protects, or supports”).
8 JCC at 40; LPL Exs. at 229. Also notice that even the dictionary upon which
9 Defendants rely has a similarly broad definition of housing—“anything that covers
10 or protects.” JCC at 36. LPL’s construction recognizes the broad nature of the
11 term “housing,” it just has to contain the recited frame(s).

12 Yet, Defendants’ proposed construction of the term “housing” limits this
13 obviously broad term to a “portable computer.” *Id.* In Defendants’ view, a housing
14 must be the “exterior cover or exterior case of a portable computer.” There is no
15 support for that unduly narrow definition of “housing,” and again, it violates the
16 rule of claim construction that details of the preferred embodiment are not to be
17 imported into the claims unless the claims themselves require the element.
18 *Interactive Gift Express*, 256 F.3d at 1341; *Dow Chem. Co. v. U.S.*, 226 F.3d 1334,
19 1341-42 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (“We note also that as a general rule claims of a patent are
20 not limited to the preferred embodiment, [citations omitted], or to examples listed
21 within the patent specification.”).

22 Defendants’ insertion of the words “portable computer” into the construction
23 of housing also violates another venerable rule of claim construction called claim
24 differentiation. The doctrine of claim differentiation presumes that each claim in a
25 patent is presumptively different in meaning and scope. *Comark Communications,*
26 *Inc. v. Harris Corp.*, 156 F.3d 1182, 1187 (Fed. Cir. 1998). According to the
27
28 Exs. at 42. That is why LPL’s definition allows frame(s).

1 Federal Circuit, the doctrine of claim differentiation “is ultimately based on the
2 common sense notion that different words or phrases used in different claims are
3 presumed to indicate that the claims have different meaning and scope,” and
4 therefore, “normally means that limitations stated in dependent claims are not to be
5 read into the independent claims from which they depend.” *Karlin Tech., Inc. v.*
6 *Surgical Dynamics, Inc.*, 177 F.3d 968, 971-72 (Fed. Cir. 1999) (emphasis added).
7 If a proposed claim interpretation would result in one claim having the same scope
8 and meaning as another claim, it is presumptively unreasonable.

9 Claim 37 of the ‘457 patent uses the word “housing.” Claim 40, dependent
10 on claim 37, requires that “the housing includes a portable computer.” LPL Exs. at
11 42. Thus, the doctrine of claim differentiation counsels that “housing” from claim
12 37 is not limited to a portable computer as recited in claim 40. Adding the words
13 “portable computer” to claim 37 would render claim 40 superfluous because the
14 claims would have the same scope. Such a construction is not correct.
15 *Beachcombers, Int’l, Inc. v. WildeWood Creative Prods., Inc.*, 31 F.3d 1154, 1162
16 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (stating that construction of one claim rendering another claim
17 superfluous is “presumptively unreasonable.”) The situation with claims 37 and 40
18 of the ‘457 patent is not anomalous. Most liquid crystal display device independent
19 claims have a dependent claim about a portable computer. *See e.g.*, claims 1 and 4;
20 9 and 12; and 33 and 36 of the ‘457 patent; and claims 25 and 28; and 35 and 38 of
21 the ‘237 patent. LPL Exs. at 41, 42, and 55, respectively. It could not be clearer
22 that the independent liquid crystal display device claims were never intended to be
23 limited to a portable computer.

24 Moreover, during the course of examination, the Examiner cited against the
25 liquid crystal device claims many references that do not describe a portable
26 computer. *See e.g.*, Figs. 1-3 of Ida, U.S. Patent No. 5,666,172; Figs. 1-6 of
27 Nakayama, U.S. Patent No. 5,654,779; Figs. 1-4 of Bosnall, U.S. Patent No.
28 5,636,101; Figs. 23-36 of Sasuga, U.S. Patent No. 5,680,183; Figs. 2-4 of Ashitomi,

1 U.S. Patent No. 5,216,411. LPL's Exs. at 246-47, 262-66, 277-80, 102-05, and
2 133-35, respectively. Each of these references is listed in the "References Cited"
3 portion of the patents. *See e.g.*, LPL Exs. at 31. In fact, Ashitomi discloses an
4 indicator panel for an electronic apparatus, such as a video tape recorder, which is
5 wholly unrelated to a portable computer. LPL Exs. 133 and 141 (col. 1, ll. 7-11).
6 Thus, the Examiner did not understand the terms "housing" and "outer casing" to
7 be limited to part of a portable computer.

8 Turning finally to the construction of "liquid crystal panel," Defendants again
9 improperly insert "portable computer" into the definition. JCC at 47. Liquid
10 crystal panel is a term of art used throughout the industry. This term is used in both
11 the portable computer claims and the liquid crystal display device claims. LPL's
12 definition of "liquid crystal panel" is "liquid crystals encapsulated between
13 substrates." *Id.* Liquid crystals, as their names implies, are liquid. They have to be
14 held between substrates, as is well-known and described, for example, in Tsukada,
15 "TFT/LCD – Liquid Crystal Displays Addressed by Thin-Film Transistors," 29
16 Japanese Technology Reviews (1996) ("Liquid crystal is encapsulated between two
17 glass substrates . . ."). LPL Exs. at 297-99.

18 Defendants' construction ignores the liquid nature of liquid crystals, which
19 require substrates to hold their panel shape. JCC at 47. Defendants argue that the
20 definition for "liquid crystal panel" should be "an array of liquid crystals of size
21 and weight suitable for use in a portable computer." *Id.* Without a structure to
22 enclose the liquid crystals, they would flow away and there would be no liquid
23 crystal display panel. Again, Defendants attempt to improperly narrow this term by
24 limiting it to a portable computer, this time, by imposing a size and weight
25 limitation on the liquid crystals. Such limitations are not found anywhere in the
26 intrinsic evidence – there are no indications of size and weight requirements for
27 liquid crystals in the claim language, the specification or the prosecution history.

28 ////

1 Accordingly, LPL's constructions of the terms "liquid crystal display
2 device," "liquid crystal panel," "housing," and "outer casing" should be adopted.

3 **2. The phrases "frame," "first frame" and "second frame"**
4 **should be given their plain and ordinary meaning.**

5 The term "frame" is used in the claims in its plain and ordinary way. LPL
6 proposes that the term "frame" means "a support structure." JCC at 31. Thus, a
7 "first frame" is a frame and a "second frame" is a frame other than the first frame.
8 JCC at 33 and 35, respectively. LPL's construction is in accord with the well
9 established patent parlance, common sense and Federal Circuit precedents.
10 Defendants propose a complex definition that incorporates words that are not found
11 in the claim language. Defendants argue that the term "frame" means "an open
12 structure or rim for encasing, holding or bordering that encloses a substantial
13 portion of each side edge of another structure." JCC at 31. Defendants further
14 argue that the term "first frame" means "the inner frame which together with the
15 second frame hold the parts of the liquid crystal display module together," and that
16 the term "second frame" means "the outer frame which together with the first frame
17 holds the parts of the liquid crystal display module together." Defendants'
18 definitions are simply wrong.

19 There is a heavy presumption that the ordinary and accustomed meaning of a
20 claim term, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, is the correct
21 construction. *CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.*, 288 F.3d 1359, 1366 (Fed. Cir.
22 2002). Where a claim uses clear structural language, it is generally improper to
23 interpret it as having functional requirements. *Schwing GmbH v. Putzmeister*
24 *Aktiengesellschaft*, 305 F.3d 1318, 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2002) ("An invention claimed in
25 purely structural terms generally resists functional limitations"). The claim
26 limitations simply recite structural words: a "frame," a "first frame" or a "second
27 frame." These words should be given their plain and ordinary meaning. The
28 dictionary definition states that a frame is "a structure that gives shape or support."

1 JCC at 31; LG.Phillips LCD Co., Ltd.'s Exhibits to the Second Revised Joint Claim
2 Construction Statement at 3.

3 Defendants' attempt to add structural and functional limitations to simple
4 claim terms should be rejected. A frame does not have to be an open structure or a
5 rim. In addition, there is no requirement that the frame must be for encasing,
6 holding or bordering that encloses a substantial portion of each side edge of another
7 structure. These are words and limitations inserted by Defendants in order to
8 narrow the claim terms. There is no support for Defendants' construction of a
9 "frame."

10 In addition, Defendants' attempt to add yet more limitations to "first frame"
11 and second frame" should equally be rejected. As every patent practitioner knows,
12 and confirmed by the Federal Circuit, the use of words such as "'first' and 'second'"
13 is common patent-law convention [used] to distinguish between repeated instances
14 of element or limitation." *3M Innovative Properties Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp.*,
15 350 F.3d 1365, 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Defendants are attempting to rewrite the
16 claims by arguing that the "first frame" is the inner frame and that the "second
17 frame" is the outer frame. The words "inner" and "outer" do not appear in the
18 claims. The inventors could have used those words, if they wanted to claim inner or
19 outer frames. Instead, the inventors used first and second frames to designate that
20 these are two separate frames and did nothing more to limit the frames in any way.³

21 **3. The phrases "attachable to a housing" and "fixable to a**
22 **housing" and "fastening part" should not be loaded down**
23 **with unrecited details from the specification.**

24 Exemplary claim 37 of the '457 patent, discussed above in the general
25 explanation of the side-mounting patents, contains the limitation "the second frame
26 attachable to a housing through the side edge of the second frame." Other claims

27 ³ Defendants' position is further suspect in light of Defendants' definitions
28 for other terms that use the words "first" and "second." For example, Defendants
agree that the term "first hole" is a first opening or cavity and "second hole" is an
opening or cavity other than the first hole. JCC at 8.

1 contain the similar language “fixable to a housing.” *See, e.g.*, claims 5 and 9 of the
2 ‘457 patent. LPL Exs. at 41.

3 Referring back to claim 37 of the ‘457 patent, the “attachable” limitation
4 corresponds to the display device 700 having screws holes 410a and 410b that
5 allow the display device to be attached to the rear case 500, as shown in Figures 6
6 and 7. LPL proposes to interpret the language “attachable to a housing” and
7 “fixable to a housing” to means it contains an element provided to fix or attach to a
8 housing.” JCC at 12. The display device 700 is attachable (or fixable) to a housing
9 because it has holes 410a and 410b provided to allow attachment to rear case 500 as
10 shown in Figs. 6 and 7. LPL Exs. at 36, 37, and 40 (col. 4, ll. 55-65).

11 Defendants’ proposed definition for these claim terms first takes the entire
12 phrase, rather than the disputed terms, and states that “the second frame contains an
13 element provided to fix or attach directly to the side of the housing through the side
14 edge of the second frame.” JCC at 12. Defendants’ approach should be rejected
15 because it is cumbersome and unwarranted. Given that the terms and phrases used
16 in the claims will have the same meaning throughout the patents, this approach does
17 not make sense.

18 More problematic is Defendants’ proposed definition for this phrase. Again,
19 Defendants continue their mission of adding words that do not appear in the claims.
20 Defendants have simply added the word “directly” to the claim. Nothing in the
21 Defendants’ cited evidence indicates that the words “attachable” or “fixable” mean
22 “directly” fixed or attached. Interestingly, the word “directly” does not even appear
23 in any of the dictionary definitions cited by defendants. There is no reason to add a
24 limitation to the claim that is not there. *Biovail Corp. Int’l v. Andrx Pharm., Inc.*,
25 239 F.3d 1297, 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (“As a general proposition, a limitation that
26 does not exist in a claim should not be read into that claim.”). The Federal Circuit
27 has warned time and time again not to read limitations into the claims. *See*
28 *Arlington Industries, Inc. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc.*, 345 F.3d 1318, 1327 (Fed.

1 Cir. 2003); *Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Co., Inc.*, -- F.3d --, Nos. 03-1146, -
2 1147, -1208, 2004 WL102849, *7 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 2004). Defendants' attempt to do
3 so should be rejected by the Court.

4 Defendants add the word "directly" to other claim terms as well. LPL
5 proposes that the term "fastening part" (and other equivalents, such as "fastening
6 unit" and "fastening member") is used in the claims to indicate parts that are used in
7 performing the fastening function. Thus, LPL proposes that the term "fastening
8 part" means a part that plays a role in fastening. Defendants, once again, try to add
9 limitations that are simply not found in the claim language. Defendants argue that
10 the term "fastening part" means "as defined in the specification, such as screws,
11 screw holes, mounting holes, hook, and adhesives which directly connect the side
12 of the liquid crystal display module to the side of the outer casing." JCC at 29-30.

13 Defendants' argument should be rejected for two reasons. First, the term
14 "fastening part" is not limited to the specific examples set forth in the specification.
15 *Laitram Corp. v. Cambridge Wire Cloth Co.*, 863 F.2d 855, 865 (Fed. Cir. 1988)
16 ("References to a preferred embodiment, such as those often present in a
17 specification, are not claim limitations.") Second, Defendants again improperly add
18 the word "directly" to the definition of a simple term, like "fastening part." There
19 is no requirement that the fastening part must "directly connect" the elements.
20 Defendants should not be allowed to add limitations to the claims that are not
21 present.

22 For all these reasons, LPL requests that the Court accept LPL's proposed
23 constructions that "attachable to a housing" and "fixable to a housing" mean
24 "contains an element provided to fix or attach to a housing" and that the term
25 "fastening part" means a part that plays a role in fastening.

26 **4. The invention of the side-mounting patents is not limited to**
27 **the use of screws and holes, thus, the phrases "through" and**
28 **"passing through" have different meanings.**

1 The parties have a dispute over the phrases “through” and “passing through.”
2 LPL proposes that, as used in the claims, “through” means “by way of”; and
3 “passing through” means “extending into.” JCC at 74 and 62, respectively. LPL’s
4 constructions are based on the fact that the side-mounting invention contemplates
5 various types of fastening devices, including screws, holes, adhesive materials,
6 hooks and other fastening parts. LPL Exs. at 40-41 (col. 4, 1. 66-col. 5, 1. 9).
7 Defendants argue that these terms are the same: “through” means “in at one end,
8 side or surface and out the other”; and “passing through” means “moving past or
9 making way in one side and out the other side of.” JCC at 74 and 62, respectively.
10 Defendants’ definition does not make sense because, for example, one cannot have
11 an adhesive tape that goes in at one side and out the other.

12 First, the claims of the side-mounting patents make it perfectly clear that
13 “through” and “passing through” mean different things. Claim 37 of the ‘457
14 patent recites that “the second frame attachable to a housing through the side edge
15 of the second frame” (emphasis added). LPL’s construction of the term “through”
16 is “by way of.” JCC at 74. The dictionary confirms this definition: through – “By
17 way of;” “By the means or agency of.” JCC at 75; LPL Exs. at 235. Moreover, the
18 dependent claims in the side-mounting patents repeatedly confirm that LPL’s
19 proposed definition of “through” is the correct one. Consider dependent claim 39
20 of the ‘457 patent, dependent on independent claim 37, which has been used as an
21 exemplary claim throughout this brief. A dependent claim incorporates every
22 limitation in the independent claim it references, then adds one or more additional
23 limitations. 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶4; *Jeneric/Pentron, Inc. v. Dillon Co., Inc.*, 205 F.3d
24 1377, 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (“[A] dependent claim, by nature, incorporates all the
25 limitations of the claim to which it refers.”). Claim 39 states as follows: “The
26 liquid crystal display device according to claim 37, wherein the fastening part
27 includes an adhesive material.” LPL Exs. at 42. The language of claim 39 means
28 that “through the side edge” in claim 37 is broad enough to cover use of an

1 adhesive material. And that is certainly the case if “through” means “by way of” as
2 LPL proposes.

3 Although the preferred embodiment shown in the drawings uses screws
4 engaging holes to connect the components, the patent contemplates other methods
5 of attaching the components, such as support frame 400 and rear case 500 (again
6 referencing Fig. 7, annotated above). In the ‘457 patent specification, at column 4,
7 line 66 – column 5, line 9, the patent states:

8 In another embodiment, in order to join the second
9 support frame 400 and the rear case 500, an adhesive
10 device, such as double-sided tape can be used instead of
11 the second and third screw holes 410b and 410c. This
example has the added advantage in that no screws are
needed which makes the manufacturing method easy.

12 In a further embodiment, the rear case 500 and the
13 second support frame 400 are jointed to each other using
14 hooks and/or other suitable fastening devices including
adhesives formed at the inner sides of the rear case 500.
This embodiment also does not need fastening devices
such as screws 430.

15 LPL Exs. at 40-41.

16 Defendants propose a much more narrow definition of “through,” namely,
17 “in at one end, side, or surface and out the other.” JCC at 74. This construction
18 limits claim 37 to a screw type of fastener (a screw is shown in Figs. 6 and 7 of the
19 patent). However, Defendants’ proposed construction is so narrow that it does not
20 even cover the adhesive material embodiment claimed in claim 39. Adhesive
21 material does not pass in and out of one side edge of the frame. Therefore, the
22 definition proposed by Defendants would not cover a disclosed and claimed
23 embodiment. Such a construction is “rarely, if ever, correct.” *Bowers v. Baystate*
24 *Tech., Inc.*, 320 F.3d 1317, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (quoting *Vitronics Corp. v.*
25 *Conceptronic, Inc.*, 90 F.3d 1576, 1583 (Fed. Cir. 1996)). LPL’s proposed
26 definition of “through” covers both screws and screw holes on the one hand, and
27 adhesive material on the other hand. It is the better definition, and LPL requests
28 that the Court interpret “through” as “by way of” in the side-mounting patents.

1 Additionally, Defendants have proposed interpretations of additional phrases
2 using the word “through.” JCC at 77-78 and 80-81 (*i.e.* “through the side edge”
3 and “through the sides of the first frame, the second frame, and the outer casing”).
4 These phrases are just combinations of terms already being construed. The word
5 “through” should be used in the same way, and it should mean the same thing, in all
6 instances. Moreover, Defendants’ interpretations improperly incorporate into these
7 simple phrases details from the specification that appear nowhere in the claim. For
8 example, in interpreting the phrase “through the sides of the first frame, the second
9 frame, and the outer casing,” Defendants require that “a single fastening part
10 secures the first and second frames and the outer casing together by passing into
11 and out of a side of the outer casing and into and out of the sides of the first and
12 second frames.” JCC at 80-81. (emphasis added). This interpretation potentially
13 contradicts the adhesive embodiment where two adhesives (*e.g.*, pieces of tape)
14 would be used as described in the quote from the ‘457 patent, above. This is yet
15 another attempt by Defendants’ to limit the claims to one embodiment in the
16 specification while excluding another, clearly claimed embodiment. Such
17 constructions cannot be correct.

18 In contrast, the phrase “passing through” is used only in reference to screws
19 and screw holes. The parties have a dispute concerning the term “passing through,”
20 as used, for example, in claim 48 of the ‘457 patent (also ultimately dependent on
21 claim 37 that has been discussed as exemplary throughout this brief). Claim 48
22 states: “The liquid crystal display device according to claim 47 [claim 47 is
23 dependent on claim 37], wherein the fastening part includes a screw passing
24 through at least one hole.” LPL Exs. at 42.

25 LPL’s construction of the phrase “passing through” is “extending into.” JCC
26 at 62. As can be seen from Figures 6 and 7 of the ‘457 patent, screws 430 extend
27 into holes 410a, 410b and 410c. LPL Exs. at 36-37. Defendants propose a very
28 narrow construction of “passing through” that requires “moving past or making

1 way in one side and out the other side of.” JCC at 62. However, by their definition,
2 Defendants attempt to exclude the situation where a screw enters one side of the
3 hole but does not exit the opposite side of the hole. For example, one situation
4 would be where the screw has a lengthwise extent that is equal to the hole depth.
5 But in that case, any common understanding would be that the screw had passed
6 through the hole, even though it did not exit the other side. Notice that LPL’s
7 definition of “passing through” would be met in that situation since the screw
8 extends into the hole. “Through” is a word of several meanings, as reflected by its
9 various dictionary definitions. LPL Exs. at 235. Therefore, the context of the term
10 must be considered in determining which of the various definition is appropriate.
11 “Through” can mean in one side and out the other, as in the example of a thread
12 passing through the eye of a needle. But a walk through the flowers does not mean
13 that one walked the entire length of the flowers, and the dictionary accommodates
14 that meaning by the definition of through as “[a]mong or between; in the midst of.”
15 *Id.* It is this meaning of “through” that is most appropriate here, and “passing
16 through” means “extending into.” Defendants insist on construing an additional
17 phrase that contains the disputed phrase “passing through” and other disputed or
18 agreed to terms. JCC at 62-63. However, Defendants’ construction of “passing
19 through” in this phrase is inconsistent with its earlier definition of “passing
20 through” and is an overly complicated combination of separately defined terms. *Id.*
21 No construction of this phrase is necessary.

22 **5. A typographical error does not render a claim incapable of**
23 **construction.**

24 The parties agree on the construction of the claim term “liquid crystal display
25 module.” JCC at 9. However, in one claim, claim 7 of the ‘537 patent, the term
26 appears as “liquid crystal display model.” LPL Exs. at 15. This is an obvious
27 typographical error, which is borne out by the fact the term appears correctly at
28 various other places in the same claim, including the next limitation:

1 a liquid crystal display model having a display
 2 surface, a back surface and a plurality of side edges;
 3 an upper casing substantially covering the back
 surface of the liquid crystal display module.

4 Defendants construction is that "[t]his term is unintelligible in the context of
 5 the patent." JCC at 46-47. Defendants' argument is specious. An obvious
 6 typographical errors do not render the claims meaningless. *Sargent-Welch*
 7 *Scientific Co. v. J/B Indus., Inc.*, 496 F.Supp. 972, 978 (N.D. Ill. 1980) (stating that
 8 the word 'motor' appearing in the claim should of course be 'rotor'). It is obvious
 9 that a liquid crystal display model is a typographical error. The correct construction
 10 of "liquid crystal display model" is "liquid crystal display module."

11 6. The remaining terms proposed by Defendants for
 12 construction do not need a construction, but if the Court
 13 decides to construe them, LPL's constructions should be
adopted.

14 Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order, the parties exchanged terms
 15 proposed for constructions on May 1. LPL proposed nine terms for construction in
 16 the side-mounting patents. See Exhibit 1 attached to the Declaration of Nathan W.
 17 McCutcheon In Support of LG.Phillips LCD Co., Ltd.'s Opening Claim
 18 Construction Brief ("McCutcheon Decl."). The constructions of five of those terms
 19 were eventually agreed upon by the parties. The other four are briefed above. The
 20 remaining terms that appear in the JCC were placed there by Defendants. E.g.,
 21 McCutcheon Decl., Exh. 2 (CPT's list of claim terms for construction). While LPL
 22 believes that these other terms are plain on their face, if the Court decides to
 23 construe them, LPL's construction should be adopted as set out below.

24 a. Defendants' stretch to find synonyms for or to define
 25 common words frequently does not do justice to the
original words.

26 Due to LPL's position that these terms are clear without construction and the
 27 obvious infirmity of Defendants' constructions, LPL will not address them at length
 28 but provides a brief analysis.

1 2 3 cover outer casing (JCC at 21)	Defendants' synonyms for cover are too narrow; e.g. one could cover for aesthetic reasons, not just for protection or shielding.
4 5 6 7 8 coupled/couple d to/joined with (JCC at 17) immovably couple (JCC at 41)	Defendants' synonyms are too narrow in that they require "contact." Actual contact is not required when objects are coupled or joined.
9 10 11 forming (JCC at 30)	Defendants' construction is overly complicated and unwieldy. If construed, a simple word "providing" is a better synonym in the context in which the word is used.
12 13 side (JCC at 70)	Defendants' propose an unnecessarily complicated geometric definition of side, when it just means "side surface."

14 **b. Defendants' stretch to define common words**
15 **frequently loads the words down with unrecited**
16 **details improperly taken from the specification, or**
17 **worse, improperly taken from extrinsic evidence.**

18 The term "portable computer" is used in various claims as has been
19 explained. A construction of this term seems unnecessary but nonetheless LPL
20 proposes that the definition of portable computer is "a computer that is portable;
21 portable means can be moved with ease." JCC at 64. This definition is largely
22 from common sense and the dictionary, which defines "portable" as "[c]arried or
23 moved with ease: a portable typewriter." JCC at 64-65; LPL Exs. at 232.
24 Defendants interpose many limitations on the term, including a specific weight
25 limitation based entirely on extrinsic evidence: "Portable Computer" refers to a
26 personal computer not exceeding 21 lbs. in weight that is designed and configured
27 to permit transportation as a piece of handheld luggage. JCC at 64. Review of the
28 specification for the side-mounting patents reveals that the term is used in its broad,
general sense: "In general, . . . the size of a portable computer is designed for easy

1 movement” LPL Exs. at 39 (col. 2, ll. 34-36). There is no reason, and it is
2 indeed inappropriate, to vary the term “portable computer” from the meaning clear
3 from the intrinsic evidence based on extrinsic evidence. *Bell Atlantic Network*
4 *Services, Inc. v. Covad Communications Group, Inc.*, 262 F.3d 1258, 1269 (Fed.
5 Cir. 2001) (“[E]xtrinsic evidence may be used only to assist in the proper
6 understanding of the disputed limitation; it may not be used to vary, contradict,
7 expand, or limit the claim language from how it is defined, even by implication, in
8 the specification or file history.”). Defendants’ definition should be rejected.

9 **IV. THE SEMICONDUCTOR PATENTS**

10 **A. Overview of Technology**


11 The semiconductor patents deal with electrical components called thin film
12 transistors or “TFTs”. More particularly, they discuss the use of TFTs in the
13 control of LCDs. Thus, construction of the claims of the semiconductor patents can
14 be greatly aided by examining 1) what thin-film transistors are, and 2) how they are
15 used in the control of LCDs.

16 **1. The Thin Film Transistor**

17 A TFT is a particular type of field effect transistor (or “FET”). A FET is a
18 device that can operate as an on/off switch for electrical current flow. It has three
19 terminals, referred to as the source, drain, and gate. LPL Exs. at 447-49. The gate
20 terminal of a FET has the same effect as the contact of a mechanical switch, either
21 permitting or preventing current flow between the source and drain terminals.

22 The source and drain of the FET are separated by semiconductor material.
23 *Id.* The properties of semiconductor material may be chosen so that, under normal
24 circumstances, the semiconductor material is not conductive. *Id.* However, when
25 an electric field permeates the semiconductor material, it becomes conductive. *Id.*
26 In an FET, a gate insulating material insulates the gate terminal from both the
27 source and drain terminals and from the semiconductor material. *Id.* The insulating
28 material prevents electric current from flowing from the gate terminal to either the

1 source or drain terminals or directly into the semiconductor material, which would
 2 destroy the operation of the FET. However, applying a voltage V_g to the gate
 3 terminal produces an electric field in the semiconductor between the source and
 4 drain. The electric field causes the semiconductor material to become conductive,
 5 thereby forming a pathway or channel that allows current to flow between the
 6 source and the drain, as shown in the figure below.



13 *Id.* at 447 (Fig. a).

14 In a “thin film transistor,” as the name suggests, the various components that
 15 make up the transistor, including the gate, source, drain, and semiconductor, are
 16 fashioned from thin films of material built on top of an insulative substrate. *Id.* at
 17 447-49. It is important to note that a TFT is formed on an insulative substrate.
 18 Other types of transistors are built into other types of substrates, such as a single
 19 crystal silicon wafer, so that substrate itself forms the source, drain, and
 20 semiconductor of the transistor. *Id.* at 442, 454.

21 Drawings taken from the ‘737 patent illustrate how the various films can be
 22 arranged to form a TFT. The TFT in Illustration 1 below (Figure 1d from the ‘737
 23 patent, annotated), consists of, among other things, an insulating substrate 1, gate 2,
 24 gate insulator 3, semiconductor material 4, drain 5, and source 6. LPL Exs. at 306.
 25 As discussed above, if an electrical potential is applied to gate 2, an electrical field
 26 will penetrate the semiconductor material 4, allowing current to flow between the

27 ////

28 ////

drain 5 and the source 6 as illustrated below. The direction of current flow depends on the voltages applied to the source and drain electrodes, with current flowing from high voltage to low voltage. Note that the substrate 1 plays no role in the flow of current. Nor does current flow from the gate 2 to the drain 5 or source 6.




ILLUSTRATION 1

2. LCD Applications for TFTs

As previously stated, one common use of TFTs is in the control of LCDs. An LCD is a type of display that generates an image by directing light through an array of liquid crystal picture elements or “pixels”, where the amount of light effused by each pixel is controlled via an electric field varying the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules contained within the pixel. *See* agreed upon definition of “liquid crystal display device” at p. 149 of JCC. More particularly, LCDs, such as those used in notebook computers and stand-alone monitors, have many rows and columns of tiny pixels. The image you see on the LCD is a composite of the contributions of the tiny pixels, with each pixel representing a small component of the image. The orientation of the liquid crystal molecules associated with the pixel determines how bright or dark the pixel appears. In general, the liquid crystal molecules are oriented so that light passes through the pixel, making the pixel appear bright. However, the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules can be changed by applying an electric field. Depending on how much the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules is changed, the pixel can appear less bright or even dark. As suggested above, an image can be produced by controlling the light

1 passing through many rows and columns of the tiny pixels. There are several
 2 different techniques that can be used to generate the electric field which controls the
 3 liquid crystal orientation, and thus light transmission through any given pixel of an
 4 LCD. One common technique, and the technique at issue in the semiconductor
 5 patents, involves the use of an array of TFTs, each with a transparent, pixel
 6 electrode electrically connected to the TFT. *See, e.g.*, LPL Ex. at 380 (1:67-2:4);
 7 *id.* at 308, 310 (3:22-53, Fig 3 (describing an embodiment of the invention with a
 8 transparent, enlarged source 2 for use in LCD applications)). Thus, given the on/off
 9 switching properties of a transistor as discussed in Section IV(A)(1), *supra*, when a
 10 potential is applied to the gate of the TFT, current will flow between the source and
 11 drain, thereby charging the pixel electrode and applying a voltage across the liquid
 12 crystal. The voltage applied across the liquid crystal changes the orientation of the
 13 liquid crystal molecules, which varies the amount of light passing through the
 14 particular area of the display.

15 The structure, and method of construction of such an LCD TFT array is
 16 described both in the '449 patent and in the art of record that was before the PTO
 17 during the prosecution of the '737 patent. In particular, Figure 6 of the '449 patent,
 18 reproduced below, illustrates such an array including a plurality of TFTs 620 each
 19 with a pixel electrode 6 connected to its
 20 drain. LPL Exs. at 380 (1:13-30). Note
 21 that the gates of all TFTs in any given
 22 row (*e.g.*, 600) are commonly
 23 connected and terminate in gate pads
 24 630. *Id.* (1:22-27). Similarly, the
 25 sources of all TFTs in any given
 26 column (*e.g.*, 610) are commonly
 27 connected and terminate in data pads
 28 (*e.g.*, the four pads across the top of the




FIG. 6
PRIOR ART

figure). *Id.* The gate pads 630 and source or data pads 640 receive electrical signals from external driving circuitry. *Id.* (1:27-30). *See also* Figure 8 of U.S. Pat. No. 4,331,758 ("the '758 patent") (at LPL Exs. 313) (illustrating a portion of such an array).

In operation, by electrically addressing any given row and any given column, a single transistor of the array can be turned on, thereby permitting current to flow from its source through the conductive channel of the semiconductive material to the corresponding drain where said current builds a voltage on its associated pixel electrode. LPL Exs. at 317 ('758 patent at 7:58-8:5); *id.* at 380 ('449 patent at 1:67-2:2). As previously noted, the resultant voltage on the pixel electrode will produce an electric field across the liquid crystal that controls how bright or how dark the particular pixel of the LCD appears. *Id.* at 317 ('758 patent at 8:5-9); *id.* at 380 ('449 patent at 2:2-4).

B. '737 Patent Claims

The '737 patent discloses and claims a process for producing a TFT which, among other things, improves electrical contact between the various layers that make up the TFT while reducing the number of steps required to complete the device. LPL Exs. at 309 ('737 patent at 1:47-58). LPL asserts only claim 1 of the '737 patent against Defendants, and therefore, only terms within claim 1 are disputed. To illustrate the process recited in claim 1, LPL presents the following figure which correlates the steps and structures recited in the claim with corresponding elements of Figure 3 of the '737 patent describing one embodiment of the claimed invention.

////

////

////

////

////

1 application. LPL Exs. at 310 (3:41-44).

2 Note that the second step of the claimed process calls for the continuous
3 deposition of gate insulating film 3, the high-resistivity semiconductor film 4, and
4 conducting film 20 (which, in this embodiment is made up of only a low-resistivity
5 amorphous semiconductor layer), as shown in Figure 3b above, without exposure to
6 an oxidizing atmosphere. This step is of particular importance in ensuring good
7 electrical contact between the drain and source electrodes 15, 16 of the claimed
8 TFT. Note that the conducting film 20 acts as a contact between the semiconductor
9 film 4 and the drain and source electrodes 15, 16. Oxide gives rise to electrical
10 resistance and, therefore, the development of substantial oxidation between the
11 semiconductor film and conducting film can inhibit current flow between the source
12 and drain electrodes through the semiconductor film when the TFT is activated.
13 LPL Exs. at 309-310 (1:32-46; 3:53-4:2).

14 As discussed above, in operation, when gate electrode 2 is energized, high-
15 resistivity semiconductor film 4 becomes conductive and conducts electrical current
16 between the drain and source electrodes 15, 16.

17 **C. Construction of Disputed Terms in the '737 Patent**

18 The parties have outlined their disputes with regard to the construction of the
19 claims of the '737 patent in Exhibit D to the JCC. The following addresses various
20 disputes with respect to certain terms contained therein.

21 **1. "thin-film transistor"**

22 LPL construes the term "thin film transistor" in accordance with the
23 definitions and portions of the specification discussed in Section IV(A)(1), *supra*.
24 See JCC at 89. Defendants' construction differs from LPL's in one important
25 respect -- Defendants fail to acknowledge that TFTs, by definition, are not
26 constructed in a single crystal silicon wafer. See *id.* This is an important
27 distinction between thin-film transistors and other types of semiconductor devices.
28 The distinction is noted in technical dictionaries contemporary to the filing date of

1 the '737 patent. Compare LPL Exs. at 331 (defining "thin film transistor" as
 2 "fabricated using thin-film techniques on an insulating substrate rather than on a
 3 semiconductor chip.") with *id.* at 327 (defining "chip" as "[a] small piece of a
 4 single crystal of semiconductor material"). This distinction is also noted in the
 5 intrinsic evidence. *See* USPN 4,426,407 1:13-22 ("A thin-film transistor (TFT) is .
 6 . . similar to a MOS transistor (metal-oxide semiconductor) with the difference that
 7 it is produced on an amorphous substrate and not on a monocrystalline [i.e., single
 8 crystal] silicon wafer.") (emphasis added) (LPL Exs. at 320). Accordingly, this
 9 distinction is appropriately part of the construction of the term.

10 **2. "source electrode"**

11 The specification of the '737 patent teaches the source electrode being
 12 formed via the deposition and patterning of electrically conductive materials, such
 13 as metal films, using etching techniques. LPL Exs. at 309 (1:25-29). Moreover,
 14 the specification shows a portion of the source electrode formed over the source
 15 region of semiconductor layer (*e.g.*, the portion of the semiconductor that, when
 16 activated, conducts charge to or from the source electrode). *Id.* at 306, 309 ('737
 17 patent, 1:21-24; Fig. 1c). Accordingly, LPL construes this term as "[a] patterned,
 18 electrically conductive material formed over the source region." JCC at 136.
 19 LPL's construction of this term also notes that, as discussed in Section IV(A),
 20 *supra*, that "[c]urrent flows through the channel between the source electrode and
 21 drain electrode under control of the gate electrode." *Id.*

22 Defendants' proposed construction of this term, while similar to LPL's in
 23 some respects, contains several additional limitations that significantly and
 24 improperly narrow the meaning of the term. For example, they attempt to limit the
 25 term "source electrode" by explicitly stating that it is "distinct from the source/data
 26 line and the source/data pad." JCC at 136. There is no such exclusion in the
 27 specification or prosecution history of the '737 patent. Moreover, none of the
 28 dictionary definitions cited in the JCC for "source electrode" suggest such an

1 exclusion is appropriate. Also, Defendants' definition states that "charge carriers
 2 flow [from the source electrode] into the channel toward the drain." *Id.* While
 3 current can be directed in such a fashion in a TFT, it can also travel in the opposite
 4 direction. In fact, the embodiment shown in Figure 3 of the '737 patent illustrates
 5 such an arrangement. The specification teaches that the source electrode in that
 6 embodiment doubles as a picture cell (or pixel electrode) which, as discussed in
 7 Section IV(A), collects charge carriers from the channel. LPL's Exs. at 310 ('737
 8 patent at 3:36-44). Thus, in this embodiment, charge carriers flow from the drain
 9 through the channel toward the source.

10 The terms "drain electrode" (JCC at 139-140) and "gate electrode" (*id.* at 93-
 11 94) should be construed using the same reasoning. Defendants' definition of "drain
 12 electrode," for example, specifies the drain region is where "charge carriers flow
 13 from the source into the channel." JCC at 139 (emphasis added). As noted above,
 14 this direction of charge carrier flow can be reversed in TFTs, and is in fact reversed
 15 in the embodiment of the invention shown in Figure 3 of the '737 patent.


16 Also, Defendants' definition of "gate electrode" excludes "the gate line and
 17 the gate pad". JCC at 93-94. As in the case of the term "source electrode" above,
 18 there is no support in the intrinsic evidence or cited dictionary definitions for such
 19 an exclusion. In fact, the specification indicates that gate lines should be included
 20 in the definition of "gate electrode". See LPL Exs. at 310 ('737 patent at 3:24-28
 21 ("FIG. 3a illustrates a step in which gate electrode 2 extending along one line [is]
 22 formed on a transparent insulating substrate 1 such as glass substrate.") (emphasis
 23 added)).⁴

24 ////

25
 26 ⁴ While Defendants' proposed constructions of "source electrode" and "gate
 27 electrode" specifically exclude source/gate line and source/gate pad structures,
 28 even though such an exclusion is unsupported in either the intrinsic evidence or
 dictionary definitions, their construction of "drain electrode" does not exclude
 data line and/or pad structures. *Id.*

3. "continuously depositing"

LPL construes this term as: "[t]he formation of the gate insulating film, the high-resistivity semiconductor film and conducting film without intervening films." JCC at 95-96.



Defendants' construction requires "[s]uccessively depositing each constituent film on top of the underlying film or structure without interruption and without performing any processing steps between the deposition of each constituent film." *Id.*

LPL's definition is consistent with the plain meaning of the term "continuously" because it requires the films to be deposited so that they are spatially continuous. *See 1981 Webster's* 243-44 (defining "continuous" is defined as "marked by uninterrupted extension in space, time, or sequence") (emphasis added) (Defendants Exs. 3 at 472-73). LPL's definition is also consistent with the specification, which states that "as shown in FIG. 1b, a gate insulating film 3 (such as silicon nitride film) and an amorphous silicon film 4 are continuously deposited, and said amorphous silicon film 4 is selectively etched." LPL Exs. at 309 (1:17-21) (emphasis added). Figure 1b, shown above right, does not show that films 3 and 4 are deposited without any timewise interruption and without any intervening processing steps, as Defendants propose. Instead, Figure 1b simply depicts amorphous silicon film 4 deposited on the gate insulating film 3 without intervening films, as shown above right. Accordingly, the '737 patent specification does not support Defendants' construction.

LPL's construction of "continuously depositing" is also consistent with the object of the invention of the '737 patent – a simplified process for producing a thin film transistor with an improved contact arrangement. LPL Exs. at 309 (1:56-58). As discussed in the Background of the Invention, prior art processes resulted in the formation of an intervening film, a natural oxide layer, between the high-resistivity

1 silicon film and the low-resistivity silicon film. LPL Exs. at 309 (1:32-35). LPL's
2 construction of "continuously depositing" excludes such an intervening film.

3 Defendants' proposed construction also contradicts the aforementioned
4 *Webster's* dictionary definition of "continuous," which defines "continuous" as
5 "uninterrupted in space, time or sequence. Defendants Ex. 3 at 472-73 (emphasis
6 added). Defendants construe "continuously depositing" to require 1) successively
7 depositing each constituent film on top of the underlying film, 2) without
8 interruption, and 3) without performing any processing steps between the
9 deposition of each constituent film. Defendants thus construe "continuous" to
10 prevent interruption in space, time, and sequence. Moreover, Defendants'
11 definition has no foundation in the intrinsic evidence, and adds unwarranted
12 ambiguity to the term "continuously depositing." In particular, nowhere does the
13 '737 patent specification or file history prohibit "interruption" or "performing any
14 processing steps between the deposition of each constituent film." (Emphasis
15 added.) Moreover, Defendants' definition invites confusion as to what constitutes
16 an "interruption" and/or a "processing step."

17 4. "high-resistivity semiconductor film" and "low-resistivity
18 semiconductor film"

19 The primary distinction between LPL and Defendants' constructions of these
20 terms is the manner in which the parties distinguish the "high-resistivity
21 semiconductor film" from the "low-resistivity semiconductor film" and vice versa.
22 See JCC at 102-103, 112-113. LPL distinguishes the two via comparison to the
23 relative resistivity of the two films. For example, LPL construes the term "high-
24 resistivity semiconductor film" as "[a] thickness of semiconductor material . . . that
25 has a higher resistance to current flow relative to the low-resistivity semiconductor
26 film" JCC at 102-103. Such a definition is consistent with the plain and ordinary
27 meaning of each term. The '737 patent discloses adding impurities, such as
28 phosphorous, to the semiconductor material to produce the low-resistivity

1 semiconductor film. LPL Exs. at 309 (2: 26-29, 43-45). This process of enhancing
2 the conductive properties of semiconductor material (and thereby lowering the
3 resistivity) by the addition of impurities is called “doping.” Generally, the more
4 impurities are added, or the higher the “doping level,” the more conductive the
5 semiconductor material. Consider, for example, the following definition of the
6 term “doping level” taken from a technical dictionary contemporary to the time of
7 the ‘737 invention: “[t]he amount of doping necessary to achieve the desired
8 characteristic in a semiconductor. Low doping levels . . . give a high-resistivity
9 material; high doping levels . . . give a low-resistivity material.” *Id.* at 337
10 (emphasis added). One of skill, reading the specification of the ‘737 patent, would
11 understand the term “high-resistivity semiconductor” to refer to semiconductor
12 material that is undoped or that has a low doping level, and, therefore, has a higher
13 resistance to current flow relative to a highly doped, or low-resistivity
14 semiconductor. Similarly, one of skill, reading the specification of the ‘737 patent,
15 would understand the term “low-resistivity semiconductor” to refer to
16 semiconductor material with a high doping level, and one that, therefore, has a low
17 resistance to current flow relative to a less doped, or low-resistivity semiconductor.

18 Defendants’ constructions of these terms, on the other hand, inject vague and
19 unwarranted limitations drawn from extrinsic evidence to distinguish the two films.
20 For example, they construe the high-resistivity semiconductor material to have an
21 “electrical resistance many orders of magnitude higher than a low-resistivity
22 semiconductor film.” JCC at 102-103 (emphasis added). Once again, Defendants
23 attempt to add limitations to the term that have no basis in the intrinsic evidence
24 and add additional ambiguity to the term. *See Biovail Corp.*, 239 F.3d at 1301.
25 Nowhere in the specification is there a requirement regarding “many orders of
26 magnitude” between the electrical resistance of low and high-resistivity
27 semiconductor films. Moreover, Defendants’ definitions invite confusion as to
28 what, quantitatively, constitutes “many orders of magnitude.”

1 5. **“conducting film” and “conducting film containing at least a**
2 **low-resistivity semiconductor film”**

3 The primary distinction between LPL and Defendants’ constructions of this
4 term and phrase is whether a “low-resistivity semiconductor film” by itself meets
5 the phrase “a conducting film containing a least a low-resistivity semiconductor
6 film.” LPL defines the term “conducting film” as “[a] thickness of electrically
7 conductive material” and defines the phrase “conducting film containing at least a
8 low-resistivity semiconductor film” as “[t]he conducting film is composed of a low-
9 resistivity semiconductor film and possibly other conductive films.” JCC at 106,
10 116. Thus, according to LPL’s construction, claim 1 requires “a conducting film”
11 that is made up of at least the “low-resistivity semiconductor film.” Defendants’
12 constructions, on the other hand, characterize the “low-resistivity semiconductor
13 film” as distinct from the “conducting film.” *See id.*

14 The ‘737 patent claims clearly use the phrase “conducting film containing at
15 least a low-resistivity semiconductor film” to mean that the low-resistivity
16 semiconductor film is a component (and possibly the sole component) of the
17 “conducting film.” LPL Exs. at 310. Applying a plain and ordinary meaning to the
18 term “containing,” it is clear this phrase characterizes the makeup of the conducting
19 film, namely that it includes at least the low-resistivity semiconductor film. *See*
20 LPL Exs. at 354-355 (defining “contain” as “to have as component parts; comprise;
21 include”). Moreover, the ordinary meaning conveyed by the phrase “at least” is
22 that the conducting film may be made up solely of the low-resistivity
23 semiconductor film or of the low-resistivity semiconductor film and additional
24 material.

25 This construction is supported by the remainder of claim 1. For example,
26 claim 1 also recites “a fifth step for selectively removing said conducting film
27 exposed on said island region.” LPL Exs. at 310 (4:39-42). The corresponding
28 portion of the specification discussing this step explicitly states that the “exposed

1 portion of low-resistivity amorphous silicon film 20 is removed.” *Id.* at 307-308,
 2 310 (3:40-41; Figs. 2d and 3c (showing the claimed TFT after the exposed portion
 3 of the low-resistivity amorphous silicon film 20 is selectively removed)). Further,
 4 claim 2 of the ‘737 patent recites “said conducting film is composed of at least two
 5 layers consisting of a low-resistivity semiconductor film and thereon a refractory
 6 metal film or transparent conducting film.” *Id.* at 310 (4:47-54) (Emphasis added.)
 7 Claim 2 makes clear the recited “conducting film” is to be composed of, among
 8 other films, a low-resistivity semiconductor film.

9 In contrast, Defendants limit the construction of “conducting film” by
 10 requiring the film to have “an electrical resistance several orders of magnitude
 11 lower than low-resistivity semiconductor film”, and thereby excluded a low-
 12 resistivity semiconductor film from being a “conducting film.” JCC at 106-107.
 13 Thus, Defendants’ construction of “a conducting film containing at least a low-
 14 resistivity semiconductor film” requires two films – a metal conducting film (which
 15 cannot be made of a low-resistivity semiconductor) and a low-resistivity
 16 semiconductor film. Such a construction directly contradicts the plain language of
 17 claims 1 and 2. Claim 1 explicitly recites that the conducting film contains “at least
 18 a low-resistivity semiconductor film,” while claim 2 recites that the conducting film
 19 “is composed of at least two layers [including] a low-resistivity semiconductor
 20 film.” Defendants’ construction also prohibits the conducting film from including a
 21 low-resistivity semiconductor film, in contrast to claim 2, which specifically states
 22 that the “conducting film” is composed of a low-resistivity film.⁵ Further,
 23 Defendants’ construction fails to read on the embodiment of the invention shown in
 24 Figure 3d, which has only a low-resistivity semiconductor without an adjoining

25 ⁵ Several of Defendants’ other constructions similarly refuse to recognize that
 26 the “conducting film” includes the “low resistivity semiconductor film”. See
 27 “selectively etched” at JCC, p. 129 (referring to a “conducting film” and a distinct
 28 “low-resistivity semiconductor film”); “without exposing them to an oxidizing
 atmosphere” at *id.*, p. 124-125 (same); “island region on said gate electrode” at
id., p. 133 (same).

1 strip of metal. *See* LPL Exs. at 308. Moreover, nowhere in the '737 patent
 2 disclosure is there a requirement regarding the relative "several orders of
 3 magnitude" between the electrical resistance of conductive and low-resistivity
 4 semiconductor films. Again, Defendants plainly, and improperly, seek to read
 5 limitations into the claim which do not even exist in the specification or prosecution
 6 history of the '737 patent. *See Biovail Corp.*, 239 F.3d at 1301. Also, Defendants'
 7 definition invites confusion as to what, quantitatively, constitutes "several orders of
 8 magnitude." For at least these reasons, LPL's definitions should therefore be
 9 adopted.

10 6. "oxidizing atmosphere" and "without exposing them to an
 11 oxidizing atmosphere"

12 The '737 patent teaches "continuously depositing" the films that make up the
 13 channel, source, and drain "without exposing them to an oxidizing atmosphere" in
 14 order to prevent the development of electrical resistance between the films. *See*
 15 LPL Exs. at 309 (1:41-44) ("[Exposure to an oxidizing atmosphere] would give rise
 16 to electrical resistance between the source and drain and between channels in the
 17 thin-film transistor thus obtained, making such transistor unable to exhibit its
 18 desired characteristics."). Thus, one of ordinary skill reading the specification
 19 would understand the language at issue in the claim calls not for an absolute ban on
 20 oxidation, but rather for steps to be taken to prevent substantial oxidation that
 21 would impair the electrical contact between the films. Practical limitations in
 22 fabrication techniques used in "continuously depositing" these layers may very well
 23 expose the films to insubstantial amounts of oxidizing atmosphere which could, in
 24 turn, develop trace oxide deposits on the surface of one or more films. Such trace
 25 oxide deposits would have little or no effect on electrical resistance, and as such
 26 would still meet the objective of the claimed invention. Accordingly, LPL defines
 27 the term "oxidizing atmosphere" as "an atmosphere that would create substantial
 28 oxidation on a film" (*i.e.*, an amount of oxidation that will give rise to electrical

1 resistance such that the transistor is unable to exhibit its desired characteristics).
 2 JCC at 121.

3 Defendants, on the other hand, define the term as “[a]n atmosphere that
 4 would create an oxide on a film.” *Id.* Under Defendants’ construction, the claim
 5 requires the exclusion of even insubstantial amounts of oxidation without
 6 consideration of whether said oxidation would be sufficient to cause increased
 7 electrical resistance. Such a definition is unduly rigid and inconsistent with real
 8 world fabrication techniques. Accordingly, LPL’s definition should be adopted.⁶

9 **7. “island region”/“island region on said gate electrode”**

10 LPL defines the term “island region” as “[a] discrete portion of the high-
 11 resistivity semiconductor film and conducting film that is formed by selective
 12 etching” and the phrase “island region on said gate electrode” as “[a] discrete
 13 portion of the high-resistivity semiconductor film and conducting film that is
 14 formed by selective etching. The discrete portion is located above and supported
 15 by or in contact with the gate electrode.” JCC at 131-133. These definitions are
 16 consistent with the description of the island region contained in the specification.
 17 See LPL Exs. at 307-309 (2:54-57; Figs. 2c-2e, 3c-3d).

18 Defendants’ definitions of this term and phrase, however, include several
 19 unwarranted limitations, such as “etched around its entire perimeter into a separate
 20 isolated region located over the gate electrode of a single thin-film transistor.” JCC
 21 at 133. First, contrary to Defendants’ construction, there is nothing in the plain
 22 meaning of “island region on said gate electrode” that limits the island region to an
 23 isolated region located over the gate electrode of a single TFT. Moreover, there is

24
 25 ⁶ There are several other terms at issue where, similarly, Defendants adopt
 26 unduly rigid constructions that one of skill would recognize as inconsistent with
 27 real world fabrication techniques. They include “selectively removing” (JCC at
 28 142-143) (requiring removal in “selected regions only”, presumably excluding the
 possibility that a slight imperfection in the etching process may result in removal
 of a tiny amount of material outside of the “selected region”) and “selectively
 forming” (*id.* at p. 134-135) (same).

1 nothing in the '737 patent disclosure or prosecution history that limits the island
2 region to a single TFT. Once again, Defendants construction seeks to inject
3 unsupported limitations into claim 1. *See Biovail Corp.*, 239 F.3d at 1301.

4 Furthermore, there is nothing in the specification of the '737 patent requiring
5 the "entire perimeter" of the island region to be etched "into a separate isolated
6 region". The various two dimensional cutaways of the TFT shown in Figures 2c-2e
7 and 3c-3d of the specification do show a portion of the island region located over
8 the gate. *See* LPL Exs. at 307-308. However, there is nothing in the '737 patent
9 specification or figures that in any way limits the extension of the island region in
10 the dimension perpendicular to the figure (*e.g.*, in or out of the page) or in other
11 areas of the substrate not depicted in the cross sectional views. The phrase "on said
12 gate electrode" does not require that the perimeter of the island region be located
13 over the gate electrode, as Defendants suggests. Note, for example, that claim 1
14 also recites that the gate insulating film is "on said gate electrode." *Id.* at 310
15 (4:27-30). Figures 2a-2e and 3b-3d show an example where the gate insulating film
16 3 is on gate electrode 2 and in various additional locations on the substrate 1
17 beyond gate electrode 2. *Id.* at 307-308. Clearly, the phrase "on said gate
18 electrode" in claim 1 does not require that a perimeter of the gate insulating film be
19 located over the gate electrode. Such a construction is contradicted by the '737
20 patent specification, which plainly shows the gate insulating film "on said gate
21 electrode" and elsewhere on the substrate 1. The perimeter of the gate insulating
22 film is not limited to the region located over the gate electrode. Once again,
23 Defendants attempt to improperly limit claim language even to the point of ignoring
24 the intrinsic evidence.

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

1 8. **“a fourth step for selectively forming a source electrode and**
 2 **drain electrode”**

3 LPL construes this phrase as “[t]he source electrode and drain electrode are
 4 selectively formed together.” JCC at 135. LPL’s definition recognizes, as stated
 5 plainly in the ‘737 patent, that the source and drain electrodes are formed at the
 6 same time in the claimed process for producing a TFT. The phrase at issue recites
 7 “a fourth step for selectively forming a source electrode and a drain electrode.”
 8 (Emphasis added.) Note that the source and drain electrodes are recited as being
 9 formed in the same step. Similarly, the specification describes the source and drain
 10 electrodes being deposited and etched at the same time. See LPL Exs. at 309-10
 11 (1:25-29; 3:36-41).

12 Defendants, on the other hand, construe this phrase as “[f]orming a source
 13 electrode and drain electrode in selected regions only by depositing a conducting
 14 film or other material such as Al.” JCC at 135. Defendants’ definition is deficient
 15 in several respects. First, it fails to acknowledge one feature of the claimed
 16 invention – namely that both the source and drain electrodes are formed in the same
 17 step. Additionally, Defendants’ construction can be read to require “forming” the
 18 source and drain electrodes via deposition alone, *i.e.*, without subsequent etching to
 19 form the desired pattern for the electrodes. Nothing in the plain meaning of the
 20 term “forming” suggests such a narrow construction. Moreover, the specification
 21 clearly teaches that source and drain electrodes can be formed via deposition and
 22 subsequent etching of conductive material. See LPL Exs. at 309 (1:25-29). For at
 23 least these reasons, LPL’s construction should be adopted.¹

24 ////

25 ////

26 ¹ There are several other terms at issue where, similarly, Defendants’
 27 construction of the term “forming” can be read to require deposition alone
 28 (without subsequent etching). They include “selectively forming” (JCC at 134-
 135) and “forming . . . on” (*id.* at 148).

1 **9. “contacting a part of the surface of said island region”**

2 LPL construes this phrase to mean “[f]orming an electrical connection to a
3 part of the surface of the island region” while Defendants construes it to require
4 “[t]ouching a part of the surface of the island region.” JCC at 141. The claim
5 states, in relevant part, “a source electrode and a drain electrode both contacting a
6 part of the surface of said island region.” LPL Exs. at 310 (4:36-39). At several
7 places in the specification, the patent discusses the importance of maintaining
8 electrical connectivity between the various layers that make up the island region as
9 well as between the island region and the layers which are patterned to form the
10 source and drain electrodes. *See id.* at 307-310 (3:53-62, 4:1-2; Figs. 2d-2e; 3c-3d).
11 In fact, throughout the specification, the term “contact” is used consistently in the
12 context of forming an electrical connection. *See id.* at 309-310 (1:21-25; 1:55-57;
13 3:59-4:2). Accordingly, LPL’s definition should be adopted as a more appropriate
14 reading of the claim term “contact” in light of the specification.

15 **10. “at least a part of the mask”/ “said source and drain**
16 **electrodes serving as at least part of the mask”**

17 A mask is a device used to shield selected areas of a semiconductor chip
18 during the manufacture of semiconductor components and integrated circuits. Of
19 particular relevance to this case, a mask is used to form a pattern in a surface of a
20 film or films during the fabrication of a TFT. *See, e.g.*, ‘758 patent at 5:37-6:7
21 (discussing the use of mask in forming source bus patterns in a conductive layer)
22 (LPL Exs. at 316). In application, a mask is typically formed in a desired pattern
23 above a surface from which material is to be selectively removed. *See, e.g., id.* at
24 5:37-56 (describing one method of developing a mask). Then, a removal technique,
25 such as the application of an etching solution, is applied that will remove portions
26 of the exposed surface but not the mask. *See, e.g., id.* at 5:57-59 (“A suitable
27 etching solution will then be employed to remove the unprotected conductive layer .
28 . . .”) Obviously, for this technique to work the mask must be made of material that

1 is resistive to the removal technique relative to material to be removed. The
2 ultimate result of this process is that a pattern matching the mask will be left in the
3 surface following the application of the removal technique. *See, e.g., id.* at 6:6-7
4 (“The structure now has the configuration shown in FIGS. 6 and 6A”).
5 Accordingly, LPL defines the term “mask” as “a pattern above a surface from
6 which material is to be selectively removed” where “the pattern is made of material
7 that is resistive to the removal technique relative to material to be removed.” JCC
8 at 145-147. The remainder of the phrases at issue (“at least a part of the
9 mask”/“said source and drain electrodes serving as at least part of the mask”) are
10 either otherwise construed (*e.g.* source and drain electrode) or have plain meanings
11 (*e.g.*, “serving as at least part of” the mask means there can be other parts to the
12 mask) and the phrases should be construed accordingly.

13 Defendants’ constructions of these phrases fail to define the term mask, and
14 add limitations contrary to a plain reading of the phrases. For example, the claim
15 requires only that the source and drain electrode serve as “at least a part of the
16 mask.” (Emphasis added.) Defendants, however, read this qualified limitation to
17 mean the source and drain electrode must “make a significant contribution to
18 defining the edges of the selectively removed region.” JCC, Exh. at 146-47
19 (emphasis added). There is simply no logical reason for requiring a structure
20 identified as only making up “at least a part” of the mask to make a “significant
21 contribution to defining the edges of the selectively removed region.” If, for
22 example, the source and drain electrodes made up only a small portion of the mask,
23 they would still account for “at least a part” of the mask as required by the claim.
24 Defendants construction, however, would further require this small portion of the
25 mask accounted for by the electrodes to make a “significant” contribution to
26 defining the “edges of the removed region.” Such an encumbrance of this
27 limitation is unsupported, unwarranted, and in clear contravention of the plain
28 meaning of the phrase “at least a part of the mask” as recited in the patent.

1 Moreover, Defendants' definition is fraught with ambiguity. For example, how
2 much of "contribution" is required to be considered a "significant" contribution?
3 Similarly, what constitutes the "edges of the selectively removed region"? There is
4 no reason to import these ambiguous, unsupported, and extraneous limitations into
5 the claim. Accordingly, LPL's construction should be adopted.

6 **D. '449 Patent Claims**

7 The '449 patent discloses and claims an LCD device and a method of
8 manufacturing said device, which, among other things, has a novel interconnection
9 structure. LPL Exs. at 380-81 (2:30-3:14). The claims of the '449 patent fall into
10 two main categories: (1) claims directed to a "wiring structure" and (2) claims
11 directed to a "liquid crystal display device." This same novel interconnection is
12 included in both categories of claims. To illustrate the nature of the interconnection
13 recited in the claims of the '449 patent, LPL presents the following illustration
14 which correlates the structures recited in claim 1 with the corresponding elements
15 of Figure 5 of the patent describing one embodiment of the claimed invention.

16 ////

17 ////

18 ////

19 ////

20 ////

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

Claim 1 and Fig. 5 of '449 Patent

A wiring structure comprising:

a substrate;

a first conductive layer formed on a first portion of said substrate;

a first insulative layer formed on a second portion of said substrate and on said first conductive layer;

a second conductive layer formed on a first portion of said first insulative layer;

a second insulative layer formed on said second conductive layer and on a second portion of said first insulative layer overlying said first conductive layer;

an indium tin oxide layer formed on said second insulative layer;

wherein a first contact hole is provided through said first and second insulative layers to expose part of said first conductive layer and a second contact hole is provided through said second insulative layer to expose part of said second conductive layer; said indium tin oxide layer extends through said first and second contact holes to electrically connect said first conductive layer with said second conductive layer; and

wherein one of said first and second conductive layers is connected to one of a plurality of terminals of a thin film transistor.




FIG. 5

As can be seen, claim 1 of the '449 patent recites a particular interconnection between two conductive layers, where at least one of the conductive layers is connected to a terminal of a TFT. In particular, Figure 5 above shows an ITO (indium tin oxide) layer 6D interconnecting a source electrode 7 with gate line/electrode 2. Note that the ITO contacts the source electrode 7 through a hole in passivation layer 9, and the ITO contacts the gate line/electrode 2 through a hole in both passivation layer 9 and in gate insulating layer 3.

E. Construction of Disputed Terms in the '449 Patent

The parties have outlined their disputes with regard to the construction of the claims of the '449 patent in Exhibit F to the JCC. The following addresses various disputes with respect to certain terms contained therein.

1. "on"/"formed on"/"disposed on"

LPL defines the terms "on", "formed on", and "disposed on" as "[t]ouching a top or side of." JCC at 151, 154.⁸ LPL's definition is based on the fact that the patentee uses two distinct terms to describe the relative position of layers within the claims – namely "on" and "overlying" – thereby creating a presumption that the terms have different meanings. *See CAE Screenplates Inc. v. Heinrich Fiedler GmbH & Co. KG*, 224 F.3d 1308, 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2000). Specifically, the patentee uses the term "on" in situations where a layer is touching a top or side of a lower layer. In comparison, the patentee uses the term "overlying" to denote situations where one layer is above, but not necessarily in contact with, a lower layer.

Consider, for example, claim 8 of the '449 patent, which recites "... forming a first conductive layer pattern on a substrate ... forming a second insulative layer overlying said substrate. ...". LPL Exs. at 383 (emphasis added). As shown in

⁸ The terms "formed on," which appears in apparatus claims 1, 2, and 6, and "disposed on," which appears in product claim 6, should be given the same meaning as the term "on" because it is improper "to superimpose a process limitation on [apparatus] claims." *Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic AVE, Inc.*, 339 F.3d 1352, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003), *petition for cert. filed*, 72 U.S.L.W. 3452 (Jan. 5, 2004).

Figure 3, conductive layer (gate 2) touches the top of glass substrate 1, while second insulative layer (passivation layer 9) is above but does not touch the glass substrate 1. *Id.* at 378. Similarly, claim 10 recites "... a source electrode and a drain electrode on said semiconductor layer ... a passivation layer overlying said source pad. . . ." *Id.* at 383 (emphasis added). As shown in Figure 3, source electrode 7 and drain electrode 8 touch the sides of the semiconductor layer 4, while passivation layer 9 is above but does not touch source pad 2A. *Id.* at 378. *See also* claim 11 ("... patterning said second conductive layer to form source electrode and a drain electrode on said active layer; forming a passivation film overlying said substrate including said source pad. . . .") (emphasis added). *Id.* at 383.

The patentee uses a similar convention in the specification. For example, it states "[a]n amorphous silicon active layer 4 is formed on a portion of gate insulating film 3 overlying gate 2." *Id.* at 380 (1:42-44) (emphasis added.) As shown in Figure 1b, to which this portion of the specification is addressed, silicon active layer 4 touches the top of gate insulating film 3, and is above but does not touch gate 2. *Id.* at 375.

It should be noted that the patentee uses the term "substrate" in two distinct contexts in the specification of the '449 patent. The first context in which the term "substrate" is used is to refer to the glass substrate upon which the various layers that make up the claimed LCD device are deposited and formed. When used in this context in the specification, the patentee consistently uses the term "substrate" within the phrase "transparent glass substrate 1." *See* LPL Exs.at 380-381(1:36, 3:44, 4:65-66). The second context in which the term "substrate" is used in the specification is as a "short hand" reference to the LCD device at some intermediate stage of its manufacture. When used in this context, the patentee consistently uses the term "substrate" within a reference to "the entire surface of the substrate." *See id.* at 380-382 (1:41-42, 57; 2:6; 3:51-52; 4:7; 5:1-2). For example, in summarizing the manufacturing process taught in the specification, the patentee states:

In other words, a conductive layer is formed on a transparent glass substrate 1 and patterned to form gate 2, a storage capacitor electrode 2D, a source pad 2A and a gate pad 2B. After forming a gate insulating film 3 on the entire surface of the substrate, an amorphous silicon layer 4 and an impurity-doped semiconductor layer 5 are sequentially formed ther[e]on.

Id. at 381-82 (4:65-5:4) (emphasis added). In this excerpt, the patentee uses the term “substrate” in both contexts. First to refer to “transparent glass substrate 1” and later to refer to the partially fabricated LCD device consisting of the “transparent glass substrate 1” with a gate, storage capacitor electrode, source pad, and gate pad formed thereon. Thus, when, as in the excerpt above, the specification discusses forming a layer “on the entire surface of the substrate,” it is referring to a layer touching the top surface of the partially fabricated LCD device. As such, the specification is wholly consistent with LPL’s construction of the term “on.”

The patentee is his own lexicographer and LPL’s construction of the terms “on,” “formed on,” and “disposed on” recognizes the distinction drawn by the patentee between the terms “on” and “overlying.” Defendants’ definitions of the terms “on,” “formed on,” and “disposed on” do not. Instead, each defines the term “on” as “above and supported by or in contact with.” JCC at 151, 154. Such a definition makes no real distinction between the term “on” and the term “overlying,” given that the parties agree “overlying” means “above” (JCC at 149) and that the various layers of a TFT are necessarily “supported by” the substrate. As such, Defendants’ definition fails to recognize the presumption that these two distinct terms recited in the claim should have different meanings. *See CAE Screenplates Inc.*, 224 F.3d at 1317. Accordingly, LPL’s construction should be adopted.

2. “contact hole is provided through . . . layer”/“provided through”

LPL construes these phrases to mean “[t]he contact hole is formed in the layer.” JCC at 154-56. This definition is consistent with the plain and ordinary

1 meaning of the terms as well as the use of the term “through” in the specification in
2 the context of contact holes. *See, e.g.*, LPL Exs. at 382 (5:19-23 (“ITO patterns 6A,
3 6B and 6C are formed to contact source pad 2A and gate pad 2B through the
4 contact holes formed at gate insulating film 3 and passivation layer 9”)). Also, for
5 example, claim 1 provides that the “indium tin oxide extends through the first and
6 second contact holes to electrically connect said first conductive layer with said
7 second conductive layer.” Defendants’ definition of “through” – “in one side and
8 out the other” – improperly would exclude the embodiments of the invention
9 disclosed in the ‘449 patent specification. JCC at 155. For example, referring to
10 Figure 5, the indium tin oxide layer 6D extends in the contact holes to touch the
11 gate electrode 2 and the source electrode 7, but never extends out of the bottom
12 surface of either contact hole. LPL Exs. at 378. The indium tin oxide layer 6D is
13 flush with the bottom surface of the respective insulative layers 3 and 9. *Id.*
14 Because a definition of “through” as “in one side and out the other” would result in
15 claim 1 (among others) from reading on the embodiments of the invention disclosed
16 in the specification, Defendants’ definition is plainly wrong. *See Bowers v.*
17 *Baystate Tech., Inc.*, 320 F.3d 1317, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Accordingly, LPL’s
18 construction should be adopted.

19 3. “thin film transistor”

20 LPL offers the same definition for the term “thin film transistor” in the ‘449
21 patent as in the ‘737 patent. Compare JCC at 89 with JCC at 157. Defendants also
22 offer the same definition for this term across both patents. *Id.* Accordingly,
23 Defendants’ construction for the term in the ‘449 patent, as was the case in the ‘737
24 patent, fails to acknowledge that TFTs are not constructed on a single crystal silicon
25 wafer. *See* Section IV(C)(1), *supra*. As noted above, this is an important
26 distinction between thin-film transistors and other types of semiconductor devices.
27 Moreover, this distinction is noted in technical dictionaries contemporary to the
28 filing date of the ‘449 patent. *Compare* LPL Exs. at 454 (defining “thin film

transistor” as “fabricated using thin-film techniques on an insulating substrate rather than on a semiconductor chip.”) *with id.* at 442 (defining “chip” as “[a] small piece of a single crystal of semiconductor material”). Accordingly, this distinction should be incorporated into the construction of the term.

4. “selectively etching”

LPL defines this term as “[r]emoving selected portions of a surface using etching techniques (such as wet etching, plasma etching, reactive ion etching, and ion etching) in order to produce a desired pattern on the surface.” JCC at 159-160. Defendants define this term as “[h]aving a selected portion of the substance of the first and second insulating layers removed using an etching technique.” *Id.* LPL’s definition is consistent both with the plain and ordinary meaning of the term “etched” and with the specification of the patent. *See, e.g.*, LPL Exs. at 445 (defining “etching” as “[c]hemical erosions of selected portions of a surface in order to produce a desired pattern on the surface.”); *id.* at 380 (‘449 patent at 1:54-55) (“[G]ate insulating film 3 is selectively etched to expose source pad 2A and gate pad 2B (see FIG. 1c).”). Defendants’ definition improperly includes structures stated elsewhere in the claim, namely the layers recited in the claim as being etched. Such a definition renders the separate recitation of the layers redundant and is, therefore, improper. *See Elekta Instrument S.A. v. O.U.R. Scientific Int’l, Inc.*, 214 F.3d 1302, 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2000); *Brainy Ideas, Inc. v. Media Group*, 169 F. Supp. 2d 361, 363 n.2 (E.D. Pa. 2001). Moreover, the meaning of “etching techniques” as that term is used in Defendants’ definition is less clear because Defendants’ definition does not give examples as to what constitutes “etching techniques.” For at least these reasons, LPL’s definition should be adopted.

5. “gate electrode”, “source electrode”, “drain electrode”

The terms “gate electrode”, “source electrode”, and “drain electrode” are recited in claims 10 and 11 of the ‘449 patent. As was the case in the construction of these terms in the ‘737 patent (*see* Section IV(C)(2), *supra*), LPL construes these

1 terms as one of skill would in light of the intrinsic evidence. For example, LPL
2 defines the term “source electrode” as: “[a] patterned, electrically conductive
3 material formed over the source region” and notes that “[c]urrent flows through the
4 channel between the source electrode and drain electrode under control of the gate
5 electrode.” JCC at 170. This definition is consistent with operation of a TFT, as
6 discussed in Section IV(A), *supra*, and with the specification’s teaching of how the
7 source electrode is formed. LPL Exs. at 381 (‘449 patent at 3:63-66).

8 Defendants’ constructions of these terms in the ‘449 patent contain the same
9 inconsistencies and unwarranted limitations as discussed in the construction of the
10 same terms for the ‘737 patent. For example, Defendants construe the terms
11 “source electrode” and “gate electrode” narrowly to exclude the “source/data line
12 and the source/data pad” and “gate line and the gate pad”, respectively. JCC at 160,
13 170. However, as is the case with the construction of these terms in the ‘737 patent,
14 there are no such express exclusions in the specification or prosecution history of
15 the ‘449 patent. Also, none of the dictionary definitions cited in the JCC for
16 “source electrode” or “gate electrode” suggest such an exclusion is appropriate.

17 Defendants’ insistence that the data/gate line and source/gate pad structures
18 be excluded from the terms “source electrode” and “gate electrode” is made all the
19 more questionable in light of the originally filed application during the prosecution
20 of the ‘449 patent. McCutcheon Decl., Exh. 3. The first of the originally filed
21 claims recites “[a] pad for providing an[] electrical connection to a data electrode of
22 a switching device, said pad comprising: a portion of said data electrode . . .”
23 McCutcheon Decl., Exh. 3 at LGP 0000107 (emphasis added). The second claim in
24 the application recites, among other elements, “a pad including a portion of [said]
25 data electrode. . .” *Id.* (emphasis added). Similarly, the fourth claim in the
26 original application is directed to a “liquid crystal display device comprising: a
27 data line; and a pad, said pad including: a portion of said data line. . .” *Id.*
28 (emphasis added). Reading these claims on the embodiments disclosed in the ‘449

1 patent, it is apparent that the “data electrode” and “data line” correspond to source
2 electrode 7. Clearly, in light of the originally filed claims, the patentee did not
3 consider the terms electrode, pad, and data line to be mutually exclusive.
4 Accordingly, one of skill reviewing the prosecution history of the ‘449 patent
5 would not exclude the gate/data lines and/or gate/source pad structures from the
6 terms “gate electrode” and “source electrode,” respectively.

7 Also, it should be noted that Defendants’ constructions of the terms “source
8 electrode” and “drain electrode” again unnecessarily specify a particular direction
9 of flow for charge carriers (namely from source to drain) when, as shown in Section
10 IV(C)(2), the flow can be reversed in a TFT. JCC at 170, 174.

11 For all the aforementioned reasons, LPL’s constructions for these terms
12 should be adopted.

13 **6. “gate pad” and “source pad”**

14 The terms “gate pad” and “source pad” are recited in claims 10 and 11 of the
15 ‘449 patent. The patent teaches that the “gate pad” and “source pad” are patterned
16 from the same “conductive layer.” LPL Exs. at 381-82 (4:65-5:1). Also the ‘449
17 patent teaches the use of gate and source pads to “receive datas from gate driver and
18 data driver respectively.” *Id.* at 380 (1:27-30). Accordingly, LPL construes the
19 term “gate pad” to mean “[a] portion of patterned, electrically conductive material
20 that is provided near the periphery of the thin film transistor array to receive data
21 from a gate driving circuit” and “source pad” to mean “[a] portion of the patterned
22 electrically conductive material that is provided near the periphery of the thin film
23 transistor array to receive data from a data driving circuit.” JCC at 162, 165.

24 Defendants’ constructions of these terms is similar to LPL’s, except
25 Defendants incorporate the limitations that the gate/source pads are “necessary to
26 communicate information from an external driving circuit to a [gate/source]
27 electrode.” *Id.* Defendants’ constructions attempt to improperly import limitations
28 into the claim from one embodiment of several embodiments disclosed in the

specification. While the patent does show such an arrangement in Figure 3 (note, current from an external connection applied to ITO layer 6A is conducted through source pad 2A to source electrode 7), it is not “necessary” to have a pad to communicate information from an external driving circuit to the source electrode. See LPL Exs. at 378. As shown in Illustration 4 below, Figure 1f of the patent shows an arrangement in which ITO layer 6A interconnects with the source electrode 7 such that current can flow from the ITO layer 6A to the source electrode 7 regardless of whether element 2A is present. Nonetheless, the ‘449 patent identifies element 2A as a “pad”. Because Defendants attempt to construe “pad” to require unwarranted limitations (e.g., the term “necessary”), that are contradicted by the use of “pad” in the ‘449 patent, Defendants’ construction is improper and should be rejected.




FIG. 1f
PRIOR ART

ILLUSTRATION 4

Again, Defendants seek to import limitations into its definitions of “source pad” and “gate pad” that are inconsistent with the disclosure of the ‘449 patent.

////

7. “active layer”

The term “active layer” appears in claim 11 of the ‘449 patent. LPL defines

1 the term as “[a] discrete portion of the semiconductor layer that is formed by
2 patterning and located at least in part above the gate electrode. In operation, the
3 discrete portion is penetrated, at least in part, by the electric field introduced by the
4 gate electrode.” JCC at 176-77. LPL’s definition is consistent with the use of the
5 term “active layer” in the specification. See LPL Exs. at 380-82 (1:34-51
6 (“Semiconductor active layer 4 is then formed [and] etched according to a
7 predetermined active layer pattern.”); 3:44-62 (“The gate electrode is used for
8 applying a voltage in order to drive the active layer in the completed TFT device.”);
9 4:65-5:5).

10 Defendants proffer a more limited construction of the term, namely “[a]
11 thickness composed of a semiconductor material through which charge carriers
12 flow between a source region and drain region.” JCC at 176. Defendants’
13 construction, however, attempts to limit the active layer to include only the region
14 of the semiconductor layer that forms the channel region between the source and
15 drain electrodes. Though the various two dimensional cutaways of the TFT shown
16 in Figures 2b-e, 3 and 5 of the specification do show the active layer 4 extending
17 only under the source 7 and drain 8, these figures do not in any way limit the
18 extension of the active layer 4 in the dimension perpendicular to the figure (e.g., in
19 or out of the page), or in other areas of the substrate not depicted in the cross
20 sectional views. LPL Exs. at 377-78.

21 **8. “common hole”**

22 The term “common hole” appears in claim 4 of the ‘449 patent. LPL
23 construes the term to mean “[a] shared hole.” JCC at 177. LPL’s definition is in
24 accord with the plain and ordinary meaning of the term. See LPL Exs. at 431
25 (defining “common” as “[b]elonging equally to or shared equally by two or more;
26 joint.”). Defendants construes the term to mean “[a] single hole,” but offer no
27 proof, intrinsic or extrinsic, for their definition. See JCC at 177. Accordingly,
28 LPL’s definition should be adopted. Defendants are apparently seeking to use the

1 term "common hole" to exclude any embodiment which includes a shared hole in
 2 addition to one or more other holes. Nothing in the '449 patent or prosecution
 3 history suggests that the invention requires one and only one hole that exposes the
 4 first conductive layer. Such a requirement should not be imposed by artifice of the
 5 term "common hole."

6 **9. "aligned"**

7 The term "aligned" appears in claim 5 of the '449 patent. LPL construes the
 8 term to mean "[p]laced in line with." JCC at 177. LPL's definition is in accord
 9 with the plain and ordinary meaning of the term. See LPL Exs. at 430 (defining
 10 "align" as "[t]o arrange in a line. . ."). Defendants construe the term to mean
 11 "[s]ubstantially co-axial or concentric," but offer no proof, intrinsic or extrinsic for
 12 their definition. JCC at 177-78. Accordingly, LPL's definition should be adopted.

13 **10. "said second insulating layer having a second contact hole
 14 exposing a predetermined portion of said second conductive
 15 layer and said first contact hole region"**

16 This phrase appears in claim 6 of the '449 patent. The primary distinction
 17 between LPL's and Defendants' definitions of this phrase is that Defendants
 18 attempt to limit the phrase such that "[t]he first and second contact holes must
 19 overlap." JCC at 178-179. However, none of the embodiments disclosed in the
 20 '449 patent teaches that the hole exposing the second conductive layer (*i.e.*, the
 21 "second hole") overlaps with the hole in the first insulative layer that exposes the
 22 first conductive layer (*i.e.*, the "first hole"). Consider, for example, the
 23 embodiment illustrated by Figure 3. LPL Exs. at 378. The "second holes" 60 and
 24 50 that expose the source 7 and drain 8, respectively (*i.e.*, the "second conductive
 25 layer"), do not overlap "first holes" 45 and 55 which expose the source pad 2A and
 26 gate pad 2B (*i.e.*, the "first conductive layer"). Similarly, consider the embodiment
 27 illustrated by Figure 5. *Id.* The "second hole" to the right of the figure which
 28 exposes source 7 (*i.e.*, the "second conductive layer") does not overlap the "first
 hole" at the left of the figure which exposes gate line 2 (*i.e.*, the "first conductive

layer"). Federal Circuit precedent makes clear that a claim construction that excludes from its scope a preferred embodiment "is rarely, if ever, correct." *Vitronics Corp.*, 90 F.3d at 1583. A construction that excludes all of the embodiments is even less likely to be correct. Accordingly, LPL's construction should be adopted.

11. "wiring structure"

This term appears in claims 1-5 of the '449 patent. The primary distinction between LPL's and Defendants' construction of this phrase is that LPL's definition characterizes the claimed "wiring structure" as a "slender structure" while Defendants' definition refers to the layer as simply a "structure". JCC at 179. The patentee's use of the term "wiring" to describe the structure connotes that any interconnections formed by the structure are achieved via slender paths. See LPL Exs. at 437-438 (contemporary dictionary definition defining "wire" as "resembling a wire, as in slenderness"). Defendants' definition fails to acknowledge this limitation. Accordingly, LPL's construction should be adopted.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, LPL requests that the Court adopt LPL's claim constructions.

Dated: February 6, 2003

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By

Jeffrey N. Brown

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim
Defendant LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 300 South Grand Avenue, Twenty-Second Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132. On February 6, 2004, I served the within documents:

**LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.'S OPENING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

☐

by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.

☒

by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.

☐

by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed _____ envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a _____ agent for delivery.

☐


by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

Teresa M. Corbin
Glenn W. Rhodes
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD &
WHITE LLP
301 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on February 6, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.


Jill Jacobs

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026. On February 6, 2004, I served the within documents:

**LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.'S OPENING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

- ☐ by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed _____ envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a _____ agent for delivery.
- ☒ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.


Christopher A. Mathews
Brian S. Y. Kim
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD &
WHITE LLP
550 South Hope Street, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2627
Fax: (213) 892-2300

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on February 6, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

R. Snoodian
Type/Print Name


Signature

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026. On February 6, 2004, I served the within documents:

**LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.'S OPENING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

- ☐ by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed _____ envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a _____ agent for delivery.
- ☒ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

Mark H. Krietzman
Valerie W. Ho
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Fax: (310) 586-0288

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on February 6, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

MARSH SOTO
Type/Print Name


Signature

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026. On February 6, 2004, I served the within documents:

**LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.'S OPENING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

- ☐ by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed _____ envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a _____ agent for delivery.
- ☒ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

Scott R. Miller
Tracy R. Roman
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4400
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3106
Fax: (213) 680-6499

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on February 6, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.


I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Rebecca Smoonian
Type/Print Name

Signature

EXHIBIT 16

1 **Priority** ☒
 2 **Send** ☒
 3 **Enter** ☐
 4 **Closed** ☐
 5 **JS-5/JS-6** ☐
 6 **JS-2/JS-3** ☐
 7 **Scan Only** ☐



8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 WESTERN DIVISION

12 LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,
 13 Plaintiff


14 v.

15 TATUNG CO. OF AMERICA,
 16 TATUNG COMPANY and
 17 CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD.,

18 Defendants.

No. CV 02-6775 CBM (JTLx)

**ORDER RE CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION**



19 The matter before the Court is claim construction regarding the side-mount
 20 patents and semiconductor patents. The claim construction hearing occurred on
 21 January 13-14, 2005, the Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall presiding.

JURISDICTION

22 This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

23 L.G. Philips LCD Co, Ltd. ("LPL") filed this action on August 29, 2002,
 24 alleging that Defendants Tatung Co., Tatung Co. of America, and Chunghwa
 25 Picture Tubes ("CPT") infringed on its patents. On December 20, 2002, CPT
 26 filed an Answer and Counterclaims. On August 31, 2004, this Court granted in
 27 part CPT's motion for leave to amend its Answer and Counterclaims and to join
 28

526

1 LGE as a party. On October 12, 2004, this Court denied LPL's motion to stay
2 further proceedings pertaining to the side-mount patents and set the claim
3 construction hearing for January 7, 2005. On its own motion, the Court continued
4 the claim construction hearing to January 13, 2005.

5 LEGAL STANDARD

6 In interpreting an asserted claim, the Court first looks to the intrinsic
7 evidence, i.e., the patent itself, including the claims, the specification and, if in
8 evidence, the prosecution history." *Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.*, 90 F.3d
9 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996). However, all intrinsic evidence is not equal. First, the
10 Court should focus on the claims themselves, both asserted and unasserted, to
11 define the meaning and scope of the patented invention. *Texas Digital Systems,*
12 *Inc. v. Telegenix, Inc.*, 308 F.3d 1193, 1201-02 (Fed. Cir. 2002). There is a "heavy
13 presumption" that the ordinary and accustomed meaning of a claim term, as
14 understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, is the correct construction. *CCS*
15 *Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.*, 288 F.3d 1359, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2002).
16 Dictionaries, encyclopedias and treatises, which are extrinsic evidence, may be
17 employed to "assist the court in determining the ordinary and customary meanings
18 of claim terms." *Texas Digital*, 308 F.3d at 1202.

19 Second, the Court should review the specification. *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at
20 1582. A review of the specification will reveal whether or not the inventor has
21 given a term an unconventional meaning. *Id.* However, it is improper to read a
22 limitation into a claim from the specification. *Comark Communications, Inc. v.*
23 *Harris Corp.*, 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998). The inventor may act as his or her
24 own lexicographer and use terms in a manner other than their ordinary meaning,
25 so long as any such specific definition is clearly stated in the patent specification
26 or prosecution history. *Mycogen Plant Science Inc. v. Monsanto Co.*, 243 F.3d
27 1316, 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Therefore, for claim construction purposes, the
28 specification is "the single best guide to the meaning of a disputed term."

1 *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d at 1582.

2 Third, the Court may consider the prosecution history of the patent. The
3 prosecution history is significant because it reveals “the course of dealing with the
4 Patent Office, which may show a particular meaning attached to the terms, or a
5 position taken by an applicant” to secure the patent. *Markman*, 52 F.3d at 991. As
6 such, the prosecution history may be reviewed to assess whether a patentee
7 “relinquished [a] potential claim construction in an amendment to the claim or in
8 an argument to overcome or distinguish a [prior art] reference.” *Elkay Mfg. Co. v.*
9 *Ebco Mfg. Co.*, 192 F.3d 973, 979 (Fed. Cir. 1999), *cert. denied*, 529 U.S. 1066
10 (2000). However, for subject matter to be held relinquished, a court must find that
11 the patentee disclaimed the subject matter with “reasonable clarity and
12 deliberateness.” *Northern Telecom Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, 215 F.3d
13 1281, 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

14 Finally, if and only if a claim remains “genuinely ambiguous” despite the
15 full consideration of the intrinsic evidence, then a court may look toward extrinsic
16 evidence to interpret the claim term itself. *Bell & Howard Document Mgmt.*
17 *Prods. Co. v. Altek Sys.*, 132 F.3d 701, 706 (Fed. Cir. 1997). The need for such a
18 departure from the intrinsic evidence “rarely, if ever, occurs.” *Vitronics*, 90 F.3d
19 at 1585.

20 ANALYSIS

21 A. Side-Mounting Patents

22 The side-mounting patents consist of U.S. Patents Nos. 6,373,537 (‘537
23 patent), 6,00,457 (‘457 patent), 6,020,942 (‘942 patent), and 5,926,237 (‘237
24 patent).

25 1. Whether the Side-Mounting Patents Are Limited to Portable 26 Computers

27 The definition of the terms “liquid crystal display,” “liquid crystal panel,”
28 “housing” and “outer casing” are disputed because CPT limits them to “portable

1 computers” whereas LPL does not so limit them. The ‘537 and ‘237 patents
2 contain claims directed to both an LCD device and to a portable computer,
3 whereas the ‘457 patent contains claims directed only to the LCD device and the
4 ‘942 patent contains claims directed only to a portable computer. Since the Court
5 must look first to the claims, the Court finds that claims that recite a “portable
6 computer” are limited to portable computers, but claims that do not recite a
7 “portable computer” are not so limited. The background of the invention also
8 indicates that the portable computer is *an example* of a device that uses an LCD.
9 *See, e.g.*, 1:50-51 (“[t]he liquid crystal display is usually combined with, *for*
10 *example*, a notebook computer for use as an output screen”; 1:59-61 (“a liquid
11 crystal display is attached to a device *such as a notebook computer*”). With
12 respect to the terms “housing” and “outer casing,” the Court notes that
13 independent claims, such as Claim 37 of the ‘457 patent, which contain the term
14 “housing” do not contain the words “portable computer,” whereas dependent claim
15 40 of the ‘457 patent states “the housing includes a portable computer.” This
16 indicates that the definition of the terms should not be limited to a portable
17 computer.

18 Defendants look at the specification, rather than first looking to claims, in
19 arguing that the invention described in the specification is directed to an
20 improvement for a portable computer. Defendants’ argument is not persuasive, as
21 the Federal Circuit has held that “[e]ven when the specification describes only a
22 single embodiment, the claims of the patent will not be read restrictively unless the
23 patentee has demonstrated a clear intention to limit the claim scope using ‘words
24 or expressions of manifest exclusion or restriction.’” *Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v.*
25 *Medrad, Inc.*, 358 F.3d 898, 906 (Fed. Cir. 2004); *accord Gemstar-TV Guide Int’l*
26 *Inc. v. ITC*, 383 F.3d 1352, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2004). The specification of the side-
27 mounting patents does not contain any “clear disavowal” of products that are not
28 portable computers. In addition, this Court rejects Defendant’s argument that the

1 sidemounting patents should be limited to portable computers because that was the
2 purported "object" of the invention. "The fact that a patent asserts that an
3 invention achieves several objectives does not require that each of the claims be
4 construed as limited to structures that are capable of achieving all of the
5 objectives." *Liebel*, 358 F.3d at 908. See also *Ex-Pass Tech, Inc. v. 3Com Corp.*,
6 343 F.3d 1364, 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2003) ("The Court's task is not to limit claim
7 language to exclude particular devices because they do not serve a perceived
8 "purpose" of the invention."). Thus, the Court adopts LPL's definitions of the
9 terms "liquid crystal display," "liquid crystal panel", "housing" and "outer
10 casing."

11 **2. Whether Constructions Should Include the Word "Directly"**

12 The word "directly" does not appear anywhere in the claim language.
13 However, Defendants use the word "directly" in construing the terms "attachable
14 to a housing," "fixable to a housing," "joined with," "joining together," "coupled,"
15 and "fastening part." Defendants argue that the claim language, in context,
16 indicates that side-to-side direct connection must be present, as the specification
17 does not show any intervening element. The Court finds Defendants' arguments
18 unpersuasive pursuant to *Liebel*, which makes it clear that the claims of the patent
19 will not be read restrictively unless the patentee has demonstrated a clear intention
20 to limit the claim scope using words or expressions of manifest exclusion or
21 restriction. Since no such words of manifest exclusion or restriction are used here,
22 the Court adopts LPL's definitions of "attachable to a housing" or "fixable to a
23 housing," "joined," "joined together," "coupled," and "fastening part."

24 **3. Whether the terms "through" and "passing through" should have**
25 **different meanings**

26 LPL proposes that the term "through" be used in its plain and ordinary way
27 to mean "by way of," and that "passing through" means "extending into."
28 Defendants contend that "through" means "in at one end, side or surface and out

the other” and that “passing through” means “moving past or making way in one side and out of the other side.” Although the preferred embodiment shown in the drawings uses screws engaging holes to connect the components, the patent clearly contemplates other methods of attaching the components. The ‘457 patent specification, for example, provides that “an adhesive device, such as double-sided tape can be used instead of the second and third screw holes” and that “the rear case 500 and the second support frame 400 are jointed to each other using hooks and/or other suitable fastening devises, including adhesives.” *See, e.g.*, 4:58-60, 4:63-67. Since LPL’s definition of “through” covers both screws and adhesives, the Court finds that it is the better definition.

LPL contends that the phrase “passing through,” in contrast to “through,” is used only in reference to screws and screw holes, which extend into an object. CPT, on the other hand, argues that “passing through” and “through” have the same meaning. The doctrine of claim differentiation indicates that different words or phrases used in different claims are presumed to indicate that the claims have different meaning and scope. *Karlin Tech, Inc. v. Surgical Dynamics, Inc.*, 177 F.3d 968, 971 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Here, it appears that the inventors used the term “through” when generally referring to a fastening part but used “passing through” only when referring to a specific fastening part (i.e.. a screw). Therefore, the Court adopts LPL’s definitions of “through” and “passing through.”

4. Whether the terms “frame,” “first frame,” and “second frame” should be given their plain and ordinary meanings.

LPL defines “frame” to mean “a support structure.” Defendants defines “Frame” as “an open structure or rim for encasing, holding or bordering that encloses a substantial portion of each side edge of another structure.” Defendants base their argument on the theory that the meaning of the word “frame” is limited to the description in the specification. Under *Liebel*, this restrictive interpretation is inappropriate. Furthermore, Defendants define “first” and “second” frame to

mean “inner” and “outer” frame, even though the inventors did not use those terms. The use of “first” and “second” follow the “common patent-law convention to distinguish between repeated instances of element or limitation.” *3M Innovative Properties Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp.*, 350 F.3d 1365, 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2003). For example, Claim 31 of the ‘942 patent claims “[t]he portable computer according to claim 13 wherein the fastening part includes first and second screws passing through first and second holes at a same side edge of at least one of the first and second frames.” 8:25-28. Here, the words “first” and “second” are consistently used to distinguish repeated instances of element or limitation. Therefore, the Court gives “frame,” “first frame” and “second frame” their ordinary meanings, as set forth by LPL.

5. Whether “liquid crystal display model” is a typographical error

The parties agree on the construction of the claim term “liquid crystal display module.” However in one claim, Claim 7 of the ‘537 patent, the term appears as “liquid crystal display model.” Given the context, this is clearly a typographical error. Other parts of Claim 7 refer to the “liquid crystal display module.” The Court therefore construes “liquid crystal display model” as “liquid crsytal display module.”

6. Whether Definitions are Needed for “Portable Computer,” “Side,” “Forming” and “Cover”

Defendants propose cumbersome definitions for the terms “portable computer,” “side,” “forming” and “cover.” The Court finds that these definitions create unnecessary confusion and adopts LPL’s constructions, which give the terms their plain and ordinary meaning.

B. Construction of the ‘737 Semiconductor Patent

1. “Source Electrode,” “Drain Electrode,” and “Gate Electrode”

While LPL construes the electrode to include the line and the pad, Defendants limits the electrode to a single TFT and construes the electrodes as

distinct from the lines and the pads. The seventh step of claim 1 of the '737 patent calls for "exposing a part of each of said source electrode, drain electrode and gate electrode." LPL persuasively argues that electrodes are exposed at the pad region for electrical connection, as exposing a gate pad allows electrical control of all TFT gate contacts along the row. One of ordinary skill would not control each TFT gate/source independently, especially since creating a hole at each TFT to expose the gate electrode would destroy the TFT. Furthermore, the specification of the '737 patent describes a step in which "gate electrode 2 extending along one line and gate electrodes 2' on another line are formed on a transparent insulating substrate 1 such as glass substrate." 3:25-28. This indicates that a structure separate from the TFT is part of the "gate electrode." In addition, the claims in the application for the '449 patent include phrases such as "said pad comprising: a portion of said data electrode" and "a pad including a portion of [said] data electrode." Finally, U.S. Patent 4,705,358 ('358 patent), which also pertains to the same technology as the '737 patent, names the same inventor as the '737 patent, and was filed in the U.S. on the same day as the '737 patent, illustrates a gate electrode from above (i.e. a "bird's-eye-view") and demonstrates that the "gate electrode" may include the gate line. The Court therefore finds that the electrodes may include the lines and pads. Furthermore, the Court is not persuaded by that portion of Defendant's construction which specifies a particular direction for flow of charge carriers (from the source electrode toward the drain). The embodiment shown in Figure 3 of the '737 patent illustrates an arrangement where the direction of flow is reversed. Accordingly, the Court adopts LPL's construction of "source electrode," "gate electrode," and "drain electrode."

2. "Continuously Depositing"

LPL construes the term "continuously depositing" as "[t]he formation of the gate insulting film, the high-resistivity semiconductor film and conducting film without intervening films." Defendants offer a modified construction of this term

as meaning that “the deposition of the specified films occurs without any non-deposition related steps between or during the deposition of each constituted film.” While LPL’s definition requires the films to be only spatially continuous, Defendants’ definition requires continuity in space, time and sequence. The ‘737 patent shows “continuously deposited” films as being *spatially* continuous, but it does not show, mention or require the deposition to be performed without an interruption in time or sequence. Moreover, the plain meaning of “continuous” is “uninterrupted extension in space, time *or* sequence.” The Court therefore adopts LPL’s construction of “continuously depositing.”

3. “Oxidizing atmosphere”

Claim 1 of the ‘737 patent requires “continuously depositing [the films] . . . without exposing them to an oxidizing atmosphere.” LPL construes “an oxidizing atmosphere” as “an atmosphere that would create *substantial oxidation* on a film.” The Court finds that the word “substantial” in this construction is vague and ambiguous. Defendants initially construed this phrase to mean that the films are not permitted to be exposed to “*an oxide*,” although they acknowledged that a *de minimus* amount of oxidation is not an “oxidation atmosphere.” Defendants subsequently modified their construction to be “an atmosphere that would create a *detectable amount* of oxidation on a film.” As “detectable” is more precise than “substantial,” the Court adopts Defendants’ modified construction of this term.

4. “Island region”/ “island region on said gate electrode”

At the time the patent application was filed, there were at least two well-known constructs for the semiconductor region in a TFT. In one design, separate islands of semiconductor are created above each TFT’s gate electrode. In the other design, a single, unitary semiconductor region extends over all of the TFTs in one large “continent.” While LPL construes the term “island region” as used in the ‘737 patent to include both designs, Defendants’ construction limits this term to the first design (i.e. a region located over the gate electrode *of a single TFT*).

1 Defendants also require the island to have been “etched around its entire
2 perimeter.”

3 Defendants’ argument is persuasive, as claim 1 recites a process for
4 producing “a thin-film transistor.” 4:26. *See also* 1:5, 13, 29, 57, 65, 67; 2:9;
5 3:34-35. Furthermore, in discussing FIG. 3b, the specification provides that “said
6 low-resistivity amorphous silicon film 20 and high-resistivity amorphous silicon
7 film 4 are *left as an island region in the area where a thin-film transistor is to be*
8 *formed.*” 3:34-35. This statement indicates that the “island region” is limited to
9 the area of a single TFT and does not include multiple TFTs. Thus, the Court
10 finds that Defendants’ construction more accurately reflects the language of the
11 claim and the specification. Moreover, LPL’s construction appears to read the
12 term “island region” completely out of the third step, “in which said high-
13 resistivity semiconductor film and said conducting film are selectively etched so
14 that they are partly left *as an island region* on said gate electrode.” The Court
15 therefore adopts Defendants’ construction of the term “island region.”

16 **5. “Conducting Film Containing at Least a low-resistivity**
17 **Semiconductor Film”/ “Conducting Film”/ “High-resistivity**
18 **Semiconductor Film”/ “Low-Resistivity Semiconductor Film”**

19 The ‘737 patent discloses two preferred embodiments. One embodiment
20 includes four continuously deposited films: an insulating film, a high-resistivity
21 semiconductor films, a low-resistivity semiconductor film, and a conducting film.
22 *See* 2:17-21, Fig. 2a-2e. The other embodiment has three continuously deposited
23 films: an insulating film, a high-resistivity semiconductor films, and a low-
24 resistivity semiconductor film. *See* 2:24-30, Fig. 3a-3d.

25 Claim 1 of the ‘737 patent sets forth “a second step for continuously
26 depositing . . . a gate insulating film, a high-resistivity semiconductor film and a
27 *conducting film containing at least a low -resistivity semiconductor film.*” Claim
28 2, which is not at issue in this litigation, sets forth a second step wherein “said

1 conducting film is composed of *at least two layers consisting of a low-resistivity*
2 *semiconductor films and thereon a refractory metal film or transparent*
3 *conducting film.*”

4 According to LPL, the italicized phrase in Claim 1 above means that the
5 conducting film may consist only of a low-resistivity semiconductor film. CPT,
6 on the other hand, construes this phrase as requiring a conducting film with
7 adjoining layer of low resistivity semiconductor and possibly other adjoining
8 layers. CPT relies on a sentence in the specification discussing Fig. 3a-3d, which
9 states that, “[i]n this example, *no conducting film is formed on low-resistivity*
10 *amorphous silicon film 20*, but a conducting film such as ITO film may be formed
11 on said low-resistivity film 20 as in the example shown in FIG. 2.”¹ While this
12 sentence does suggest that the conducting film is distinct from the low-resistivity
13 semiconductor film, CPT’s interpretation would narrow the scope of Claim 1 to
14 exclude the second embodiment. A claim construction that excludes a preferred
15 embodiment is “rarely, if ever, correct.” *Dow Chemical Co. v. Sumitomo*
16 *Chemical Co.*, 257 F.3d 1364, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Furthermore, the fact that
17 the conducting film is specifically described as having *two layers* in claim 2 but
18 not in claim 1 indicates that two adjoining layers are not needed for the first claim.
19 Thus, the Court adopts LPL’s construction of the term “conducting film containing
20 at least a low-resistivity semiconductor film.”

21 LPL’s construction of “conducting film” is consistent with this Court’s
22 determination that the conducting film can be the low -resistivity semiconductor
23 film. LPL construes “conducting film” according to its plain meaning, namely, a
24 thickness of electrically conductive material. Defendants’ construction of
25 conducting film, on the other hand, restricts it to film “having an electrical
26 _____

27 ¹LPL submits intrinsic evidence in the form of a scientific article describing ITO (indium tin oxide)
28 as a “semiconductor.” This supports LPL’s position that the conducting film can be the low-
resistivity semiconductor.

1 resistance several order of magnitude lower than a low-resistivity semiconductor
 2 film.” In other words, Defendants define “conducting film” as distinct from “low-
 3 resistivity semiconductor film.” The Court rejects Defendants’ construction and
 4 adopts LPL’s construction since it finds that the conducting film in claim 1 of the
 5 ‘737 patent may consist of the low-resistivity semiconductor film, as discussed
 6 above.

7 LPL’s constructions of “high-resistivity semiconductor film” and “low-
 8 resistivity semiconductor film” distinguishes these terms based on their relative
 9 resistivity. Defendants distinguish the terms according to whether they are
 10 “doped” (i.e. intentionally mixed with impurities) or “undoped.” The ‘737 patent
 11 makes no mention of the terms “doped” and “undoped.” Furthermore, LPL
 12 presents evidence that it is improper to equate the terms high-resistivity with
 13 “undoped” and low-resistivity with “doped.” The Court therefore rejects
 14 Defendants’ method of distinguishing these terms. Defendants also rely solely on
 15 extrinsic evidence in defining high-resistivity semiconductor film as having a
 16 resistance “many orders of magnitude” greater than the low-resistivity film. The
 17 Court finds this language vague and unnecessary. The Court therefore adopts
 18 LPL’s constructions of the terms “high-resistivity semiconductor film” and “low-
 19 resistivity semiconductor film.”

20 **6. “Mask”/ “At least a part of the Mask”/ “Said source and drain**
 21 **electrodes serving as at least part of the mask”**

22 Claim 1 requires a step for selectively removing material “with said source
 23 and drain electrodes serving as at least part of the mask.” The parties dispute
 24 whether an electrode covered by photoresist serves as at least part of the mask.
 25 Defendants’ construction may exclude such an electrode, as it requires that the
 26 source and drain electrodes “make a *significant contribution* to defining the edges
 27 of the selectively removed region” or alternatively “*shield at least part of the*
 28 *surface* from the action of the removal technique.” While the photoresist may be

1 the *outermost* layer of the mask, the electrodes are part of the mask structure, as,
2 they, too, are resistive to the removal technique and in the pattern needed to etch
3 exposed conductive film. LPL construes “mask” as “a pattern above a surface
4 from which material is to be selectively removed. The pattern is made of material
5 that is resistive to the removal technique relative to the material to be removed.”
6 The Court finds that this definition best explains the mask, as well as how the
7 electrodes serve as “at least a part of the mask.” The Court does not need to
8 construe “said source and drain electrodes serving as at least part of the mask,”
9 since this phrase simply combines the terms “source electrode,” “drain electrode”
10 and “at least a part of the mask.”

11 **7. “Thin Film Transistor”**

12 LPL’s and Defendants’ construction of “thin film transistor” (“TFT”) are
13 very similar. They differ in one respect: LPL specifies that TFTs are not
14 constructed in a single crystal silicon wafer. Since the single wafer is mentioned
15 in the intrinsic evidence and Defendants do not deny that TFTs are constructed in
16 a single crystal silicon wafer, the Court adopts LPL’s construction.

17 **8. “A fourth step for selectively forming a source electrode and** 18 **drain electrode”**

19 LPL’s construction of “a fourth step for selectively forming a source
20 electrode and drain electrode” requires the source and drain electrodes to be
21 “formed together.” The Court finds nothing in the claim or specification that
22 supports this interpretation. Although the formation of the source and drain
23 electrodes is listed in one step, nothing suggests that each action within each step
24 must be performed together. LPL’s argument that the objective of the invention
25 supports this interpretation is unpersuasive in light of *Liebel*, discussed above.
26 However, the Court also finds Defendants’ construction problematic. Defendants
27 construe this phrase as “forming a source electrode and drain electrode in selected
28 regions only by depositing a conducting film or other material such as Al.”

Figures 1-3 and the specification do indicate that the source and drain electrode are formed in selected regions. *See* 1:15-17, 2:10-14,, 3:36-44. However, the specification does not support the second part of CPT's construction. Rather, the specification indicates that source and drain electrodes can be formed via deposition *and subsequent etching of conductive material*. The Court therefore modifies Defendant's construction and defines the phrase as "forming a source electrode and drain electrode in selected regions only," which is consistent with the Court's construction of "selectively forming" below.

9. "Contacting a part of the surface of said island region"

LPL construes "contacting a part of the surface of said island region" to mean "[f]orming an electrical connection to a part of the surface of the island region" while Defendants construe it to require "touching a part of the surface of the island region." The Court finds that Defendants' construction better reflects the plain meaning of the claim.

10. "Forming . . . on"

The first step of claim 1 is "for forming a gate electrode on an insulating substrate." LPL argues that the '737 patent uses "forming" in the sense of "providing" whereas Defendants construe "forming" as to give "form or shape to." The Court finds it awkward to define "forming" as "providing" in the phrase "selectively forming a gate electrode 2 on an insulating substrate 1," which is offered as intrinsic evidence by both parties. Defendants' construction is more meaningful in this specific context as well as in the specification and the claims as a whole. Moreover, Defendant's construction is consistent with this Court's definition of "a fourth step for *selectively forming* a source electrode and drain electrode." The Court therefore adopts Defendants' construction of "forming . . . on."

11. "Selectively etched"/ "Selectively forming"/ "Selectively removing"

Claim 1 recited a third step wherein “said high-resistivity semiconductor film and said conducting films are *selectively etched* so that they are partly left as an island region on said gate electrode.” LPL defines “selectively etched” as the “removal of selected portions of a surface using etching techniques (such as wet etching, plasma etching, reactive ion etching, and ion etching) in order to produce a desired pattern on the surface.” Defendants object to this definition because it refers to removal of portions of a *surface*, rather than the *entire film*. While the claim does specifically refer to the etching of the high-resistivity semiconductor film and the conducting films, the Court finds nothing in the language of the claim or the specification that requires etching of the *entire* film. Furthermore, Defendants’ construction, which requires etching of the high-resistivity semiconductor film, the conducting film, and the low-resistivity semiconductor film, is inconsistent with both the language of the claim and with this Court’s finding that the conducting film may constitute the low-resistivity semiconductor film. The Court therefore adopts LPL’s definition of “selectively etched.”

In addition, Claim 1 recites a fourth step for “selectively forming a source electrode and a drain electrode,” and a fifth step for “selectively removing said conducting film exposed on said island region.” The Court finds no substantive difference between LPL’s and Defendants’ constructions of “selectively forming” and “selectively removing.” However, the Court adopts Defendants’ definitions of these respective terms as “forming in selected regions only” and “removing selected regions only” because they convey the meaning in the simplest language.

C. Construction of Disputed Terms of the ‘449 Patent

1. Gate Electrode/ Source Electrode

Defendants construe the gate/ source/ data electrodes to exclude the lines and pads. Claims 10 and 11 of the ‘449 patent do refer to the gate electrode, gate pad, source electrode, and source pad individually. For example, claim 10 recites that the liquid crystal display device is comprised of “a first conductive layer . . .

including: *a gate electrode, a gate pad, and a source pad.*” (7:34-39). The specification, however, provides additional information that helps clarify the relationship between the electrodes and the pads. In Figures 2d and 2e, the source pad and source electrode are shown as one connected structure, although they are labeled 7 and 7A respectively. In discussing Figure 2c, the specification states that “[s]ource electrode 7 thus forms part of a transistor region *and serves as source pad 7A* above the gate insulating film so that the same conductive layer constitutes part of the source wiring and the source electrode of the TFT.” (4:1-5). In discussing Figure 2e, the specification states that “ITO pattern 6A is provided on *source pad 2A, which is part of a data electrode of the LCD.*” In describing the second embodiment depicted in Figure 3, the specification provides that “source electrode 7 and source pad 2A may be connected to each other in the same step that the pixel electrode is formed.” Thus, while the source pads and electrodes are formed separately, they are then connected and the specification’s language indicates that they are not necessarily distinct structures. The originally filed application during the prosecution of the ‘449 patent also supports LPL’s position that the electrode should not be defined as excluding the line and pad. The first claim of the original application recites “[a] pad for providing an[] electrical connection to a data electrode of a switching device, said *pad comprising: a portion fo said data electrode . . .*”² The fourth claim in the original application recites “a liquid crystal display device comprising: a data line; and a pad, *said pad including: a portion of said data line. . .*” Likewise, the intrinsic evidence does not indicate that the gate electrode must exclude the gate pad. In fact, Figures 2a-2e do not include a separate number identifying the “gate electrode.” The Court therefore rejects a construction of “electrode” that specifically excludes the line and pad.

²The word “data” corresponds to “source.”

1 The Court also rejects Defendant's construction of these terms because it
 2 specifies a particular direction for flow of charge carriers (from the source
 3 electrode toward the drain). The intrinsic evidence does not support such a
 4 limitation. Furthermore, as discussed previously with respect to the '737 patent,
 5 the embodiment shown in Figure 3 of the '737 patent illustrates an arrangement
 6 where the direction of flow is reversed. Accordingly, the Court adopts LPL's
 7 construction of "source electrode," "gate electrode," and "drain electrode."

8 2. "Gate pad"/ "Source pad"

9 According to LPL's construction, pads are provided near the periphery of
 10 the TFT array "to receive data from a [gate or data] driving circuit." Defendants
 11 contend that this is ambiguous because other parts of the wiring, which are not
 12 pads, may also receive data from an external driving circuit. Defendants construe
 13 the pads as an element "that is necessary in order to communicate information
 14 from an external driving circuit to a [gate or source] electrode." Defendants base
 15 this construction on a sentence in the specification which states that "*a pad wiring*
 16 *layer* is necessary in order to communicate information from an external driving
 17 circuit to the gate and source." (1:51-53). Since the specification refers to the *pad*
 18 *wiring layer* as being necessary and not the pad, the Court rejects Defendants'
 19 construction and adopts LPL's constructions of "gate pad" and "source pad."

20 3. "On"/ "formed on"/ "disposed on"

21 LPL defines "on", "formed on" and "disposed on" as "touching a top or side
 22 of." LPL contrasts these terms with "overlying," which it defines as "above"
 23 something but not necessarily touching it. This Court agrees with Defendants that
 24 the specification does not support the distinction made by LPL. For example, the
 25 specification states that a "conductive layer is formed *on* the substrate and etched
 26 in accordance with a predetermined pattern, thereby forming a source electrode 7
 27 and a drain electrode 8." (5:6-8) (emphasis added). Conductive layer 7 and 8 do
 28 not touch the substrate (see Fig. 3), yet the specification uses the word "on." See

also 2:42-44; 3:50-54; 7:49-50 (all using the word “on” to describe a situation where there is no “touching”). The Court therefore adopts Defendants’ definition of “on.”

4. “Contact hole is provided through . . . layer”/ “Provided through”

The phrases “contact hole is provided through” and “provided through” appear only in claims 1 and 10, always in the context of a contact hole being “provided through” one or more layers of materials. Defendants construe the claim terms to mean that these holes are “made in one side and out the opposite side” of the layers of materials. The Court finds that these phrases should be given their ordinary meaning and therefore adopts LPL’s constructions.

5. “Active layer”

Defendants’ construction of “active layer” limits this area to the region of the semiconductor layer that forms the channel region between the source and drain electrodes. Figures 2b-e, 3 and 5 of the specification show the active layer extending only under the source 7 and drain 8. However, as LPL points out, these figures do not in any way limit the extension of active layer 4 in the dimension perpendicular to the figure, or in other areas of the substrate not depicted in the cross-section views. Since nothing in the claim or specification limits the active layer to the region of semiconductor layer between the source and drain electrodes, the Court adopts LPL’s construction of this term.

6. “Common hole”

LPL construes “common hole” in accordance with its plain meaning as “[a] shared hole.” Defendants construe “common hole” to mean “single hole.” The court finds Defendants’ construction ambiguous, since it suggests that only one hole is permitted. The Court therefore adopts LPL’s construction of this term.

7. “Aligned”

LPL construed “aligned” to mean “placed in line with,” which is its ordinary meaning. Defendants, on the other hand, construe “aligned” to mean

“substantially co-axial or concentric.” Defendants’ definition would require the holes to be one on top of the other, whereas LPL’s construction would permit the holes to be placed either side-by-side or on top of each other. Although the figures in the specification show these holes to be on top of each other as described by Defendants, the plain language of the claim should not be limited by the figures in the specification. *See Dayco Products*, 258 F.3d at 1327. The Court therefore adopts LPL’s construction for this term.

8. “Said second insulating layer having a second contact hole exposing a predetermined portion of said second conductive layer and said first contact hole region”

Defendants’ construction of “said second insulating layer having a second contact hole exposing a predetermined portion of said second conductive layer and said first contact hole region” limits the phrase to mean that “the first and second contact holes must overlap.” However, none of the embodiments disclosed in the ‘449 patent teaches that the hole exposing the second conductive layer (i.e. the “second hole”) overlaps with the hole in the first insulative layer that exposes the first conductive layer. *See, e.g.*, Fig. 3 and Fig. 5. Since a claim construction that excludes from its scope a preferred embodiment is rarely, if ever, correct, the Court adopts LPL’s construction.

9. “Wiring structure”

This term “wiring structure” appears in Claims 1-5 of the ‘449 patent. LPL’s definition characterizes the term as a “slender structure” while Defendants refer to the layer simply as a “structure.” Claim 1 begins with “A wiring structure comprising: a substrate” Since the substrate is typically a large slab of glass, which is not “slender,” the Court adopts Defendants’ definition of this term.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE: May 5, 2005


CONSUELO B. MARSHALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No. CV 02-6775 CBM (JTLx)

Date: September 7, 2007

Title: LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.

DOCKET ENTRY

ORDER: **DENYING** LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd.'s Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent (#1530)

PRESENT: Honorable CONSUELO B. MARSHALL, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

JOSEPH LEVARIO

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS

N/A

N/A

PROCEEDINGS:


The matter before the Court is Plaintiff LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd.'s Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent. Defendant Chunghwa's Picture Tubes Ltd. ("CPT") timely filed its Opposition, which was joined by Defendants Tatung Co. of America and Tatung Company. Plaintiff timely filed its reply. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiff LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd.'s Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent. This is not a final order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk g
cc Judge Marshall
Parties of Record

Priority ✓
Send ✓
Enter
Closed
JS-5/JS-6
JS-2/JS-3
Scan Only



1696

EXHIBIT 18

(JTLx), AO279, CLOSED, PROTORD, REOPENED, STAYED

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(Western Division - Los Angeles)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:02-cv-06775-CBM-JTL**

LG Philips LCD Co v. Tatung Co Of America, et al
Assigned to: Judge Consuelo B. Marshall
Referred to: Discovery Jennifer T. Lum
Demand: \$0
Related Cases: [2:05-cv-00189-CBM-JTL](#)
[2:05-cv-07004-CBM-JTL](#)

Date Filed: 08/29/2002
Date Terminated: 12/18/2007
Jury Demand: Both
Nature of Suit: 830 Patent
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Case in other court: Federal Circuit Court, 04-01330
Cause: 15:1126 Patent Infringement

Plaintiff

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Adrian P J Mollo**
McKenna Long and Aldridge
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7441
Email: amollo@mckennalong.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrew J Wu
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306
650-843-4000
Email: awu@morganlewis.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1701 Market St
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921
215-963-5000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony C Roth
Morgan Lewis & Bockius

1111 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Corinne A Niosi
Morgan Lewis and Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue N W
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
Morgan Lewis and Bockius
Spear Street Tower
One Market
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-442-1000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David M Morris
Morgan Lewis and Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
Fax: 202-739-3001
Email: dmorris@morganlewis.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
213-612-2051
Email: dschrader@morganlewis.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
Morgan Lewis and Bockius
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306
650-843-7532
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Gaspare J Bono

McKenna Long and Aldridge
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7211
Email: gbono@mckennalong.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown

Pircher Nichols and Meeks
1925 Century Park East
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
310-201-8990
Email: jbrown@pircher.com
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John D Zele

Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard

Morgan Lewis and Bockius
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-963-5592
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Laurence Evan Stein

McKenna Long and Aldridge
1900 K Street NW
Washington, DC 90006
202-496-7211
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mary Jane Boswell

Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000

Email: mboswell@morganlewis.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Matthew T Bailey
McKenna Long & Aldridge
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7211
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nathan W McCutcheon
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

R Tyler Goodwyn, IV
McKenna Long and Aldridge
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7500
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ralph A Phillips
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-739-3000
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Stephen Chippendale
McKenna Long and Aldridge
1900 K Street Northwest
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7789
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
300 S Grand Ave, 22nd Fl
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
213-612-2500
LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrea Sheridan Ordin
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
300 S Grand Ave, 22nd Fl
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
213-612-2500
Fax: 213-612-2554
Email: aordin@morganlewis.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Tatung Co Of America

represented by **Christopher Darrow**
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310-586-7700
Email: darrowc@gtlaw.com
TERMINATED: 05/11/2004
LEAD ATTORNEY

Christopher M Joe
Greenberg Traurig
JP Morgan Chase Tower
2200 Ross Avenue
Suite 5200
Dallas, TX 75201
214-665-3604
Email: joec@gtlaw.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Eric S Tautfest
Greenberg Traurig
JP Morgan Chase Tower
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4700E
Dallas, TX 75201
972-419-9100
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Frank Eugene Merideth, Jr
Greenberg Traurig
2450 Colorado Ave, Ste 400 East
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310-586-7825
Email: meridethf@gtlaw.com
LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey F Craft

Jackson DeMarco Tidus and
Peckenpaugh
2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 200
Westlake Village, CA 91361-3010
805-230-0023

Email: jcraft@lhlaw.com

TERMINATED: 07/07/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark L Hoggs

Greenberg Traurig
800 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20006
202-331-3100

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark H Krietzman

Greenberg Traurig
2450 Colorado Ave, Ste 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310-586-7700

Email: krietzmanm@gtlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Terence J Clark

Greenberg Traurig
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310-586-7757

Email: clarkt@gtlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

Thomas N Fitzgibbon

Pfeiffer Thigpen and FitzGibbon
233 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 220
Santa Monica, CA 90401
310-451-5800

Fax: 310-451-1599

Email: TNF@ptflaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Valerie W Ho

Greenberg Traurig

2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400 E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310-586-7700
Email: hov@gtlaw.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Tatung Company

represented by **Christopher Darrow**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 05/11/2004
LEAD ATTORNEY

Christopher M Joe
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Eric S Tautfest
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Frank Eugene Merideth, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey F Craft
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 07/07/2003
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark L Hoggs
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark H Krietzman
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Terence J Clark
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY

Valerie W Ho
(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd

represented by **Anthony C Roth**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Brian S Kim
Howrey Simon Arnold and White
550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2627
213-892-1800
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christine A Dudzik
Howrey
321 North Clark Street, Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60610
312-595-2254
Email: dudzikc@howrey.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
Howrey
550 S Hope St, Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
213-892-1800
Email: mathewsc@howrey.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David G Meyer
Howrey
550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
213-892-1800
Email: meyerd@howrey.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David P Owen
Howrey Simon Arnold and White
The Rembrandt Tower
One Amstelplein, 31st Floor
1096 HA Amsterdam
Nethe

011 31 20 592 4411
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
Howrey Simon Arnold & White
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708
415-848-4900
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael Langer Resch
Howrey
550 S Hope St, Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
213-892-1800
Email: reschm@howrey.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P Taylor
Howrey
1950 University Avenue, 4th Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
650-798-3555
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
Howrey Simon Arnold and White
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708
415-848-4944
Email: corbint@howrey.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Envision Peripherals Inc

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Jean Company Ltd

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Lite-On Technology Corporation

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Lite-On Technology International Inc

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

TPV Technology Ltd

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Viewsonic Corporation

represented by **Michael Langer Resch**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Brian S Kim
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Defendant

LG Electronics Inc

represented by **Andrew J Wu**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony C Roth
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mary Jane Boswell
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nathan W McCutcheon
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd

represented by **Brian S Kim**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christine A Dudzik
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David G Meyer
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David P Owen

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael Langer Resch

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P Taylor

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews

(See above for address)

V.

Counter Defendant

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Adrian P J Mollo**

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrea Sheridan Ordin

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrew J Wu

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony C Roth
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Corinne A Niosi
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John D Zele
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Matthew T Bailey
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nathan W McCutcheon

(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Stephen Chippendale
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Wilfredo Hernandez, Jr
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
300 S Grand Ave, 22nd Fl
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
213-612-2500
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David M Morris
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mary Jane Boswell
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Tatung Company

represented by **Christopher Darrow**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 05/11/2004
LEAD ATTORNEY

Christopher M Joe
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Eric S Tautfest
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Frank Eugene Merideth, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey F Craft

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 07/07/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark L Hoggs

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mark H Krietzman

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Terence J Clark

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

Valerie W Ho

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Adrian P J Mollo**

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrea Sheridan Ordin

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrew J Wu

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony C Roth

(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John D Zele
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mary Jane Boswell
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Matthew T Bailey
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nathan W McCutcheon
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Stephen Chippendale
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
213-612-7370
Email: dschrader@morganlewis.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd

represented by **Christine A Dudzik**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David G Meyer
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David P Owen
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael Langer Resch
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P Taylor
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Brian S Kim

(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

LG Electronics Inc

represented by **Andrew J Wu**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Defendant

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Adrian P J Mollo**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrea Sheridan Ordin
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrew J Wu
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Dieter H Hellmoldt
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Matthew T Bailey
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Stephen Chippendale
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony C Roth
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey N Brown
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 10/12/2005
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mary Jane Boswell
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nathan W McCutcheon
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A Ascencio
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

ThirdParty Plaintiff

Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd

represented by **Christine A Dudzik**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher A Mathews
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David G Meyer
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David P Owen
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael Langer Resch
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P Taylor
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

ThirdParty Defendant

LG Electronics Inc

represented by **David L Schrader**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Tatung Company

represented by **Christopher M Joe**
(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Eric S Tautfest
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Valerie W Ho
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Andrea Sheridan Ordin**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd

represented by **Christopher A Mathews**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David G Meyer
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Glenn W Rhodes
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael Langer Resch
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P Taylor
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa M Corbin
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

LG Philips LCD Co Ltd

represented by **Andrea Sheridan Ordin**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ann A Byun
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel Johnson, Jr
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kell M Damsgaard
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David L Schrader
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Defendant

LG Electronics Inc

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/29/2002	1	COMPLAINT filed Summons(es) Issued referred to Discovery Jennifer T. Lum; Jury Demand (pc) (Entered: 09/03/2002)
08/29/2002	2	NOTICE OF INTERESTED PARTIES filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co (pc) (Entered: 09/03/2002)
08/29/2002		REPORT ON THE FILING OF AN ACTION REGARDING PATENT OR TRADEMARK (cc: form mailed to Washington, D.C.)(Opening) (el) (Entered: 09/03/2002)
09/05/2002		REPORT ON THE FILING OF AN ACTION REGARDING PATENT OR TRADEMARK (cc: form mailed to Washington, D.C.)(Opening) (el) (Entered: 09/06/2002)
09/11/2002	3	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon defendant Tatung Co Of America; Service by Fed Statute on 9/3/02 via personal delivery by serving S/C to Michael Lai, Registered Agent, auth to receive service of process (el) (Entered: 09/11/2002)
09/12/2002	4	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon defendant Tatung Co; Service by Fed on 9/3/02 via Personal delivery by serving S/C to Michael Lai, Registered Agent for its subsidiary, Tatung Company of America (el) (Entered: 09/16/2002)
09/19/2002	5	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon defendant Chunghwa Picture ; Service by CCP on 9/3/02 via substituted by serving s/c to Janice Doe manager Tatugn Telecom manager (with person apparently in charge , Asian female, 5'5 125lbs, Black Hair on behalf of Dundee Hsieh General Manager; (1st class mail see decl of mailing fld on 9/19/02) (pj) (Entered: 09/20/2002)
09/19/2002	6	DECL OF MAILING executed upon defendant Chunghwa Picture TUBES ltd ; Service by on 9/4/02 via BY MAIL by serving S/C to (pj) (Entered: 09/20/2002)
09/20/2002	7	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case filed for plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co by Nathan W McCutcheon. Designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall . (Fee pd) (el) (Entered: 09/23/2002)
09/20/2002	8	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case filed for plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co by Anthony C Roth. Designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall . (Fee pd) (el) (Entered: 09/23/2002)
09/20/2002	9	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case filed for plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co by John D Zele. Designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall . (Fee pd) (el) (Entered: 09/23/2002)
09/20/2002	10	STIPULATION filed to extend time to answer complaint [1-1] to 10/23/02

		as to defendant Tatung Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company (el) (Entered: 09/23/2002)
09/25/2002	11	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon defendant Chunghwa Picture upon Chen-Yuan Lin, President; Service by (not indicated) on 9/3/02 via registered international mail by serving S/C to (stamped in chinese character), as agent, original return rcpt attached as Exhibit "A" (el) (Entered: 09/26/2002)
09/27/2002	12	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon defendant Tatung Company ; Service by no statute indicated on 9/9/02 via by FOREIGN SERVICE by serving s/c to Lin Ting Shen of Tatung Company; The original return receipt for Intl Mail signed by an agent of Tatung Co is attached (pj) (Entered: 09/30/2002)
10/18/2002	14	REQUEST filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co for entry of default as to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd ; Decl of Jeffrey N Brown (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/18/2002	15	SUPPLEMENTAL PROOF OF SERVICE by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co re executed service [5-1] re plf's request to enter default agnst Chunghwa Picture Tubes Inc (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/20/2002	87	DECLARATION of Nathan W McCutcheon by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co in support of motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/22/2002	13	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that dft Tatung Company may have an additional thirty (30) days through and including 11/22/02 in which to answer the complaint (el) (Entered: 10/23/2002)
10/25/2002	17	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to extend time to file an snawer or to otherwise respond to the complaint , and to strike request for entry of default ; motion hearing set for 10:00 12/2/02 (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/25/2002	18	DECLARATION of Teresa M Corbin in suppt by defendant Chunghwa Picture re motion to extend time to file an or to otherwise respond to the complaint [17-1], motion to strike request for entry of default [17-2] (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/25/2002	19	PROOF OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 10/25/02 Motion to ext time to ans & to strike req for dflt and related docs served on Jeffrey N Brown Esq by mail (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/28/2002	16	DEFAULT ENTERED as to defendant Chunghwa Picture (cc: all counsel) (el) (Entered: 10/28/2002)
10/29/2002	20	MINUTES: ORDER striking dft Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's motion to extend time to file an answer or to otherwise respond to the complaint [17-1], and to strike entry of default [17-2], for failure to comply with Local Rule 7-3 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none present (el) (Entered: 10/29/2002)
11/01/2002	21	EX PARTE APPLICATION filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture for relief

		from default entry Lodged proposed order (pj) (Entered: 11/04/2002)
11/01/2002	22	DECLARATION of TERESA M CORBIN IN SUPPORT by defendant Chunghwa Picture re ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (pj) (Entered: 11/04/2002)
11/01/2002	23	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 11/1/02 of ex parte appl for relief from default entry & supporting docs (pj) (Entered: 11/04/2002)
11/04/2002	24	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co in opposition to Chungwha Picture Tube Ltd's ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1]; Decl of Jeffrey N Brown (el) (Entered: 11/05/2002)
11/05/2002	25	REPLY BRIEF by defendant Chunghwa Picture in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Inc's ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (el) (Entered: 11/12/2002)
11/05/2002	26	REPLY DECLARATION of Teresa M Corbin in support by defendant Chunghwa Picture re ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (el) (Entered: 11/12/2002)
11/05/2002	27	PROOF OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 11/5/02 of Reply brief in suppt of ex party appl for relief from dflt entry and related docs served on Jeffrey N Brown and Jeffrey F Craft by facsimile and by mail (el) (Entered: 11/12/2002)
11/12/2002	28	REPLY DECLARATION of Jeffrey F Craft in support by defendant Chunghwa Picture to response to ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (el) (Entered: 11/13/2002)
11/12/2002	29	AMENDED REPLY BRIEF in support by defendant Chunghwa Picture to ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (el) (Entered: 11/13/2002)
11/12/2002	30	AMENDED REPLY DECLARATION of Teresa M Corbin in support by defendant Chunghwa Picture in support re ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] (el) (Entered: 11/13/2002)
11/12/2002	31	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 11/12/02 of Amended Reply brief, decl, etc (see doc for list) served on Jeffrey N Brown Esq and Jeffrey F Craft Esq by mail (el) (Entered: 11/13/2002)
11/19/2002	32	MINUTES: ORDER that dft's ex parte application for relief from default entry [21-1] is DENIED. The matter should be filed as a noticed motion. Defendant shall file a notice setting its previously stricken motion for hearing on 12/16/02 at 10:00 am; said notice shall include a stmtm in compliance with the local rule, and the specific filings related to this matter for the court's consideration for hearing. No further briefing by the parties shall be permitted. by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none present (el) (Entered: 11/20/2002)
11/25/2002	33	CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED PARTIES filed by defendant Tatung

		Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company (el) (Entered: 11/25/2002)
11/25/2002	34	ANSWER filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America to complaint for patent infringement [1-1] (el) (Entered: 11/25/2002)
11/25/2002	35	ANSWER filed by defendant Tatung Company to complaint for patent infringement [1-1] (el) (Entered: 11/25/2002)
11/26/2002	36	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION by defendant Chunghwa Picture for relief from dflt entry , and to extend time to answer complaint [1-1] to as to Chunghwa Picture ; motion hearing set for 10:00 12/16/02 Lodged order (el) (Entered: 11/26/2002)
11/26/2002	37	DECLARATION of Teresa M Corbin in compliance with L.R. 7-3 by defendant Chunghwa Picture re motion for relief from dflt entry [36-1], motion to extend time to answer complaint [1-1] to as to Chunghwa Picture [36-2] (el) (Entered: 11/26/2002)
11/26/2002		Text not available. (Entered: 11/26/2002)
11/26/2002	38	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 11/25/02 of Motion for relief from dflt jgm and rel docs served on Jeffrey N Brown and Jeffrey F Craft by personal delivery (el) (Entered: 11/26/2002)
11/26/2002	39	MINUTES: On the Court's own motion, mandatory status/scheduling conf is set for 11:00 2/24/03 ; Counsel shall file a Rule 26(f) discovery plan within 14 days after the conference of cnsl (see doc for fur details) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: n/a (el) (Entered: 11/27/2002)
12/16/2002	40	MINUTES: The motion of defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes for relief from dflt entry [36-1], granting motion to extend time to answer [1-1] to as to Chunghwa Picture [36-2] is GRANTED. Answer to complaint shall be filed on or before 12/30/02 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: Gary George (el) (Entered: 12/17/2002)
12/20/2002	41	ANSWER to complaint [1-1] and COUNTERCLAIM by defendant Chunghwa Picture against plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co; summons not issued (rrey) (Entered: 12/23/2002)
12/20/2002	42	NOTICE OF INTERESTED PARTIES filed by defendant, counter-claimant Chunghwa Picture (rrey) (Entered: 12/23/2002)
12/20/2002	43	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 12/20/02 of ans and counterclaims; notice of interested parties (rrey) (Entered: 12/23/2002)
12/20/2002	44	AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 12/20/02 of ans & cntclms, ntc of interested parties (pj) (Entered: 12/27/2002)
01/10/2003	45	REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM [41-2] by counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co; Demand for Jury Trial (el) (Entered: 01/14/2003)
01/16/2003	46	DEMAND for jury trial by defendant Chunghwa Picture, counter-claimant Chunghwa Picture (el) (Entered: 01/17/2003)

01/16/2003	47	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture, counter-claimant Chunghwa Picture on 1/16/03 of Demand for jury trial served on Jeffrey N Brown Esq and Jeffrey F Craft Esq by mail (el) (Entered: 01/17/2003)
02/18/2003	48	JOINT RULE 26 DISCOVERY PLAN filed; est length of trial 20 days (lc) (Entered: 02/19/2003)
02/18/2003	49	DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO FRCP 26(a) by defendant Tatung Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company (lc) Modified on 04/16/2003 (Entered: 02/19/2003)
04/16/2003	50	MINUTES: In chambers: case management dates established: exchange of claim construction terms 5/1/03; exchange of claim construction 5/21/03; joint claim construction statement 6/20/03; claim construction discovery 7/18/03; ; discovery ddl set on 12/15/03; motion filing ddl set on 10:00 4/12/04; Final Pretrial Conference set for 3:00 6/14/04; jury trial set on 10:00 7/27/04 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 04/17/2003)
04/29/2003	51	NOTICE by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co of related case(s) CV 03-2866 DDP(RZx), CV 03-2884 WJR(MANx), CV 03-2884 JFW(SHx), CV 03-2886 SVW(PLAx) (rn) (Entered: 04/30/2003)
06/09/2003	52	NOTICE OF CHANGE Of Address filed by atty Mark Howard Krietzman for defendant Tatung Company; 2450 Colorado Ave, Ste 400-E, Santa Monica, CA 90404: 310-586-7700, FAX 310-586-7800 (ab) (Entered: 06/10/2003)
06/23/2003	53	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that the Joint Claim Construction Statement may be filed by 6/25/03 in lieu of 6/20/03 ; The parties have worked diligently to finalize this pleading, but require the additional time to complete and file the Statement. (nhac) (Entered: 06/23/2003)
06/23/2003	54	STIPULATION Re: Additional Scheduling Issues and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; The parties stipulated that the Court's 4/16/03 Case Management Order [50-1] be supplemented as follows: 1)With respect to briefing concerning claim construction, the parties agree to the following scheduled: a)Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's opening brief shall be filed and served by 8/15/03; b)Defendants' responsive brief shall be filed and served by 8/29/03 and c)Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's reply brief shall be filed and served by 9/8/03; 2)With respect to exchange of expert disclosures and reports pursuant to FRCP 26, the parties agree to the following schedule: a)Opening disclosures and reports by all parties who bear the initial burden of proof shall be served by 12/22/03 and b)Rebuttal disclosures and reports shall be served by 1/16/04. (nhac) (Entered: 06/24/2003)
06/25/2003	55	Defendants' EXHIBITS to the joint claim construction statement exhibits. (bp) (Entered: 06/26/2003)
06/25/2003	56	JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT and request for claim construction hearing by defendant Chunghwa Picture (bp) (Entered: 06/26/2003)

		06/26/2003)
06/25/2003	57	EXHIBITS to joint claim construction statement [56-1] (bp) (Entered: 06/26/2003)
06/25/2003	58	PROOF OF SERVICE by defendant Chunghwa Picture on 6/25/03 of defendant's exhibits to the joint claim construction statement exhibits. (bp) (Entered: 06/26/2003)
06/25/2003	59	PROOF OF SERVICE by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co on 6/25/03 of joint claim construction statement and request for claim construction hearing. (bp) (Entered: 06/26/2003)
06/27/2003	60	NOTICE AND REQUEST Of Settlement Procedure Selection #3 fld Parties request to apr bef a retired judicial officer or other dispute resolution body for mediation type sttlmnt procdgs Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall granting settlement procedure selection request [60-1] (lc) (Entered: 06/30/2003)
07/07/2003	61	ATTORNEY SUBSTITUTION: terminating Soonnerschein Nath & Rosenthal for Tatung Co Of America and Tatung Co by defendant Tatung Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company and substituting Greenberg Traurig by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall (lc) (Entered: 07/08/2003)
07/10/2003	62	MINUTES: mandatory status/scheduling conf set for 11:00 8/4/03 ; parties should be prepared to address any opposition to Court's order consolidating: CV 03-6775, CV 03-2886, CV03-2866, CV03-2884, CV 03-2885; parties will be provided with an order re claim construction briefing and schedule for joint claim construction submitted by LG Philips, Tatung and Chungwa Picture Tubes by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 07/14/2003)
07/15/2003	63	MINUTES: Parties are directed to LR 79-5.1 re procedures for obtaining court approval and the filing of documents under seal and by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 07/16/2003)
07/15/2003	64	CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall re protective order (lc) (Entered: 07/16/2003)
08/04/2003	66	MINUTES: Status conference held . A Joint Status Report shall be filed on or before August 15, 2003 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: Noreen Cerge (pj) (Entered: 08/14/2003)
08/13/2003	65	RECEIPT OF TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following date(s): 08/04/03. CR: Noreen Cerge. (cbr) (Entered: 08/14/2003)
08/13/2003		TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 08/04/03. (cbr) (Entered: 08/14/2003)
08/15/2003	67	PARTIES JOINT REPORT of Concerning Consolidation, Claim Construction Schedule and Stay of Proceedings as to Defendant Viewsonic filed by defendant Viewsonic Corp (ir) (Entered: 08/18/2003)
08/19/2003	68	MINUTES: consolidating cases FOR PRETRIAL PURPOSES AND

		SCHEDUING CLAIM CONSTRUCITON BREIFING: 2:02-cv-6775 with member cases 2:03-cv-2886, 2:03-cv-2866, 2:03-cv-2884, 2:03-cv-2885 ; Court denies without prejudice Viewsonic's request to stay proceedings; Claim construction schedule: meet and ovner 9/5/03; revised joint claim construction statement filed and served 9/12/03; plaintiff's claim construction brief 10/6/03; defendants' claim construction brief 10/21/03; plaintiff's claim construction reply brief 10/28/03; IN COURT HEARING RE: Claim Construction set on 2:00 11/17/03 ; if any party intends to make use of ouside experts during hearing, it must file and serve written notice of its intention no later than 10/22/03 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 08/21/2003)
09/11/2003	69	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case filed for LG Philips LCD Co, LG Philips LCD Co by Mary Jane Boswell. Designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall (Fee pd) (lc) (Entered: 09/12/2003)
09/16/2003	70	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall extending time to file revised joint claim construction to 9/17/03 (lc) (Entered: 09/17/2003)
09/17/2003	71	REVISED JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT by defendants (lc) (Entered: 09/18/2003)
09/17/2003	72	EXHIBITS to revised joint claim construction statement [71-1] by LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (lc) (Entered: 09/18/2003)
09/17/2003	73	EXHIBITS to the exhibits attached to the revised joint construction claim [72-1] (lc) (Entered: 09/18/2003)
09/25/2003	74	NOTICE re change of hearing on motiins to compel further responses to request for documents and interrogatories to Chunghwa Pictures by LG Philips LCD Co (lc) Modified on 09/30/2003 (Entered: 09/30/2003)
09/26/2003	77	MINUTES: A telephone conference was conducted 9/29/03 as to 2 motions to compel received but not filed; court ordered parties to meet and confer by end of this week; if issues not resovled, parties may then file new joint stipulation with accompanying motions; motsion with 10/21/03 hearing date were ordered rejected and returned by Discovery Jennifer T. Lum CR: tape 03-12 (lc) (Entered: 10/01/2003)
09/29/2003	75	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER by Discovery Jennifer T. Lum ordering (2) motions to compel responses and declarations submitted by LG Philips LCD Co received on 9/23/03 is not to be fld but instead rejected; Denial based on: joint stipulations not signed (lc) (Entered: 09/30/2003)
09/30/2003	76	EX PARTE APPLICATION filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co to expedite consideraton of stiipulations re filing of first amended complaint and claim construction schedule ; memorandum; declaration of Jeffrey N Brown (lc) (Entered: 09/30/2003)
10/01/2003	78	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: on or before 12/10/3, Tatung defendants shall advise all other parties in these related cases re any proposed revisions re "side-mount" patents and meet and confer with

		all parties revisions on 12/12/03; on or before 12/23/03, parties file a second revised joint claim construction statement to extent necessary based on terms contained in "side-mount" patent claims asserted against Tatung defendants ; current schedule for claim construction briefing and hearing be vacated; parties respective claim construcion brief shall be filed during month of January 2004, with parties stipulating to specific filing dates and page limits or bring such issues to court on or before 12/17/03; IN COURT HEARING RE: claim construction reset on 3:00 4/5/04; discovery ddl for these related cases reset on 6/11/04 (lc) Modified on 10/23/2003 (Entered: 10/01/2003)
10/01/2003	79	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: plaintiff first amended complaint deemed filed and served as of 9/26/03; Tatung defendants have until 10/17/03 to file and serve answer to first amended complaint and CPT need not file any further responses to first amended complaint; neither Tatung defendants nor plaintiff shall serve on each other new discovery requests during 9/26/03 through 11/28/03; neither Tatung defendants nor plaintiff shall seek to compel any currently outstanding discovery requests 9/26/03 through 11/18/03; non expert discovery deadline 6/11/03; opening disclosures and reports by all parties bearing burden of proof: 6/18/04; rebuttal disclosures and reports 7/9/04; expert discovery deadline 7/30/04; motion hearing date 10/11/04; Final Pretrial Conference set for 3:00 12/20/04 ; jury trial date to be determined (lc) (Entered: 10/01/2003)
10/01/2003	80	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT [1-1] by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Company; jury demand. Summons not issued (lc) (Entered: 10/02/2003)
10/02/2003	81	MINUTES: In chambers: Court on own motion: jury trial set on 10:00 5/24/05 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 10/03/2003)
10/17/2003	83	ANSWER filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America to amended complaint [80-1]; jury demand (mch) (Entered: 10/20/2003)
10/17/2003	82	ANSWER to amended complaint [80-1] and COUNTERCLAIM by defendant Tatung Company against plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co; jury demand; (pj) (Entered: 11/07/2003)
10/20/2003	84	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 ; motion hearing set for 10:00 11/17/03 Lodged proposed statement of uncontroverted facts and proposed order (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/20/2003	85	Lexis Cases cited IN SUPPORT by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co of motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/20/2003	86	MEMORANDUM of points and authorities IN SUPPORT by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co of motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/20/2003	88	DECLARATION of Won Jun Choi by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co in support of motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)

10/20/2003	89	PROOF OF SERVICE by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co on 10/20/03 of Notice of motion and motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of US Patent No 6,002,457, Memorandum of points and authorities, Declarations, Statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law, proposed order and other documents served upon CHristopher Mathews, Mark Krietzman, Tracy Roman by personally delivering documents, Teresa M Corbin by Federal Express mail (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/21/2003	90	NOTICE by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co of change of hearing date, resetting hearing on motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S> Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] 10:00 11/17/03 (shb) (Entered: 10/23/2003)
10/23/2003	91	EX PARTE APPLICATION filed by defendant to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar as premature ; Lodged order (lc) (Entered: 10/24/2003)
10/23/2003	92	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support by defendant re ex parte application to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar as premature [91-1] (lc) (Entered: 10/24/2003)
10/23/2003	93	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT by defendant of ex parte application to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar as premature [91-1] (lc) (Entered: 10/24/2003)
10/23/2003	94	PROOF OF HAND SERVICE by defendant on 10/23/03 of ex parte to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar as premature and supporting documents (see list) (lc) (Entered: 10/24/2003)
10/24/2003	95	NOTICE OF JOINDER by Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company joining ex parte application to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar as premature [91-1]; memorandum; declaration of Mark H Krietzman (lc) (Entered: 10/27/2003)
10/28/2003	97	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities and Declaration of Jeffrey N Brown filed by plaintiff and counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co in opposition to Defendants' ex parte application to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off calendar [91-1] (ir) (Entered: 10/29/2003)
10/29/2003	96	MINUTES: Court grants defendants' ex parte application to take plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment off as premature [91-1] taking motion for partial summary judgment of infringement of U.S Pat. No 6,002,457 [84-1] off calendar by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 10/29/2003)
10/31/2003	98	NOTICE OF INTERESTED PARTIES filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company (lc) (Entered: 11/03/2003)
10/31/2003	99	FIRST AMENDED ANSWER [82-1] by defendant Tatung Company; jury demand AND (pj) (Entered: 11/07/2003)
10/31/2003	99	AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM [82-2] by counter-claimant Tatung Company; adding cntdft LG Electronics Inc (pj) (Entered: 11/07/2003)
11/07/2003		SUMMONS on First Amended Complaint issued as to defendant Tatung Co

		Of America, defendant Tatung Company, defendant Chunghwa Picture, counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co, counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co, counter-defendant LG Electronics Inc (shb) (Entered: 11/10/2003)
11/14/2003	100	PROOF OF SERVICE of AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS executed upon counter-defendants LG Philips LCD Co, LG Philips LCD Co, and LG Electronics Inc ; Service by federal rule and by mail on 11/7/03 by serving Jeffrey N Brown and Wilfredo Hernandez attorneys; Proof of service of answer to first amended complaint by mail (lc) (Entered: 11/17/2003)
11/14/2003	101	CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION and ORDER by Discovery Jennifer T. Lum re protective order (lc) (Entered: 11/17/2003)
11/20/2003	102	STIPULATION and ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall extending time to answer Tatung first amended counterclaim [99-1] to 12/22/03 as to counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd ; re any FRCP 12 motions by LPL as to first amended counterclaim: LPL motion be filed and served no later than 12/22/03; Tatung opposition be filed and served no later than 1/12/04; LPL reply be filed answered no later than 1/20/04; hearing date be scheduled no earlier than 1/26/04 10:00 (lc) (Entered: 11/24/2003)
12/02/2003	103	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon counter-defendant LG Electronics Inc ; Service by CCP on 11/18/03 via personal service by serving summons, amended counterclaim to Nick Stanlous, manager, authorized to accept service of process (lc) (Entered: 12/03/2003)
12/02/2003	104	PROOF OF SERVICE executed upon counter-defendant LG Electronics Inc; Service by CCP on 11/11/03 via personal service by serving summons and countercla to Nick Stanlous, manager, authorized to accept (lc) (Entered: 12/03/2003)
12/09/2003	105	PROOF OF SERVICE by defendant Tatung Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company on 12/2/03 of summons on first amended counterclaims, Defendant Tatung Company's first amended answer to plaintiff LG Phillips Co, LTD's first amended complaint for patent infringement and amended counterclaims, demand for jury trial, notice of interested parties served upon Jae-IIHwang c/o LG Electronics Inc (shb) (Entered: 12/11/2003)
12/09/2003	106	Declaration OF SERVICE by defendant Tatung Co Of America, defendant Tatung Company on 12/2/03 of summons on first amended counterclaims, defendant Tatung Company's first amended answer to Plaintiff LG Phillips Co Ltd's first amended complaint for patent infringement and amended counterclaims, demand for jury trial, notice of interested parties, civil case cover sheet on Jae-IIHwang Co's LG Electronics (shb) (Entered: 12/11/2003)
12/18/2003	107	JOINT REPORT RE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEFING (lc) (Entered: 12/19/2003)
12/22/2003	108	MINUTES: Court sets claims construction briefing schedule: plaintiff and defendants will file and serve opening briefs on or before 2/26/04; plaintiff and defendants file response briefs on or before 2/27/04; any request for relief beyond limits set forth in 11-6 must be filed and served on or before 1/7/04; any request to file a tutorial must be filed and served on or before

		1/7/04; if any party intends to use outside experts during claim construction hearing, it must file and serve written notice of of intention no later than 2/6/04 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall CR: none (lc) (Entered: 12/23/2003)
12/22/2003	109	EX PARTE APPLICATION filed by LG Philips LCD Co, LG Electronics Inc for leave to file declaration of Sungyum Kim under seal in support of motion to dismiss ; memorandum; declaration of Jeffrey N Brown; Lodged order, sealed declaration (lc) (Entered: 12/23/2003)
12/22/2003	110	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION by LG Philips LCD Co, LG Electronics Inc to dismiss 9th counterclaim ; motion hearing set for 10:00 1/26/04 (lc) (Entered: 12/23/2003)
12/22/2003	111	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT by LG Philips LCD Co, LG Electronics Inc of motion to dismiss 9th counterclaim [110-1]; declarations of Anthony C Roth, Won Jun Choi (lc) (Entered: 12/23/2003)
12/22/2003	112	PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE by LG Philips LCD Co on 12/22/03 of motion to dismiss 9th counterclaim and supporting documents (lc) (Entered: 12/23/2003)
12/23/2003	113	Second Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement (bp) (Entered: 12/24/2003)
12/23/2003	114	EXHIBITS to second revised joint claim construction statement re: side-mount patents-in-suit [113-1] (bp) (Entered: 12/24/2003)
12/24/2003	115	NOTICE OF ERRATA by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co correcting declaration of Won Jun Choi filed in support of motion to dismiss 9th counterclaim [110-1] (bp) (Entered: 12/24/2003)
12/24/2003	116	SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBITS TO EXHIBIT B Viewsonic Corp re second revised joint claim construction statement [113-1] (bg) (Entered: 12/29/2003)
12/30/2003	117	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall denying LG Phillips and LG Electronic's ex parte application for leave to file declaration of Sungyum Kim under seal in support of motion to dismiss [109-1] (lc) (Entered: 12/30/2003)
12/31/2003		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED plaintiff's proposed order re ex parte to seal declaration of Sunyum Kim under seal (lc) (Entered: 12/31/2003)
12/31/2003	118	DECLARATION of Sungyun Kim in support by LG Philips LCD Co re motion to dismiss 9th counterclaim [110-1] (lc) (Entered: 12/31/2003)
01/07/2004	119	Defendants' Joint STATEMENT Regarding submitting Tutorials with Claim Construction Briefs filed by Defendants, Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company (shb,) (Entered: 01/09/2004)
01/07/2004	120	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES RE NECESSITY OF TUTORIAL FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND RELIEF FROM LOCAL RULE 11-6 RE PAGE LIMITS FOR PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEFS AND REQUEST THEREON filed by plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order. (lc,) (Entered: 01/09/2004)

		01/10/2004)
01/12/2004	122	MINUTES OF In Chambers Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS the Parties' Stipulated Motion Requesting Relief from Local Rule 11-6. The Court also GRANTS Plaintiff's Request to file a tutorial regarding the technology that is at issue. (See order for further details) (shb,) (Entered: 01/14/2004)
01/12/2004	124	JOINT OPPOSITION to plaintiffs' memorandum re necessity of a tutorial for claim construction 120 filed by defendants. (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/13/2004	123	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal: opposition and declaration of Mark H Kreitzman and exhibits and declaration of Oliver Shih; memorandum; declaration of Valerie W Ho by defendant Tatung Company. Lodged order, proposed sealed documents. (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/13/2004	125	RESPONSE to Tatung's EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file opposition and declarations under seal 123 ; declaration of Jeffrey N Brown, Anthony C Roth filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, counter defendant LG Electronics Inc (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/14/2004	121	MINUTES OF In Chambers Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : On the Court's own motion, 110 Motion of LG Phillips Co, LG Electronics Inc to Dismiss to Dismiss 9th Counterclaim is continued for hearing on 1/29/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (shb,) (Entered: 01/14/2004)
01/14/2004	126	DECLARATION of Won Jun Choi in support of response re EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file opposition and declarations under seal 123 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and counter defendant LG Electronics Inc (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/14/2004	127	REPLY in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file opposition and declarations under seal 123 filed by defendant Tatung Company. (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/14/2004	128	MINUTES: Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall denying Tatung's EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal opposition, declaration of Mark H Krietzman and Oliver Shih 123 Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 01/15/2004)
01/15/2004	129	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : The Court hereby STRIKES Defendants Joint Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum re Necessity of Tutorial for Claim Construction and STRIKES Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Late filed Brief Concerning Tutorials. Court Reporter: none present. (jp,) (Entered: 01/16/2004)
01/15/2004	130	RESPONSE to Defendants' Late-Filed Brief concerning Tutorials filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd ; Declaration of Anthony C Roth (ir,) (Entered: 01/17/2004)
01/22/2004	132	REPLY Memorandum of points and authorities and Declarations of Won Jon Choi and Song K Jung, Sungyun Kim and Anthony C Roth in further support

		of their Motion to Dismiss 110 filed by Plaintiff and counter defendant LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 01/30/2004)
01/22/2004	133	DECLARATION of Mark H Kreitzman in support of Motion to Dismiss 110 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 01/30/2004)
01/27/2004	134	Tatung Company, LG Phillips and LG Electronics Inc's JOINT EX PARTE APPLICATION to file Under Seal certain portions of Tatung's opposition to motion to dismiss Lodged order. (shb,) (Entered: 01/31/2004)
01/28/2004	131	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall continuing LG parties Motion to Dismiss to 2/9/04 10:00 110 ; Tatungs redacted opposition papers and joint ex parte application to file under seal certain portions of opposition papers be filed and served no later than 1/27/04; Tatungs opposition papers will be considered timely (lc,) (Entered: 01/29/2004)
02/02/2004	136	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file sur-reply in opposition to counter defendants motion to dismiss Tatungs ninth counterclaim filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America and defendant and counterclaimant Tatung Company. Lodged order, sur-reply. (lc,) (Entered: 02/05/2004)
02/03/2004	135	MINUTES: Court on own motion continues hearing on 110 LG Phillips Motion to Dismiss to 2/23/2004 at 10:00 AM; oppositon to Tatun ex parte for leave to file sur-reply be filed on or before 2/5/04 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 02/04/2004)
02/03/2004	138	MEMORANDUM and Declaration of Jeffrey N Brown in Opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION to file a surreply with respect to motion to dismiss 136 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/10/2004)
02/04/2004	137	MINUTES: Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall denying Tatungs EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file sur-reply in opposition to counter defendants motion to dismiss Tatungs ninth counterclaim 136 Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 02/05/2004)
02/06/2004	140	OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF FILED filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/12/2004)
02/06/2004	141	DECLARATION of NATHAN W McCUTCHEON in support of Brief 140 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/12/2004)
02/09/2004	142	APPENDIX B filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Defendants LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Re: Brief (non-appeal) 140 (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	143	APPENDIX C filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes

		Ltd, Tatung Company, Counter Defendants LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to Brief (non-appeal) 140 in Support of Their Proposed Claim Constructions (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	145	OPENING BRIEF FILED filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company in Support of Their Proposed Claim Construcitons regarding Appendix, 142 , Appendix, 143 , Appendix 144 . (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	146	NOTICE OF INTENTION to Make Use of Outside Expert During the Claim Construction Hearing filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Tatung Co Of America and Tatung Company. (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	147	DECLARATION of MARK Krietzman Authenticating Defendants' Supplemental Exhibits to Exhibit B of the Second Revised Claim Construction Statement Brief (non-appeal) 140 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America and Tatung Company. (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	148	CORRECTED OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THERI PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS filed by Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd defendants 145 . (lc,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	149	NOTICE OF ERRATA filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. correcting Opening Brief in support of proposed claim constructions 145 (lc,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	150	PROOF OF HAND/PERSONAL SERVICE filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of opening breif in support of proposed claim construction, appendix A, B, c, notice of intention to make use of outside expert during claim construction hearing, declaration of Heather Fan, of Mark Krietzman and proof of service 146 147 142 143 144 145 was served on 2/6/04. (lc,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	151	DECLARATION of Heather H. Fan re Brief (non-appeal) 145 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/09/2004	152	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterdefendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. The Defendant's opening Claim Construction Brief, Declaration, etc (see document for further details) was served on 9/26/04. (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/10/2004	139	MINUTES: Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall denying Joint EX PARTE APPLICATION to Seal certain portions of Tatungs opposition 134 Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 02/11/2004)
02/13/2004	153	OPPOSITION to Motion to Dismiss 9th counterclaim 110 filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America, Tatum Company. (lc,) Modified on 2/19/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 02/19/2004)
02/13/2004	154	DECLARATION of Oliver Shih in support of opposition to Motion to Dismiss 9th counterclaim 110 filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (lc,) Modified on 2/19/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 02/19/2004)

02/13/2004	155	DECLARATION of Mark H Krietzman in support of opposition to Motion to Dismiss 9th counterclaim 110 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (lc,) (Entered: 02/19/2004)
02/18/2004	144	APPENDIX A filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, and Tatung Company in support their proposed claim constructions (non-appeal) 140 (yl,) (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/23/2004	156	MINUTES OF Motion Hearing held on LG Phillips LCD and LG Electronics Incs Motion to Dismiss 9th counterclaim 110 ; motion granted, formal order to issue by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall 110 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (lc,) (Entered: 02/24/2004)
02/27/2004	158	RESPONSE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF FILED filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
02/27/2004	159	DECLARATION of Jeffrey N Brown in support re responsive claim construction Brief 158 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
02/27/2004	160	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd as to 158 159 Responsive claim construction brief, Declaration of Jeffrey N Brown, was served on 2/27/04 by mail. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
02/27/2004	161	RESPONSE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF FILED filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology, Lite On Tecnology International Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
02/27/2004	162	LEXIS AND WESTLAW CASES cited in claim construction Briefs 161 filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd,Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology, Lite On Tecnology International Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
02/27/2004	163	DECLARATION of Phoebe P Liu in support of 161 RESPONSE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF FILED filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology, Lite On Tecnology International Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/01/2004	166	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order shortening time for filing and hearing LG Philips LCD Co Ltd motion to preclude defendants use of Dr Gerald Lucovsky for claim construction; memorandum; declaration of Anthony C Roth filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order. (lc,) Modified on 3/15/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/01/2004	167	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to preclude defendants use of Dr Gerald Lucovsky for claim construction filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 4/5/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (lc,) Modified on 3/8/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 03/08/2004)

03/01/2004	168	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to preclude defendants use of Dr Gerald Lucovsky for claim construction 167 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/03/2004	169	Notice of continuance of hearing to 4/5/04 10:00 AM as to MOTION to preclude defendants use of Dr Gerald Lucovsky for claim construction 167 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/04/2004	164	NOTICE OF FILING of its Technology tutorial DVD and transcript filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/04/2004	165	TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology, Lite On Tecnology International Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004) filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/08/2004)
03/05/2004	157	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : granting 110 LG Phillips Co and LG Electronics Motion to Dismiss ninth counterclaim for lack of jurisdiction (lc,) (Entered: 03/05/2004)
03/11/2004	170	MINUTES : Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall denying plaintiffs EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order shortening time for filing and hearing LG Philips LCD Co Ltd motion to preclude defendants use of Dr Gerald Lucovsky for claim construction 166 ; Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 03/15/2004)
03/19/2004	171	MINUTES: Court on own motion: Status Conference set for 3/23/2004 02:00 PM by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 03/22/2004)
03/19/2004	172	ANSWER to Tatung amended counterclaims 99 filed by counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 03/22/2004)
03/19/2004	174	NOTICE of intention to make use of outside expert during the claim construction hearing filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 03/26/2004)
03/23/2004	173	STIPULATION AND ORDER STAYING ALL PROCEEDINGS IN THESE CONSOLIDATED CASES FOR SIXTY DAYS, Joint Status Report due by 5/28/2004 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall (Made JS-6. Case Terminated.) (lc,) (Entered: 03/25/2004)
04/02/2004	175	NOTICE OF APPEAL to Federal Circuit Court filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. Appeal of Order on Motion to Dismiss 157 Filed On: 03/05/04; Entered On: 03/05/04; Filing fee \$255. Paid, receipt number 62531. cc: Frank E. Merideth, Jr.; Morgan Lewis and Bockius LLP; Teresa M. Corbin, Howrey Simon Arnold and White; Bingham McCutchen; Christopher A. Mathews, Howrey Simon Arnold and White (wdc,) (Entered: 04/02/2004)
04/06/2004	176	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 3/23/04 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (related to CV 03-2866, CV

		03-2884, CV 03-2885, CV 03-2886) (weap,) (Entered: 04/08/2004)
04/06/2004		TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 3/23/04. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (weap,) (Entered: 04/08/2004)
04/08/2004		Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re: Appeal to Circuit Court 175 . (ghap,) (Entered: 04/08/2004)
04/08/2004	177	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING SCOPE OF CURRENT CASES AND WAIVER OF CERTAIN DEFENSES AND CLAIMS IN SUBSEQUENT LITIGATION AND ORDER thereon by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall (lc,) (Entered: 04/09/2004)
04/14/2004	178	TRANSCRIPT DESIGNATION AND ORDERING FORM For Dates: 02/23/04; Court Reporter: Leandra Amber; Re: Appeal to Circuit Court, 175 . (wdc,) (Entered: 04/14/2004)
05/06/2004	179	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 2/23/04 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (weap,) (Entered: 05/06/2004)
05/06/2004		TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 2/23/04. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (weap,) (Entered: 05/06/2004)
05/11/2004	181	NOTICE of change of attorney from Christopher Darrow, as no longer counsel of record and to serve all subsequent documents to Frank E Merideth filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (lc,) (Entered: 05/12/2004)
05/11/2004		FAX number for Attorney Frank E Merideth Jr is 310-586-7800. (lc,) (Entered: 05/12/2004)
05/12/2004	180	NOTIFICATION by Circuit Court of Appellate Docket Number 04-1330, Federal Circuit Court regarding Appeal to Circuit Court, 175 filed by Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (fvap,) (Entered: 05/12/2004)
05/28/2004	182	DECLARATION of Jeffrey N Brown regarding Unilateral filing of status report filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 06/07/2004)
06/01/2004	183	STATUS REPORT filed separately due to plaintiffs refusal to comply with 3/23/04 order by filed by Defendants ChungHwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology Corporation, Lite On Technology Interantional Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc (lc,) (Entered: 06/08/2004)
06/01/2004	184	DECLARATION of Phoebe P Liu in support re Status Report, 183 filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Jean Company Ltd, Lite On Technology Corporation, Lite On Technology Interantional Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals (lc,) (Entered: 06/08/2004)
06/18/2004	185	MANDATE of 9th CCA filed as to Appeal to Circuit Court, 175 , CCA # 04-1330. The appeal is dismissed. Mandate received in this district on 6/25/04. (ghap,) (Entered: 06/29/2004)
06/21/2004	189	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss

		plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 7/21/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement, order. (lc,) Modified on 7/30/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	190	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	191	DECLARATION of Christopher A Matthews re MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	192	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs paten infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing and supporting documents 189 190 191 was served on 6/21/04 by mail. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	193	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 7/12/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged order, amended answer and counterclaim. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	194	MEMORANDUM in support of MOTION AND MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party 193 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	195	DECLARATION of Christopher Matthews of MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party 193 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	196	NOTICE OF LODGING proposed first amended answer and counterclaims regarding MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party 193 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/21/2004	197	PROOF OF SERVICE of MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party and supporting documents filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. 193 194 195 196 served on 6/21/04 by mail. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
06/23/2004	186	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order taking CPT motion for summary judgment to dismiss patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing and motion for leave to amend answer and counterclaims and join LG Electronics Inc as a party off calendar or continue hearing; memorandum; declaration of Ann Byun filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order. (lc,) (Entered: 06/29/2004)

06/25/2004	187	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order taking CPT motion for summary judgment and motion for leave to amend off calendar or to continue hearing 186 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 06/29/2004)
06/25/2004	188	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of memorandum in opposition re EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order taking CPT motion for summary judgment and motion for leave to amend off calendar or to continue hearing 186 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 06/29/2004)
06/30/2004	198	MINUTES: Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall granting plaintiffs EX PARTE APPLICATION 186 , and continues the hearings on defendant Chunghwa MOTION for Summary Judgment and MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaismand join LG Electronics as a party to 8/9/2004 at 10:30 AM 189 193 ; opposition be filed no later than 7/26/04; reply be filed no later than 8/2/04 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 07/01/2004)
07/06/2004	199	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Compel Discovery related to the pending summary judgment motion; Memorandum of points and authorities; Declaratioan of Ann A Byun filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/06/2004	200	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. re 199 EX PARTE APPLICATION to Compel Discovery related to the pending summary judgment motion, was served on 7/6/04 upon CHristopher Mathews, Mark Kreitzman, Teresa Corbin and Tracy Roman by facsimile and US mail. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/06/2004	201	EX PARTE APPLICATION for modification of schedule and guidance re discovery filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Proposed Order. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/06/2004	202	MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for modification of schedule and guidance re discovery 201 filed by Defendant and Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/06/2004	203	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for modification of schedule and guidance re discovery 201 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/07/2004	205	LG Phillips LCD Co Ltd's OPPOSITION to CPT's EX PARTE APPLICATION for modification of schedule and guidance re discovery 201 ; Declaration of Ann A Byun in support thereof filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/07/2004	206	Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's OPPOSITION to LG Phillips LCD Co, Ltd's EX PARTE APPLICATION to Compel Discovery related to the pending summary judgment motion 199 filed by Defendant and Counter Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)

07/07/2004	207	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's EX PARTE APPLICATION to Compel Discovery related to the pending summary judgment motion 199 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/07/2004	208	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 206 Response in Opposition to Motion,, 207 Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related), was served on 7/7/04. (shb,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/12/2004	204	MINUTES OF Telephone Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Ex Parte Application filed by plaintiff. Court grants Ex Parte as to deposition of Bovio and as to production of documents. See minute order for further details. Tape #: 04-28. (dp,) (Entered: 07/13/2004)
07/13/2004	209	MINUTES: After reviewing defendant Chunghwa EX PARTE APPLICATION for modification of schedule filed 7/6/04, the court hereby denies the ex parte request 201 Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 07/21/2004)
07/23/2004	210	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel responses to its discovery requests filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/17/2004 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. Lodged order. (lc,) (Entered: 07/27/2004)
07/23/2004	211	JOINT STIPULATION for motion to compel responses to Discovery request filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/27/2004)
07/23/2004	212	DECLARATION of Brian S Kim in support re MOTION to Compel responses to its discovery requests 210 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/27/2004)
07/23/2004	213	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 210 MOTION to Compel, 211 Joint Stipulation 212 Declaration and proposed order was served on 7/23/04 by hand and mail. (lc,) (Entered: 07/27/2004)
07/26/2004	214	DECLARATION of Jeffrey N Brown in support of its portion of joint stipulation re MOTION to Compel 210 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	215	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order allowing to file under seal its memorandum of points and authorities and declaration of Ann A Byun in support of its opposition to motion to amend; declaration of Ann A Byun filed by plaintiffs LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order, various oppositions. (lc,) Modified on 9/27/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	216	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order allowing to file under seal its memorandum of points and authorities and declaration of Ann A Byun in support of its opposition to motion for summary judgment; declaration of Ann A Byun filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order and various oppositions. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)

07/26/2004	217	LEXIS AND WESTLAW CASES CITED IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION for Leave amend answer and coutnerclaims and join LG Electronics as party 193 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	218	LEXIS AND WESTLAW CASES CITED IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	219	DECLARATION of Howard C Rile Jr in support of opposition re MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	220	DECLARATION of Sang Hoon Lee in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	221	DECLARATION of Dong Sun Lee in support of opposition re MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	222	DECLARATION of Young Un Bang in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	223	DECLARATION of Jin Kwan Choi in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	224	DECLARATION of Gi Ho Cho in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	225	DECLARATION of Young Bum Kim in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	226	DECLARATION of in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	227	DECLARATION of Woo Yeol Kim in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment 189 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/26/2004	228	PROOF OF SERVICE filed set of oppositions and declaration in support of opposition to summary judgment motion, proposed order (see file for list) 218 , 219 220 226 221 227 222 (223 224 225 217 was served on 7/26/04 by personal service by plaintiffff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/29/2004	229	MINUTES: ORDER denying 215 216 plaintiffs Ex Parte Applications for order to seal memorandum and declarations of Ann A Byun in support of opposition to motions to amend and summary judgment without prejudice (lc,) Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (lc,) Modified on 7/30/2004 (lc,).

		(Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/29/2004	230	Notice of Withdrawal of Chunghwas MOTION for Summary Judgment on plaintiffs side mount infringement claims for lack of standing 189 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 07/30/2004)
07/29/2004	266	Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd OPPOSITION to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party 193 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
07/29/2004	267	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of Opposition to Chunghwa's MOTION for Leave amend answer and counterclaims and to join LG Electronics Inc as a party 193 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
07/29/2004	268	MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
07/29/2004	269	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun re MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
07/29/2004	270	STATEMENT of Genuine Issues in Opposition to CHunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd re MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
07/30/2004	233	VERIFICATION for Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds supplemental objections and supplemental resposnes to LG Philips LC Co Ltd first set of interrogatories (Nos 4-6) by Fang-erh Kuo filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (lc,) Modified on 8/4/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/02/2004	234	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to CPT discovery requests, and for Sanctions filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/31/2004 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. Lodged order. (lc,) Modified on 8/4/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/02/2004	235	JOINT STIPULATION for MOTION to produce documents in response to CPT discovery requests, and for Sanctions filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/02/2004	236	DECLARATION of Brian S Kim in support of Motion to produce documents in response to CPT discovery requests, and for Sanctions 234 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)

08/02/2004	237	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re Motion to produce documents in response to CPT discovery requests, and for Sanctions and supporting documents 234 235 236 was served on 8/2/04 by personal and mail service. (lc,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/02/2004	238	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in opposition to CPTs Motion to produce documents in response to CPT discovery requests, and for Sanctions 234 filed by plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/02/2004	239	REPLY to response to MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaims and join LG Electronics as a party 193 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/02/2004	240	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathew in support of reply memorandum for its MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaims and join LG Electronics as a party 193 193 filed by - Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/02/2004	241	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 239 Reply 240 Declaration re MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaims and join LG Electronics as a party, was served on 8/2/04 by hand and mail service. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/03/2004	231	SECOND CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall (lc,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/03/2004	242	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel MOTION and for Sanctions 210 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) Modified on 8/5/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/03/2004	243	DECLARATION of Daniel Kubasak in support of supplemental memorandum re MOTION to Compel 210 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/03/2004	244	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re Supplemental memorandum and declaration in support regarding motion to compel 242 243 served on 8/3/04 by hand and mail service. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/03/2004	245	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING date to 8/3/04 10:00 re MOTION to Compel and for Sanctions 234 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/05/2004)
08/04/2004	232	MINUTES OF In Chambers Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum rescheduling motion to compel discovery responses to August 31, 2004, to be heard with the motion to compel and for sanctions. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 08/04/2004)
08/04/2004	246	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Stay case relating to the side mount patents, except as to claim construction; memorandum; declaration of Jeffrey N Brown filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 9/27/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable

		Consuelo B. Marshall.(lc,) Modified on 8/9/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 08/09/2004)
08/04/2004	248	LEXIS AND WESTLAW CASES cited in Chunghwa Picture Tubes reply in support re MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaims and to jon LG Electronics as a party 193 filed by plaintiff, counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/09/2004)
08/05/2004	247	Notce of change of hearing re NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Stay case relaitng to the side mount patents, except as to claim construction 246 filed by plaintiff, counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 08/09/2004)
08/09/2004	250	PROOF OF SERVICE of ex parte to seal documents, proposed order and proof of service was served on 8/9/04 by hand, mail and fax filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (lc,) (Entered: 08/10/2004)
08/09/2004	251	MINUTES: ORDER denying Chunghwa Picture Tubes LTD ex parte application to file certain documents under seal without prejudice; relevant statutory authority or case law to support application must be cited; reliance on confidentiality stipulation and protective order is insufficient Judge Consuelo B. Marshall :Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (lc,) (Entered: 08/10/2004)
08/09/2004	256	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall taking under submission MOTION for Leave without further oral argument 193 . Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (th) (Entered: 08/12/2004)
08/10/2004	252	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel responses to its discovery requests re licenses filed by defendant and counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/31/2004 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. Lodged order. (lc,) (Entered: 08/11/2004)
08/10/2004	253	JOINT STIPULATION for motion to Compel responses to its discovery requests re licenses filed by defendants and counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) Modified on 9/27/2004 (lc,). (Entered: 08/11/2004)
08/10/2004	254	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support re NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel responses to its discovery requests re licenses filed by defendant and counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes 252 (lc,) (Entered: 08/11/2004)
08/10/2004	255	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd re 252 MOTION to Compel, 253 Stipulation for Discovery, 254 Declaration served by presonal and mail service on 8/10/04 (lc,) (Entered: 08/11/2004)
08/12/2004	258	DECLARATION of Won Jon Choi in opposition re MOTION to Compel responses to Discovery Requests regarding Licenses 252 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bg,) (Entered: 08/18/2004)
08/16/2004	257	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 08/09/04, Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (wdc,) (Entered: 08/16/2004)

		08/16/2004)
08/16/2004		TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 08/09/04. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (wdc,) (Entered: 08/16/2004)
08/17/2004	259	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 07/12/04, Court Reporter: Valori Weber/V Office. (wdc,) (Entered: 08/18/2004)
08/17/2004		TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 07/12/04. Court Reporter: Valori Weber/V Office. (wdc,) (Entered: 08/18/2004)
08/17/2004	260	Chunghwa Picture Tubes, :Ltd.'s Supplemental MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel and MOTION for Sanctions on Discovery Motions 234 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/24/2004)
08/17/2004	261	DECLARATION of Daniel Kubasak in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd Supplemental Memorandum in support of CPT's MOTION to Compel responses to discovery requests re licenses 252 filed by Defenedant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) Modified on 8/24/2004 (shb,). (Entered: 08/24/2004)
08/17/2004	262	Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's Supplemental MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel LG Philips Co Ltd's responses to discovery requests re licenses 252 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/24/2004)
08/17/2004	263	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 261 Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related), 262 Memorandum in Support of Motion, was served on 8/17/04 by US mail. (shb,) (Entered: 08/24/2004)
08/17/2004	264	Supplemental DECLARATION of Brian S KIm in support of Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's MOTION to Compel and for Sanctions on Discovery Motions 234 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/24/2004)
08/25/2004	272	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Corinne A Niosi for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Fee paid. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(lc,) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/25/2004		FAX number for Attorney Jeffrey N Brown is 213-612-2501. (lc,) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/25/2004		FAX number for Attorney Corinne A Niosi is 202-739-3001. (lc,) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/26/2004	265	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Jennifer T. Lum denying MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Requests. See Minute Order for details. (dp,) (Entered: 08/26/2004)
08/26/2004		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re (Proposed) Order granting LG Phillips

		LCD Co Ltd's application to file under seal its memorandum of points and authorities, statement of genuine issues and Declaration of Ann A Byun in support of its opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment submitted by Plaintiff and Counter Claim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
08/26/2004		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re (Proposed) Order granting LG Phillips LCD Co Ltd's application to file under seal its memorandum of points and authorities and Declaration of Anna A Byun all in support of its opposition to defendant's motion to amend submitted by Plaintiff and Counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/27/2004)
08/27/2004	271	MINUTES OF In Chambers Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery Requests. The matter is placed back on calendar for Tuesday, August 3, 2004. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/31/2004	273	MINUTES OF Discovery Hearing held before Jennifer T. Lum re Motion to Compel and for Sanctions. Court took matter under submission. Tape #: 04-33/34. (dp,) (Entered: 08/31/2004)
08/31/2004	274	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Jennifer T. Lum granting MOTION to Compel 252 Production of License Agreements. Plaintiff ordered to produce license agreements by September 21, 2004. Request for sanctions under submission. See Minute Order for specifics. Tape #: 03-44. (dp,) (Entered: 08/31/2004)
08/31/2004	275	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Jennifer T. Lum denying MOTION to Compel 210 as to request numbers 120, 121, and 122 and interrogatory numbers 10 and 11. Motion granted as to interrogatory number 12. See Minute Order for specifics. Tape #: 04-33. (dp,) (Entered: 08/31/2004)
08/31/2004	276	ORDER: The court GRANTS defendant's motion with respect to counterclaims V-XIV and XXVIII-XXX. The court DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE defendant's motion with respect to counterclaims I-IV and counterclaim XXVII. Defendant shall file an amended answer consistent with this order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. 193 (bp,) (Entered: 09/02/2004)
09/02/2004	277	MINUTES of Hearing held before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum re: Motion by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., ("CPT") to Compel Production of License Agreements Against LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd 234 . The Court GRANTS defendant CPT's request for reasonable expenses. The Court ORDERS that plaintiff pay to defendant CPT reasonable expenses incurred by defendant CPT in making the instant motion. Defendant CPT shall file the supplemental declaration regarding reasonable expenses within ten (10) days of the date of this Order. [SEE ORDER FOR FURTHER DETAILS]Tape #: 04-33/34. (ch,) (Entered: 09/02/2004)
09/08/2004	278	MINUTES: Motion by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd for sanctions against Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd 234 is GRANTED in part. To the extent Plaintiff has any outstanding documents responsive to document request numbers 104-117, Plaintiff is ORDERED to produce them to

		defendant CPT no later than 9/21/04. Defendant CPTs request for an order precluding plaintiff from relying on the new documents is DENIED. Defendant CPTs request for reasonable expenses pursuant to FRCP 37 is also DENIED (See document for further details) by Judge Jennifer T. Lum Tape #: 04-33/34. (ir) (Entered: 09/09/2004)
09/10/2004	279	AMENDED ANSWER to Amended Complaint 80 , COUNTERCLAIM against Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and LG Electronics Inc. by Defendant and Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (lc,) (Entered: 09/13/2004)
09/10/2004	280	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews re reasonable expenses incurred as to MOTION to Compel re licenses 252 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/13/2004)
09/13/2004	282	MEMORANDUM in support of Opposition to MOTION to Stay case relating to the side mount patents, except as to claim construction 246 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/16/2004)
09/13/2004	283	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of opposition re MOTION to Stay case relating to the side mount patents, except as to claim construction 246 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/16/2004)
09/13/2004	284	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 282 MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion, 283 Declaration was served on 9/13/04 by mail and personal service. (lc,) (Entered: 09/16/2004)
09/14/2004	281	MINUTES (In Chambers) before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Clarification of Order on Motion. Plaintiff to produce documents by September 29, 2004. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 09/15/2004)
09/17/2004	287	RESPONSE to Chunghwa supplementation re reasonable expenses filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd 277 280 (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/17/2004	288	DECLARATION of Corrine A Niosi in support of RESPONSE to Chunghwa supplementation re reasonable expenses 277 280 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/17/2004	289	FEDERAL WESTLAW AUTHORITIES CITED IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE to Chunghwa supplementation re reasonable expenses filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd 287 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/20/2004	285	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following date: 08/31/04, Court Reporter: Dorothy Babykin. (wdc,) (Entered: 09/22/2004)
09/20/2004	286	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 08/31/04. Court Reporter: Dorothy Babykin. (wdc,) (Entered: 09/22/2004)

09/20/2004	290	REPLY to response to MOTION to Stay case relating to the side mount patents, except as to claim construction 246 ; declaration of Ann A Byun filed by plaintiffs LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/20/2004	291	JOINT REPORT TO COURT REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE filed (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/22/2004		Summons (third party) Issued re Counterclaim 279 as to LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/23/2004)
09/24/2004	292	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims filed by plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 11/8/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged order. (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	293	MEMORANDUM in Support of NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 292 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	294	DECLARATION of James L Reed in support re MOTION to Dismiss counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims filed by plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	295	FEDERAL WESTLAW AUTHORITIES CITED IN SUPPORT re MOTION to Dismiss counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims filed by plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co(lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	296	OPPOSITION to plaintiffs EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM LOCAL RULE 7-3 REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FILING OF MOTION TO DISMISS filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	297	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support re OPPOSITION to plaintiffs EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM LOCAL RULE 7-3 REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FILING OF MOTION TO DISMISS filed by defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. 296 (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/24/2004	298	PROOF OF SERVICE OPPOSITION AND DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support re OPPOSITION to plaintiffs EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM LOCAL RULE 7-3 REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FILING OF MOTION TO DISMISS 296 297 was served on 9/24/04 by fax filed by plaintiff and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (lc,) (Entered: 09/27/2004)
09/27/2004	301	MINUTES: Plaintiffs Ex Parte Application of Waiver of Local Rule 7-3 is GRANTED IT IS SO ORDERED by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Court Reporter: none present. (ir) (Entered: 09/30/2004)
09/28/2004	299	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by defendant and counterclaimant

		Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, upon LG Electronics Inc served on 9/23/2004, answer due 10/13/2004. The Summons and first amended answer and counterclaims and third party claims were served by personal service service, by FRCP statute, upon Nick Stamoulis, authorized agent for service of process. Original Summons not returned. (lc,) (Entered: 09/29/2004)
09/28/2004	300	NOTICE of Change of Address by attorney Teresa M Corbin, Glenn W Rhodes for Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, changing address to Howrey Simon Arnold and White, 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, CA 94105-2708; 415-848-4900; FAX 415-848-4999. Filed by defendants and counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology International Incorporated, TPV Technology Ltd and Envision Peripherals Inc (lc,) (Entered: 09/29/2004)
09/28/2004		FAX number for Attorney Teresa M Corbin, Glenn W Rhodes is 415-848-4999. (lc,) (Entered: 09/29/2004)
10/05/2004	302	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendants and Counterdefendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. The Declaration of Brain S Kim in Support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD.'s Reply to Plaintiff's Response Re Reasonable Expenses, etc (see document for details) was served on 10/5/2004. (yl,) (Entered: 10/07/2004)
10/05/2004	303	REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE Regarding Reasonable Expenses filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (yl,) (Entered: 10/07/2004)
10/05/2004	304	DECLARATION of Brian S Kim in Support of Reply to Resonse regarding Reasonable Expenses 303 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 10/07/2004)
10/12/2004	305	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Denying Plaintiffs Motion to further proceedings related to Side-Mount Patents 246 . Except as to Claims Constructions issues, filed 8/4/04. The Court finds that claim construction should go forward. A claim construction hearing for both the semiconductor and the side-mount patent claims is hereby set for 1/7/05 at 9:00 am. Court Reporter: none present. (el,) (Entered: 10/14/2004)
10/13/2004	308	NOTICE OF MOTION AND RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION to Dismiss Counts I- X and XXIII- XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. Motion set for hearing on 11/8/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (mch,) (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/13/2004	309	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun Re LG Electronics Inc Compliance with Local Rule 7-3 filed by Plaintiff, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (mch,) Modified on 10/20/2004 (mch,). Modified on 10/20/2004 (mch,). (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/13/2004	310	NON-FEDERAL AND WESTLAW CASES CITED in LG Electronics Inc Rule 12(b)(6) re MOTION to Dismiss Counts I- X and XXIII- XXIV of

		Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims 308 filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mch,) (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/13/2004	311	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION to Dismiss Counts I- X and XXIII- XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims 308 filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mch,) (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/19/2004	306	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 9/27/04 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (weap,) (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/19/2004	307	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 9/27/04. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (weap,) (Entered: 10/20/2004)
10/25/2004	312	MINUTES OF Order by Judge Jennifer T. Lum awarding sanctions to defendant CPT in the total amount of \$14,171.42 in relation to the motion to compel previously filed by defendant. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 10/25/2004)
10/25/2004	313	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Opposition to LG Electronics Incs Motion to Dismiss 292 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 10/27/2004)
10/25/2004	314	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Opposition to LG Electronics Incs Motion to Dismiss 308 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 10/27/2004)
10/25/2004	315	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Opposition to Both LG Philips LCD Co, Ltds Motion to Dismiss 308 , 292 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 10/27/2004)
10/25/2004	316	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd regarding Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of Its Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltds Motion to Dismiss 313 ; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd regarding Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of Its Opposition to LG Electronics, Incs Motion to Dismiss 314 , and Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in support of motion 315 were served on 10/25/04. (nhac,) (Entered: 10/27/2004)
11/01/2004	317	LG Electronics Inc's REPLY to Chunghwa Picture Tubes' Opposition to LGE's MOTION to Dismiss counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 308 filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (shb,) Modified on 11/3/2004 (shb,). (Entered: 11/03/2004)
11/01/2004	318	LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's REPLY to Chunghwa Picture tubes' Opposition to LPL's MOTION to Dismiss counts I_ VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 292 filed by Plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 11/03/2004)
11/01/2004	319	Non-Federal and Westlaw Cases Cited in re MOTION to Dismiss Counts I-

		X and XXIII- XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims 308 , MOTION to Dismiss counts I_ VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 292 filed by Plaintiff and Counter defendants LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 11/03/2004)
11/03/2004	320	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS PROCEEDINGS: LG Electronics MOTION to Dismiss Counts I- X and XXIII- XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims 308 , and Plaintiffs MOTION to Dismiss counts I_ VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 292 i, are taken under submission. Accordingly, the hearing date is vacated, motions will be deemed submitted as of 11/8/2004. Court Reporter: Not Present. (el,) (Entered: 11/04/2004)
11/09/2004	322	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Arbitration and MOTION to Stay Case pending the outcome of arbitration filed by counterclaim defendants LG Electronics Inc and LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/13/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/09/2004	323	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION to Compel Arbitration and MOTION to Stay Case pending the outcome of arbitration 322 filed by Counterclaim Defendants LG Electronics Inc and LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/09/2004	324	DECLARATION OF ANTHONY C ROTH in Support of MOTION to Compel Arbitration and MOTION to Stay Case pending the outcome of arbitration 322 filed by Counterclaim Defendants LG Electronics Inc and LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/10/2004	321	NOTICE of change of hearing date re: LG Electronic Incs Motion to compel arbitration of counts I-X and XIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to stay filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (el,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/10/2004	325	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LPLs and LGEs MOTION to Compel Arbitration for failure to comply with Local Rule 7-3 322 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged order. (el,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/10/2004	326	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LPLs and LGEs MOTION to Compel Arbitration for failure to comply with Local Rule 7-3 322 325 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (el,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/10/2004	327	DECLARATION of Christopher A Matthews in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LPLs and LGEs MOTION to Compel Arbitration for failure to comply with Local Rule 7-3 322 325 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (el,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/12/2004	329	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike MOTION to Compel Arbitration MOTION to Stay Case pending the outcome of arbitration 322 325 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim

		Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 11/17/2004)
11/12/2004	330	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike MOTION to Compel Arbitration 322 325 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Order (rl,) (Entered: 11/17/2004)
11/15/2004	328	MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Order by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that the Court hereby strikes the MOTION of LG Electronics Inc and LG Philips LCD Co LTD to Compel Arbitration and to Stay 322 . EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike the MOTION to Compel Arbitration and to Stay 325 is DENIED as moot.Court Reporter: None Appearing. (el,) (Entered: 11/16/2004)
11/19/2004	331	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Protective Order filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. Motion set for hearing on 12/14/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	332	WESTLAW CASES Filed in MOTION for Protective Order 331 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	333	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION for Protective Order 331 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	334	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of Notice of Motion for Protective Order; Joint Stipulation; Declaration of Ann A Byun in support of motion (see document for further details) served on 11/19/04. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	335	JOINT STIPULATION Re (1) Third Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc's Motion for Protective Order and (2) Chunghwa Picture Tubes LTD's Motion to Compel LGE to comply with Rule 26(A) and to respond to discovery (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	337	EX PARTE APPLICATION for order permitting portions of Exhibit E to Gabler Declaration in Opposition to LGE's Motion for Protective Order to be filed under Seal; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of Julie S Gabler filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Proposed Order. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	338	DECLARATION of Julie S Gabler in Opposition to LG Electronics MOTION for Protective Order 331 and in Support of CHUNGHWA Picture Tubes Motion to compel LG Electronics to comply with Rule 26(A) and to respond to discovery filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/19/2004	339	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of Ex Parte Application for order permitting portions of Exhibit E to Gabler Declaration be filed under seal; Memorandum of Points and authorities; Declaration of Julie S Gabler (see document for further details) served on 11/19/04. (mch,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)

11/23/2004	344	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Re Request for Production Numbers 14-17 and 62-63 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/14/2004 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged PROPOSED Order. (mch,) (Entered: 12/03/2004)
11/23/2004	345	DECLARATION of Andrew J Wu in support of MOTION to Compel Re Request for Production Numbers 14-17 and 62-63 44 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mch,) (Entered: 12/03/2004)
11/23/2004	346	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order allowing it to file under seal the joint stipulation under Local Rule 37-2 Re Plaintiff LG Philips Motion to Compel Re request for production Nos 14-17, 21 and 62-63, and the Confidential Declaration of Dieter H. Hellmoldt filed by plaintiffs and counter-defendants LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Order; Confidential Declaration and Joint Stipulation. (nhac,) (Entered: 12/06/2004)
11/23/2004	356	UNOPPOSED REQUEST that the Declaration of Dieter H. Hellmoldt in support Motion to Compel be filed Under Seal filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/29/2004	347	DECLARATION of James Kao in support of CPTs Unopposed Request that the Declaration of Dieter H Hellmoldt in support of LPLs Motion to Compel be filed under seal 346 filed by plaintiff and counter-defendants LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 12/06/2004)
11/29/2004	362	ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum GRANTING Plaintiff Ex Parte Application for an order allowing it to file under seal the joint stipulation under Local Rule 37-2 Re Plaintiff LG Philips Motion to Compel Re request for production Nos 14-17, 21 and 62-63, and the Confidential Declaration of Dieter H. Hellmoldt 346 . IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: LPL is permitted to file under seal the Joint Stipulation Under Local Rule 37-2 re: Plaintiff LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd Motion to Compel re: Requests for Production Nos. 14-17, 21, and 62-63, and the Confidential Hellmoldt Declaration in support thereof, both respectively discussing and attaching the following documents: CPT0012462-CPT012471; CPT099227-CPT099236; CPT0729090-CPT072911; and CPT098948-CPT098950. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	336	MINUTES (In Chambers) before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re LG Electronic Inc.'s Motin for Protective Order and LG Philips Motion to Compel Requests for Production scheduled for December 14, 2004. Both motions are off calendar and under submission. (dp,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
11/30/2004	348	DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Limited's Supplemental Memorandum regarding MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) Modified on 12/7/2004 (rl,). (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	349	DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Limited's portion of the joint stipulation regarding MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,)

		(Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	350	CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LIMITED'S SUPPLEMENTAL Memorandum regarding LG Philips LCD Company re MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Limited (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	351	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of CPT's portion of the Joint Sipulation regardingg MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	352	DECLARATION of James Kao in support of CPT's portion of the Joint Stipulation regarding MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	353	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathew in support of Joint Stipulation regardint MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	354	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re 348 Declaration (Motion related) (see document), was served on 11/30/04. (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
11/30/2004	357	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel plant inspections filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/21/2004 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	358	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION for Protective Order 331 filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	359	JOINT STIPULATION Re: Plaintiff MOTION to Compel plant inspections. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	360	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of JOINT STIPULATION Re: Plaintiff MOTION to Compel plant inspections 357 , 359 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	361	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd re 357 MOTION to Compel plant inspections, 359 JOINT STIPULATION Re: Plaintiff MOTION to Compel plant inspections, 360 Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of JOINT STIPULATION Re: Plaintiff MOTION to Compel plant inspections, was served on 11/30/2004. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	363	NOTICE OF MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michaela Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/21/2004 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	364	JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to

		Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	365	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 , 364 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	366	WESTLAW CASES CITED in plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	367	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. re 363 MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition, 364 JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition, 365 Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition, 366 WESTLAW CASES CITED in plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition, was served on 11/30/2004. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	368	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHEWS in support of JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 364 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	369	DECLARATION of TERESA M. CORBIN in support of Portion of the JOINT STIPULATION RE: Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 364 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
11/30/2004	370	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an Order Allowing it to file Under Seal LG Philips LCD CO., Ltd Supplemental Memorandum in support of its Motion to Compel re Request for production Nos. 14-17, 21, and 62-63 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Order and Envelope. (jp,) (Entered: 12/08/2004)
12/01/2004	340	MINUTES (In Chambers) before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re LG Philips LCD Co., LTD.'s, Ex Parte Application for An Order Allowing it to File Under Seal the Supplemental Memorandum. The Ex Parte Application is denied without prejudice. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
12/01/2004		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re proposed order granting LG Philips LCD Co. Ltd.'s Ex parte application submitted by plaintiff and counterclaim

		defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (dp,) (Entered: 12/01/2004)
12/01/2004	341	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by David M Morris for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating Jeffrey N Brown as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(el,) (Entered: 12/02/2004)
12/01/2004	373	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of FRANK E MERIDETH JR in support of defendant opposition to re MOTION to Compel 357 filed by defendants Tatum Co Of America, Tatum Company. (pj,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/02/2004	342	MINUTES (In Chambers) before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re the Joint Stipulation re Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition. Parties are advised that the matter is under submission. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/02/2004)
12/02/2004	343	MINUTES (In Chambers) before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re the Joint Stipulation re plaintiff's motion to compel plant inspections. The parties are advised that once the supplemental memoranda are filed, the matter is under submission. (dp,) (Entered: 12/02/2004)
12/02/2004	355	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in support re MOTION to Compel 344 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 12/07/2004)
12/07/2004	374	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Opposition to LG Philips LCD CO., Ltd MOTION to Compel plant inspections 357 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	375	DECLARATION of BRIAN S. KIM in support of Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd MOTION to Compel plant inspections 357 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	376	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel plant inspections 357 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	377	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM re MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	378	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHEWS in support of Supplemental Memorandum re MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	379	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Joint Stipulation regarding Plaintiff MOTION to Compel plant inspections

		357 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	380	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM re Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/07/2004	381	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Supplemental Memorandum re Plaintiff MOTION to Strike "Corrections" to Deposition of Michael Bovio or to Compel resumption of the deposition 363 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 12/13/2004)
12/08/2004	382	EX PARTE APPLICATION under L.R. 7-19 for Order Allowing it to File the Declaration of Anthony C Roth in Response to the Supplemental Memorandum of CPT in Response to LPL's Motion to Compel Plant Inspections filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Lodged Order, Lodged 2nd Supplemental Declaration of Anthony C Roth. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	383	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Production of the unredacted assignment agreement between Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd and Hewlett-Packard Development Co filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/11/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	384	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION to Compel Production of the unredacted assignment agreement between Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd and Hewlett-Packard Development Co 383 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	385	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of MOTION to Compel Production of the unredacted assignment agreement between Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd and Hewlett-Packard Development Co 38 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	386	JOINT STIPULATION to Compel Production of the unredacted assignment agreement between Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd and Hewlett-Packard Development Co filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	387	EX PARTE APPLICATION to file portions of Exhibit A attached to the Declaration of Charistopher A Mathews under seal filed by Defendant and Counter claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and Exhibit listed. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	388	DECLARATION of Frank P Cote in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to file portions of Exhibit A attached to the Declaration of Charistopher A Mathews under seal 387 filed by Defendant and Counter Claimant

		Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2004)
12/10/2004	402	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: LPL's application is GRANTED. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/13/2004	403	NOTICE of change of hearing date filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re motion to compel production of the unredacted assignment agreement between Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Hewlett-Packard Development co. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/13/2004	404	JOINT proposed claim constructin hearing schedule. file by plaintiff and defendant (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/14/2004	389	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay, filed by Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. Motion set for hearing on 1/10/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (jp,) (Entered: 12/16/2004)
12/14/2004	390	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 389 filed by Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jp,) (Entered: 12/16/2004)
12/14/2004	391	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 389 filed by defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jp,) (Entered: 12/16/2004)
12/14/2004	392	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Counter Defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc re Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 391 , MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 389 , Memorandum in Support of Motion to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 390 , was served on 12/14/2004. (jp,) (Entered: 12/16/2004)
12/17/2004	393	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Ruling on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike orrections to Deposition of Michele Bovio or to Compel Resumption of the Deposition. Motion is granted in part. Court does not find the substance of changes to the deposition testimony as irreparably prejudicing plaintiff. Court denies the request to strike, but orders that the plaintiff be allowed to make inquiry of Mr. Bovio re his changes. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/20/2004)
12/17/2004	405	corrected filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. Memorandum in Support of Motion, 390 (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)

12/21/2004	406	MOTION to Compel witnessed for LPL's first and second rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice to chungwa picture tubes ltd. filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/25/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged LODED PROPOSED ORDER. (yc,) Modified on 1/3/2005 (yc,). M (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/21/2004	407	NOTICE OF MOTION re MOTION to Compel 406 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/21/2004	408	DECLARATION of Dieter H Hellmoldt in support of re MOTION to Compel 406 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/21/2004	409	DECLARATION of Dieter H Hellmoldt pursuant to Local Rule 37-2.4 re Cpt's failure to confer in a timely manner in accordance with local ruel 37-1 406 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/21/2004	410	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. re MOTION to Compel 406 , was served on 12/21/2004. (yc,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/21/2004	416	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of re MOTION to Compel 383 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/21/2004	417	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM of LG Philips in Support of MOTION to Compel 383 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/21/2004	418	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterclaim defendant/plaintiff/counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. re Declaration (Motion related) 416 , Memorandum in Support of Motion 417 , was served on 12/21/04. (yc,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/21/2004	420	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterclaim defendant/plaintiff counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of application of non-resident attorney was served on 12/21/2004. (yc,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/22/2004	394	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPL's First and Second Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notices. Court will conduct a telephone conference on December 28, 2004. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/22/2004)
12/22/2004	396	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Ralph A Phillips for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating David L Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(el,) (Entered: 12/28/2004)
12/22/2004	397	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by R Tyler Goodwyn, IV for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd,

		designating David L Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(el,) (Entered: 12/28/2004)
12/22/2004	419	NOTICE of change of hearing date filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/27/2004	395	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Third-Party Defendant LG Electronic Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order. The Motion is denied. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/27/2004)
12/27/2004	400	Notice of Withdrawal without prejudice of its MOTION to Compel 383 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/27/2004	401	DECLARATION of Andrew J Wu in support of Plaintiff's Notice of Withdrawal without prejudice of its MOTION to Compel 383 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/27/2004	412	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LPLs Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPLs First and Second Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Notices to CPT for Failure to Comply With Local Rule 37-1 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Order. (nhac,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
12/27/2004	413	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Ex Parte Application to Strike LPLs Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPLs First and Second Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Notices to CPT for Failure to Comply With Local Rule 37-1 412 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
12/27/2004	414	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTDs Ex Parte Application to Strike LPLs Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPLs First and Second Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Notices to CPT for Failure to Comply With Local Rule 37-1 412 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (nhac,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
12/27/2004	415	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. regarding 1) Ex Parte Application to Strike LPLs Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPLs First and Second Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Notices to CPT for Failure to Comply With Local Rule 37-1 412 ; 2) Memorandum in Support of Ex Parte Application 413 ; 3)Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in support of Ex Parte Application 414 were served on 12/27/04. (nhac,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
12/27/2004	422	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Arbitration and to Stay, filed by Counterclaim, Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/10/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/27/2004	423	DECLARATION of Lisa Buccino in Support of Opposition to MOTION to Compel Arbitration to Stay pending proceedings on those claims 389 filed by

		Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/27/2004	424	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. The Opposition to Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay, Declaration of Lisa S. Buccino in Support of Opposition was served on 12/27/2004. (yl,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
12/27/2004	426	OPPOSITION TO LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD'S AND LG Electronics, Inc. Motion to Compel Arbitration and to Stay 389 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiffs Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/06/2005)
12/28/2004	427	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathew in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, opposition to LG Philips Motion to Compel Production of Unredacted Assignment Agreement filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 01/06/2005)
12/28/2004	428	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes opposition to LG Philips Motion to Compel Production of the Unredacted Assignment Agreement filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (rl,) (Entered: 01/06/2005)
12/29/2004	398	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Defendant Chunghwa Pitcture Tubes Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion by LG Philips to Compel Rule 30(b)(6) Depositions. Ex Parte is denied as moot due to withdrawal of motion. (dp,) (Entered: 12/29/2004)
12/29/2004	399	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum ruling on Plaintiff's L.G. Philips Motion to Compel re Request for Production of Documents. The motion is granted in part and denied in part. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/29/2004)
12/29/2004	411	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : RE: Claim Construction Hearing Schedule 404 . Based on the parties proposal, the Court will set aside one day of approximately seven hours hearing time for the Claim Construction Hearing. (See document for details). Court Reporter: none appearing. (el,) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
12/29/2004	421	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : granting GRANTING 387 Ex Parte Application to File Portions of Exhibit A Attached to the Declaration of Christopher A. Mathews Under Seal (yl,) (Entered: 01/05/2005)
01/03/2005	430	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterclaim defendant and plaintiffs/counterclaim LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. re MOTION to Compel Arbitration MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims 389 , was served on 1/3/2005. (yc,) (Entered: 01/07/2005)
01/03/2005	431	REPLY in support to their motion to compel Arbitration 422 filed by counterclaim defendant and plaintiff/counterclaim LG Philips LCD Co Ltd,

		LG Electronics Inc. (yc,) (Entered: 01/07/2005)
01/03/2005	432	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ANTHONY C ROTH IN SUPPORT re MOTION to Compel Arbitration MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims 389 filed by counterclaim defendant and plaintiff/counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (yc,) (Entered: 01/07/2005)
01/04/2005		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Proposed Order re LG Philips LCD Co LTD.'s Motin to Compel Witnesses for LPL's First and Second Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notices submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (dp,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
01/04/2005		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Proposed Order Granting Chungwa Picture Tubes Ltd.'s Ex Parte Application to Strike submitted by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (dp,) (Entered: 01/04/2005)
01/05/2005	429	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : re Claims Construction hearing (7 hours). On the Courts own motion, the Claims Construction Hearing as to the semiconductor and side-mount patent claims is hereby continued to 1/13/2005 at 9:30 a.m.Court Reporter: Non-Appearing. (el,) (Entered: 01/06/2005)
01/06/2005	425	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error Re: MOTION to Compel Arbitration and to Stay 422 : This document was docketed in error as a motion, whereas it should have been docketed as an Opposition to Motion to Compel Arbitration and to Stay [item number 389]. (yl,) (Entered: 01/06/2005)
01/10/2005	438	MINUTES held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall :, RE: MOTION to Compel Arbitration MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims MOTION to Stay pending proceedings on those claims 389 is submitted without further oral argument. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	433	MINUTES OF Discovery Hearing held before Jennifer T. Lum. Motion to Compel Production of Unredacted Assignment Agreement taken under submission. (dp,) (Entered: 01/11/2005)
01/11/2005	434	NOTICE of Recent Judgment filed by defendant and counter claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 01/13/2005)
01/11/2005	435	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterclaim defendant LG Electronics Inc regarding Declaration of David M. Morris, Ann A Byun and Won Choi in support of opposition to the motion was served on 1/11/2005. (yc,) (Entered: 01/13/2005)
01/11/2005	436	DECLARATION of /Won Jun Choi in support of opposition re MOTION to Compel filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/13/2005)

01/11/2005	437	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Plaintiff LG Philips Motion to Compel Plant Inspections. Motion is granted in part. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 01/13/2005)
01/11/2005	440	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel continuation of rule 30 (b)(6) deposition answers to deposition questions and sanctions. filed by defendant,counterclaimsnt and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 2/1/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Jennifer T. Lum (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	441	JOINT STIPULATION UNDER LOCAL RUEL 37-2 RE re MOTION to Compel 440 filed by defendant,counterclaimant and third party plainiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	442	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re Motion Related Document 441 , was served on 1/11/2005. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	443	DECLARATION of defendant/counterclaimant and third party plaintiff re MOTION to Compel 440 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	444	DECLARATION of David M Morris in support re MOTION to Compel 440 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/11/2005	446	DECLARATION of Ann A Byun in support of re MOTION to Compel 440 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/13/2005	439	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall ORDERING Motion, joint stipulation, proposed order, declaration, proof of service submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd received on 1/11/05 to be filed and processed; filed date to be the date the document was stamped Received but not Filed with the Clerk.(yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/13/2005	445	Amended notice of MOTION to Compel 440 filed by defendant,counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 01/14/2005)
01/13/2005	452	JOINT STIPULATION re MOTION to Compel Answers to Interrogatories of Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd 451 filed by Defendant, Counterdefendant and Thrid Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/13/2005	455	MINUTES held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: - Claims Construction Hearing (1st Day) (Semiconductor and Side-Mount Patent Claims). Arguments held, continued to 1/14/2005 09:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall for further hearing.Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (rl,) (Entered: 01/21/2005)
01/14/2005	448	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION BY DISTRICT COURT Of Magistrate Judge's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent

		Applications filed by Defendant, Counterclaimants and Third-party Plaintiffs Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Request set for hearing on 2/7/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/14/2005	449	NOTICE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION BY District Court Magistrate Court's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent Applications 48 endant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/14/2005	450	DECLARATION OF CRHISTOPHER A. MATHEWS in Support of Request for Reconsideration of District Court Magistrate Judge's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent Applications 448 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/14/2005	457	MINUTES OF In Chambers Conference held re Claims Construction Hearing (2nd Day) Semiconductor and Side-Mount Patent Claims before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Arguments held. Matter is submitted without oral argument.Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (el,) (Entered: 01/24/2005)
01/18/2005	447	ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum denying Motion to Compel Production of Unredacted Assignment Agreement. See Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 01/18/2005)
01/18/2005	451	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Answers to Interrogatory Number 9 of Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 2/15/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Order. (yl,) Modified on 1/20/2005 (yl,). Modified on 1/20/2005 (yl,). (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/18/2005	453	DECLARATION OF DAVID A. MAKMAN in Support of Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatory No. 9 of Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd 451 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/18/2005	454	DECLARATION OF ANDREW J. WU in Support of Joint Stipulation Regarding Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.'s Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatory Number 9 of Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd 451 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defedant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/20/2005)
01/18/2005	456	NOTICE of Lodging Defendants' responses to court's 1/14/05 questions regarding side-mount patents filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (rl,) (Entered: 01/21/2005)
01/21/2005	458	EX PARTE APPLICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 17-19 to Renew Its Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPL's First and Second Rule 30 (b)(6) Deposition Notices to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Ex Parte Application set for hearing on 1/25/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Order. (yl,) (Entered: 01/24/2005)

01/21/2005	459	SECOND DECLARATION OF ANDREW J. WU in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 17-19 to Renew Its Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPL's First and Second Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notices to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd 458 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(yl,) (Entered: 01/24/2005)
01/24/2005	461	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION Pursuant to Local Rule 7-19 to renew its motion to compel witnesses for LPL'S first and Second Rule 30 (b)(6) deposition notices to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD 458 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 01/25/2005)
01/24/2005	462	DECLARATION of Christopher A. Mathews in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION to renew its motion to compel witnesses for LPL first and second rule 30(b)(6) deposition notices to CPT 458 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 01/25/2005)
01/24/2005	463	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re Opposition to ex parte application to renew its motion to compel, 461 , Declaration of Christopher A. Mathews in support of opposition to ex parte application to renew its motion to compel 462 , was served on 1/24/05 via first class mail; via hand delivery/personal service to Jeffrey n. Brown, Esq., of Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP; Mark Krietzman, Esq., Frank Merideth & Scott R. Miller, Esq., Tracy R. Roman, Esq. of Bingham McCutchen LLP. (ca,) (Entered: 01/25/2005)
01/25/2005	460	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum Re EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order to renew motion to compel witnesses for First and Second Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notices 458 . The Court denies plaintiff's Ex Parte. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 01/25/2005)
01/25/2005		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd.'s Ex Parte Application Pursuant to Local Rule 7-19 to Renew Its Motion to Compel Witnesses for LPL's First and Second Rule 30 (b)(6) Deposition Notices to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (dp,) (Entered: 01/25/2005)
01/25/2005	464	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 1/13/05, 1/14/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (ghap,) (Entered: 01/26/2005)
01/25/2005	465	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 1/13/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (ghap,) (Entered: 01/26/2005)
01/25/2005	466	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 1/14/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (ghap,) (Entered: 01/26/2005)

01/25/2005	467	MINUTES OF Telephone Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum RE: MOTION to Compel Answers to Interrogatories of Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd 451 , MOTION to Compel 440 Answers to Interrogatory number 9.Tape #: 05-04. (dp,) (Entered: 01/26/2005)
01/25/2005	468	APPLICATION to submit opposition one day late filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order opposition with declaration. (pj,) (Entered: 01/31/2005)
01/25/2005	469	DECLARATION of ANDREW J WU IN SUPPORT re APPLICATION for Leave to File 468 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 01/31/2005)
01/25/2005	470	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. re APPLICATION for Leave to File 468 , Declaration (Motion related) 469 , was served on 1/25/2005. (pj,) (Entered: 01/31/2005)
01/27/2005	471	NOTICE OF ERRATA filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. correcting Declaration (Motion related) 469 (pj,) (Entered: 01/31/2005)
01/31/2005	472	DECLARATION of Julie S Gabler in support of reply in support of request for reconsideration by district court of Magistrate Judge ruling requiring CPT to produce pending patent application filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 02/02/2005)
01/31/2005	473	REPLY memorandum of points and authorities in support of request for reconsideration by district court of Magistrate jude ruling requiring cpt to produce pending patent application. filed by defendant,counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yc,) (Entered: 02/02/2005)
02/02/2005	474	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum: GRANTING IN PART Chunghwa Picture Tubes LTd's Motion to COMpel continuation of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition, answers to depositions questions and sanctions (shb,) (Entered: 02/03/2005)
02/04/2005	475	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Plaintiff LG Philips Motion to Dismiss Counts I-VIII and XXIII-XXIV of Chungwa Picture Tubes first amended counterclaims 292 and LG Electronics Motion to dismiss Counts I- X and XXIII- XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counter Claims 308 . Court DENIES both Motions. Court finds that Counts I-IV state causes of action for conversion and allege facts to support the theory that the statute of limitations should be tolled bases on breach of a contractual duty to disclose or fraudulent concealment. Court finds that Couts V-VIII cannot be dismissed as barred by the statute of limitations pursuant to this Rule 12(b) (6) motion. Court finds that neither Counts I-X nor Counts XXIII-XXIV are preempted. (ir,) (Entered: 02/07/2005)
02/07/2005	478	MINUTES OF Motion Hearing held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall ; RE: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION BY DISTRICT COURT Of Magistrate Judge's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent Applications 448 ; Arguments held, Motion for Reconsideration is

		SUBMITTED without further oral argument. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (shb,) (Entered: 02/11/2005)
02/07/2005	479	AMENDED CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum :(shb,) (Entered: 02/15/2005)
02/08/2005	476	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 01/25/05 Court Reporter: Lutz & Company. (cbr,) (Entered: 02/08/2005)
02/08/2005	477	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 01/25/05. Court Reporter: Lutz & Company. (cbr,) (Entered: 02/08/2005)
02/15/2005	480	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 1/11/05. Court Reporter: Dorothy Babykin. (nm,) (Entered: 02/16/2005)
02/15/2005	481	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 1/11/05, re Transcript 480 : Court Reporter: Dorothy Babykin (nm,) (Entered: 02/16/2005)
02/18/2005	487	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On December 14, 2004, LPL and LGE filed a MOTION to Compel Arbitration of Counts I-X and XXIII-XXIV of Chunghwa Picture Tubes First Amended Counterclaims and to Stay 389 ; A timely opposition and reply were filed. Upon consideration, the Motion is DENIED IN PART and GRANTED IN PART. Specifically, the Court (1) GRANTS the Motion to Compel Arbitration and stay the proceedings with respect to Claims VII -X and XXIV; (2) GRANTS the Motion to Compel arbitration and stays all proceedings, including discovery with respect to Claims I-IV and XXIII insofar as they are based on assignment of rights under the Project-X Agreement; (3) DENIES the Motion to Compel Arbitration and accordingly permits discovery to continue with respect to Claims I-VI and XXIII insofar as they are based on inventorship. A formal order will issue. IT IS SO ORDERED. Court Reporter: N/A. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005	482	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Review of Order of Magistrate Judge Lum Granting in Part Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Compel CONTINUATION of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition and Answers to Deposition Questions re 474 STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum: GRANTING IN PART Chunghwa Picture Tubes LTd's Motion to Compel continuation of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition, answers to depositions questions and sanctions filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/28/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005	483	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Review of Order of Magistrate Judge Lum Granting in Part Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Compel CONTINUATION of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition and Answers to Deposition Questions re 474 Stipulation and Order 482 filed by Plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005	484	DECLARATION of David M Morris re MOTION for Review of Order of

		Magistrate Judge Lum Granting in Part Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Compel Continuation of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition and Answers to Deposition Questions re 474 Stipulation and Order 482 filed by Plaintiff and Counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005	485	L.G. Phillips LCD Co., Ltd.'s, ANSWER to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's COUNTERCLAIMS; Jury Demand filed by Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005		DEMAND for Jury Trial filed by Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005	486	LG Electronics Inc's ANSWER to Chunghwa Picture Tube Ltd's COUNTERCLAIMS; Jury Demand filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc.(shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/22/2005		DEMAND for Jury Trial filed by Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc.. (shb,) (Entered: 02/23/2005)
02/28/2005	488	ORDER: The court (1) GRANTS the motion to compel arbitration and stay the proceedings with respect to claims VII-X and XXIV; (2) GRANTS the motion to compel arbitration and stays all proceedings, including discovery, with respect to claims I-VI and XXIII insofar as they are based on assignment of rights under the project-X agreement; (3) DENIES the motion to compel arbitration and accordingly permits discovery to continue with respect to claims I-VI and XXIII insofar as they are based on inventorship by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : 308 (bp,) (Entered: 03/03/2005)
03/03/2005	489	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 01/10/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (cbr,) (Entered: 03/04/2005)
03/03/2005	490	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 01/10/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (cbr,) (Entered: 03/04/2005)
03/04/2005	491	Notice of Withdrawal of MOTION for Review of Order of Magistrate Judge Lum Granting in Part Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Compel COntinuation of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition and Answers to Deposition Questions re 474 Stipulation and Order 482 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 03/08/2005)
03/07/2005	494	NOTICE OF MOTION AND SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaim and join LG Electronics Inc as a Party filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/28/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged second amended complaint; lodged order. (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/07/2005	495	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS IN SUPPORT re MOTION for Leave 494 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/07/2005	496	NOTICE OF LODGING PROPOSED SEOND AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS 494 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes

		Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/07/2005	497	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for Leave 494 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/07/2005	498	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re MOTION for Leave 494 , Declaration (Motion related) 495 , Motion Related Document 496 , Memorandum in Support of Motion 497 , was served on 3/7/2005. (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/14/2005	499	LPL's RESPONSE in Partial OPPOSITION to CPT's SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaim and join LG Electronics Inc as a Party 494 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 03/21/2005)
03/14/2005	500	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of LPL's Partial Oppisutuini ti CPT's SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaim and join LG Electronics Inc as a Party 494 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 03/21/2005)
03/14/2005	501	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. LPL's RESPONSE in Partial OPPOSITION to CPT's SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaim and join LG Electronics Inc as a Party 494 , 499 , Declaration of Anthony C Roth in support of LPL's RESPONSE in Partial OPPOSITION to CPT's SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaim and join LG Electronics Inc as a Party 494 500 , was served on 3/14/05. (shb,) (Entered: 03/21/2005)
03/15/2005	493	MINUTES: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION BY DISTRICT COURT Of Magistrate Judge's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent Applications is GRANTED. A written order will issue by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall 448 (pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/16/2005	492	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Kell M Damsgaard for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating David L Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(pj,) (Entered: 03/18/2005)
03/21/2005	503	REPLY MEMORANDUM in Support of SECOND MOTION for Leave to amend answer to counterclaims 494 filed by defendant/counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/24/2005)
03/21/2005	504	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of SECOND MOTION for Leave to file amended answer and counterclaims 494 filed by defendant/counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/24/2005)
03/21/2005	505	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of reply memorandum and declaration in support of

		motion for leave to amend; served upon attached service list on 3/21/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 03/24/2005)
03/23/2005	502	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Reopen case (Case reopened. MD JS-5.)Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 03/24/2005)
03/25/2005	506	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 02/07/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (cbr,) (Entered: 03/28/2005)
03/25/2005	507	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 02/07/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (cbr,) (Entered: 03/28/2005)
03/28/2005	512	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Second MOTION for Leave to amend answer and counterclaims and to joint LG Electronics Inc as a party 494 is held. Arguments held, Motion is GRANTED. Court orders that this case be set for a scheduling conference on 4/4/05 at 10:00am; reports shall be filed on or before 3/31/05. Trial date is vacated.Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (jag,) (Entered: 04/14/2005)
03/28/2005	513	SECOND AMENDED ANSWER and AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM to Amended Answer to Complaint, Counterclaim 279 by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 04/14/2005)
03/28/2005	514	THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT against third party defendant LG Electronics Inc Jury Demand. filed by Third Party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 04/14/2005)
03/31/2005	508	JOINT REPORT TO COURT Regarding Case Schedule filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 04/08/2005)
04/04/2005	517	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Scheduling Conference is held. Court ordered 1. fact discovery shall be completed on or before 8/5/05; 2. expert discovery shall be completed on or before 9/16/05; 3. motions shall be set for oral argument on or before 11/14/05 at 10:00am; Pretrial conference is set on 1/30/06 at 3:00pm; and 5. 6 week jury trial is set on 2/28/06 at 10:00am. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (jag,) (Entered: 04/25/2005)
04/07/2005	515	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of proposed second amended answer and counterclaims, third party claims; served upon attached service list on 4/7/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 04/14/2005)
04/11/2005	509	ANSWER filed by counterdefendant LG Electronics Inc (jag,). (Entered: 04/13/2005)
04/11/2005	510	ANSWER to Counterclaim 41 filed by counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(jag,) (Entered: 04/13/2005)
04/11/2005	511	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of answers to counterclaims; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 4/11/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 04/13/2005)

04/20/2005	516	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Jennifer T. Lum, ORDERING Unopposed Motion; declaration and proposed order re Letters Rogatory received on 4/19/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: Letters of request are with proposed order; should be separate; no verification; lacking proper verification.(dp,) (Entered: 04/20/2005)
04/25/2005	518	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum ORDERING unopposed motion for issuance of letter of request submitted by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd received on 4/21/05 to be filed and processed; filed date to be the date the document was stamped Received but not Filed with the Clerk.(jag,) (Entered: 04/27/2005)
04/25/2005	519	UNOPPOSED MOTION for Issuance of a letter of request filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 04/27/2005)
04/25/2005	520	NOTICE OF UNOPPOSED MOTION for Issuance of a letter of request 519 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (jag,) (Entered: 04/27/2005)
04/25/2005	521	DECLARATION of WALLACE WU in support of UNOPPOSED MOTION for Issuance of a letter of request 519 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (jag,) (Entered: 04/28/2005)
04/25/2005	522	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of request for international judicial assistance, notice of unopposed motion for issuance of a letter of request, etc; served upon attached service list on 4/21/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 04/28/2005)
04/26/2005	524	ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum : granting 519 Motion for Issuance. Letters issued and returned (dmjr,) (Entered: 05/06/2005)
05/04/2005	523	ORDER that Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.'s memorandum of points and authorities in support of its motion for summary judgment to dismiss plaintiff's side-mount patent infringement claims for lack of standing [submitted concurrently with ex parte application] and Ex.C to the declaration of Heather H. Fan in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.'s motion for summary judgment to dismiss plaintiff's Side-Mount Patent Infringement claims for lack of standing are STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD. The clerk's office is ordered to remove the stricken documents from the file by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall,(bp,) (Entered: 05/05/2005)
05/05/2005	525	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Motion of District Court Magistrate Court's Ruling Requiring CPT to Produce Pending Patent Applications 48 449 is GRANTED. (see document for details)(jag,) (Entered: 05/09/2005)
05/05/2005	526	ORDER re CLAIM ONSTRUCTION by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; The matter before the Court is claim construction regarding the side-mount patents and semiconductor patents. The claim construction hearing occurred on January 13-14, 2005, the Honorable Consuelo B Marshall presiding. (see

		document for details)(jag,) (Entered: 05/09/2005)
05/06/2005	529	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of it's MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for lack of standing 528 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/06/2005	530	DECLARATION of Heather H. Fan in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiff's side-mount patent infringement claims for lack of standing 528 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/06/2005	531	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. re Memorandum od points and authorities in Support of Motion for summary judgment to dismiss plaintiff's side-mount patent infringement claims 529 , Declaration of Heather H. Fan in support of motion for summary judgment to dimiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement cliams and for lack of standing 530 , was served on 5/6/2005 by via first class mail; via hand deliver/personal service to David L. Schrader, Esq., of Mortan Lewis & Bocklus LLP & Mark Krietzman, Esq., Frank Merideth. (ca,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	528	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Joinder in Chungwa Picture Tubes MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 189 filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America and Tatung Company. (yl,) (Entered: 05/16/2005)
05/09/2005	532	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	533	STATEMENT of of GENUINE ISSUES in opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	534	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	535	DECLARATION of WON JUN CHOI in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	536	DECLARATION of ANN A BYUN in support of opposition to MOTION

		for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	537	DECLARATION of SONG K JUNG in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/09/2005	538	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counter-defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 5/9/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 05/17/2005)
05/10/2005	527	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by David P Owen for Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, designating Brian S Kim as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(jag,) (Entered: 05/13/2005)
05/16/2005	540	MEMORANDUM of LG.Philips Lcd Co Ltd in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 528 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 05/24/2005)
05/16/2005	541	REPLY MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 528 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 05/24/2005)
05/16/2005	542	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHEWS in support of Reply on its MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 528 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 05/24/2005)
05/16/2005	543	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc re MEMORANDUM of LG.Philips Lcd Co Ltd in Opposition to the Joinder of the Tatung Defendants to CPT MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 540 ; Proposed Order denying joinder, was served on 5/16/2005. (jp,) (Entered: 05/24/2005)
05/17/2005	539	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : The Court, on its own motion, hereby continues Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's Motion for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's Side mount Patent infringement Claims for lack of standing and Joinder currently scheduled for 5/23/2005 at 10:00 am to 5/23/2005 at 1:30 pm before Consuelo B. Marshall 189 528 . (yl,) (Entered: 05/19/2005)
05/18/2005	544	REPLY to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Opposition to the Joinder of the Tatung Defendants to Chunghwa Picture Tubes MOTION MEMORANDUM of

		LG.Philips Lcd Co Ltd in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Staning 528 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp,) (Entered: 05/24/2005)
05/23/2005	545	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims for Lack of Standing (filed 5/2/2005). Joinder 528 filed by Tatung Co and Tatung Company of America. Arguments held, Motions are CONTINUED to 8/15/2005 at 10:00 AM. Leave granted to counsel, Opposition shall be filed on or before 8/1/2005, Reply shall be filed on or before 8/8/2005. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (jp,) (Entered: 05/26/2005)
06/27/2005	546	JOINT STATUS REPORT re MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (jag,) (Entered: 07/01/2005)
06/30/2005	547	APPLICATION for attorney Adrian PJ Mollo of McKenna Long and Aldridge LLP to Appear Pro Hac Vice filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Proposed Order. (ir,) (Entered: 07/05/2005)
06/30/2005		FAX number for Attorney Adrian P J Mollo is 202-496-7756. (ir,) (Entered: 07/05/2005)
07/07/2005	548	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Adrian P J Mollo for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating David L Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(jag,) (Entered: 07/08/2005)
07/07/2005	554	APPLICATION for attorney Mark L Hogge to Appear Pro Hac Vice filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 07/18/2005)
07/08/2005	549	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On 5/23/05 Court heard oral argument in connection with Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Standing 189 528 . On 6/27/05 parties submitted a joint status report informing the Court that a SDT was served uon the PTO requesting the administrative record pertaining to the 1971 rule-making; the PTO responded that it is unable to locate any such record. Court hereby VACATES the 8/15/05 hearing on motion. Chunghwa Picture Tubes motion is deemed fully submitted on the record before the Court.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 07/11/2005)
07/08/2005	550	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Mark L Hoggs for Tatung Company; Tatung Co Of America and Tatung Company, designating Mark H Krietzman as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(jag,) (Entered: 07/11/2005)
07/12/2005	551	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a

		Specific Case by Matthew T. Bailey for Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd., designating David L. Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(gk,) (Entered: 07/13/2005)
07/12/2005		FAX number for Attorney Matthew T Bailey is 202-496-7756. (gk,) (Entered: 07/13/2005)
07/12/2005	552	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Laurence Evan Stein for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating David L. Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(yl,) (Entered: 07/14/2005)
07/12/2005		FAX number for Attorney Laurence Evan Stein is 202-496-7756. (yl,) (Entered: 07/14/2005)
07/12/2005	553	APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Gaspare J Bono for LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, designating David L. Schrader as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(yl,) (Entered: 07/14/2005)
07/12/2005		FAX number for Attorney Gaspare J Bono is 202-496-7756. (yl,) (Entered: 07/14/2005)
07/20/2005	560	DECLARATION of David M. Morris in support of Opposition to Jean's motion to compel responses t interogatory Nos. 6-14 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 07/29/2005)
07/20/2005	561	DECLARATION of Won Jun Choi in support of opposition to motion to compel responses to interrogatory Nos. 6-14 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 07/29/2005)
07/20/2005	562	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterdefendant and plaintiff/counterclaim LG Philips LCD Co Ltd., LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. re Declaration of David M. Morris 560 , Declaration of Won jun Choi 561 , was served on 7/20/05 by mail to Christopher A. Mathews, Julie S. Gabler of Howrey Simon Arnold & White LLP. (ca,) (Entered: 07/29/2005)
07/21/2005	555	APPLICATION for attorney Christine Dudzik to Appear Pro Hac Vice filed by counterclaimants/third party plaintiffs Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)
07/21/2005		RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 5/23/05, 3/28/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (mo,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)
07/21/2005	557	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 5/23/05, 3/28/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (mo,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)
07/21/2005	558	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 3/28/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (mo,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)

07/21/2005	559	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 5/23/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (mo,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)
07/22/2005	556	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error Re: Receipt of Reporters Transcript filed on 7/21/05 did not receive document number. (mo,) (Entered: 07/22/2005)
08/02/2005	563	SUPPLEMENTAL Brief in support of its motion to compel responses, without objection, to Interrogatory Nos. 6-14 filed by defendant and counterclaimant Jean Company Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 08/10/2005)
08/02/2005	564	DECLARATION of John Hansen in support of Jean Company Ltd.'s motion to compel responses, without objection, to Interrogatory Nos. 6-14. (ca,) (Entered: 08/10/2005)
08/05/2005	565	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Relief from the Discovery Cutoff filed by defendant/counterclaimant/thirdparty plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 08/11/2005)
08/05/2005	566	DECLARATION of TERESA M CORBIN in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Relief from the Discovery Cutoff 565 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 08/11/2005)
08/11/2005	570	AMENDED OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Relief from from the Discovery Cutoff 565 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 08/16/2005)
08/12/2005	567	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that the deposition of Toshiba noticed by Tatung may occur after the 8/5/05 discovery cutoff. Parties stipulate the deposition of Toshiba noticed by Tatung will occur as soon as possible on a date that is convenient for the parties and the witness. LPL agrees not to object to the deposition of Toshiba on the grounds that the deposition will take place after the discovery cutoff. Parties shall take the deposition of Toshiba no later than 9/7/05. (jag,) (Entered: 08/15/2005)
08/12/2005	568	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that parties stipulate with regard to expert discovery as follows: No notes drafts or other types of preliminary work by or for experts will be the subject of discovery or inquiry at trial. No pre-testimony communications between counsel and experts or third parties will be subject of discovery or inquiry at trial. Parties are not required to include expert demonstratives with the expert reports but will wxchange expert demonstratives on the date set for the exchange. Parties aggre to modify the case schedule as follows: a. opening expert reports shall be served on 9/23/05; b. rebuttal experts reports shall be served on 10/14/05; c. last day to meet and confer on dispositive motions shall be 10/25/05; d. close of expert discovery shall be 10/28/05; e. dispositive motions shall be filed on or before 11/14/05; f. oppositions to dispositive motions 12/2/05; g. replies to oppositions shall be filed by 12/12/05; h. Hearing on dispositive motions which was originally set for 11/14/05 shall be continued to 2/19/2005. Jury Trial is CONTINUED to 2/6/2005 10:00 AM. (jag,) (Entered: 08/15/2005)

08/12/2005	569	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On 8/5/05 defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd filed an EX PARTE APPLICATION for Relief from from the Discovery Cutoff 565 , seeking an order to permit it to take depositions and seek production of documents from certain non-party witnesses beyond the fact discovery deadline. Based on consideration of the papers submitted, the application is DENIED as the Court is not persuaded that it is necessary or reasonable for Chunghwa to conduct up to 24 additional depositions of non-party witnesses. Discovery in this case has been ongoing for more than 2 years.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 08/15/2005)
08/16/2005	571	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING Stipulation re extension to file opposition to ex parte application submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd received on 8/10/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents.(jag,) (Entered: 08/17/2005)
08/19/2005	572	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
08/19/2005	573	DECLARATION of TERESA M CORBIN in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial 572 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
08/19/2005	574	DECLARATION of MICHELE BOVIO in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial 572 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
08/19/2005	575	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of ex parte application, declarations in support; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 8/19/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
08/22/2005	576	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial 572 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
08/22/2005	577	DECLARATION of CORINNE A NIOSI in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial 572 filed by plaintiff/counter-defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 08/23/2005)
09/02/2005	578	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On 8/19/05 defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd filed the EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to present deposition testimony of Michele Bovio at trial 572 . Application is DENIED in part and GRANTED in part. To the extent that it requests a dispositive evidentiary

		ruling on the admissibility of Mr Bovios deposition transcript at trial, CPTs application is DENIED without prejudice to its filing of a regularly noticed motion on the issue. Application is GRANTED with respect to its request to limit the amount of time to be spent taking Mr Bovios deposition testimony. Parties shall have a total of 20 hours per side in the event that an interpreter is used, 10 hours per side in the event that an interpreter is not used as the Court finds that such time is sufficient for a fair examination. Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 09/06/2005)
09/12/2005	580	Mail Returned addressed to David P Owen re 527 Application and Order for PHV Attorney (GG-64) - Approved (jag,) (Entered: 09/19/2005)
09/15/2005	579	JOINT STIPULATION re AUTHENTICATION OF DOCUMENTS by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Parties stipulate as follows: Documents produced in the case (by parties and non-parties) are presumed to be authentic. If evidence is produced that a document lacks authenticity, the party proffering the document bears the burden of establishing authenticity. (see document for details)(jag,) (Entered: 09/16/2005)
09/20/2005	581	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Tatungs MOTION for Joinder in Chungwa Picture Tubes MOTION for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Plaintiff's side-mount Paten infringement Claims for lack of Standing 189 528 is DENIED. The Court GRANTS defendants Chungwa Picture Tubes Ltds MOTION for Summary Judgment to dismiss plaintiffs patent infringement claims based on side mount patents for lack of standing 189 with respect to CPT only. LPLs side-mount patent claims are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE with respect to defendant CPT.(jag,) (Entered: 09/21/2005)
09/28/2005	582	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING ex parte application for leave to amend or supplement its complaint; declaration of A. Roth; declaration of D. Morris; notice of lodging 2nd amended complaint; proposed order submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd received on 9/27/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: proposed amended pleading not under separate cover, attached to notice of lodging also these documents are referring to 2nd amended complaint. Fourth amended complaint was filed on 4/7/05.(ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/28/2005	583	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged Proposed order and 2nd Amended Complaint. (ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/28/2005	584	DECLARATION of David M. Morris in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/28/2005	585	DECLARATION of Anthony C. Roth in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-

		mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/28/2005	586	NOTICE of lodging second amended complaint for patent infringement [demand for jury trial] filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/28/2005	587	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by counterclaim defendnat and plaintiff/counterclaim LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. re Notice of lodging) 586 , EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 , Declaration of David M. Morris 584 , Declaration Anthony C. Roth 585 , was served on 9/27/05. (ca,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/29/2005	588	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/04/2005)
09/29/2005	589	DECLARATION of DAVID A MAKMAN in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/04/2005)
10/05/2005	594	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On 9/28/05 plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co filed an EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 583 . Defendant Chungwa Picture Tubes filed an opposition the next day. Based on consideration of the papers submitted, plaintiffs motion is DENIED without prejudice to its filing of a regularly noticed motion.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/06/2005	590	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order regarding Bovio deposition filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order. (jag,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/06/2005	591	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order regarding Bovio deposition 590 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/06/2005	592	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 10/6/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/07/2005	593	MINUTES OF Telephone Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Ex Parte Application by plaintiff. Ex Parte Application re Bovio deposition is denied. See Minute Order for specifics. Tape #: 05-16. (dp,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/07/2005	595	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order regarding Bovio

		deposition 590 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/07/2005	596	DECLARATION of TERESA M CORBIN in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order regarding Bovio deposition 590 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/07/2005		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Proposed Order Granting LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Ex Parte Application for Order regarding Bovio Deposition, lodged 10/6/05 submitted by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 10/13/2005)
10/12/2005	597	NOTICE of Change of Attorney Information: Jeffrey N Brown is no longer attorney of record in this case for the reason indicated in the G-06 Notice. Jeffrey N Brown is no longer attorney of record in this case for the reason indicated in the G-06 Notice. Filed by counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc(jag,) (Entered: 10/14/2005)
10/20/2005	600	EX PARTE APPLICATION to have four motions to compel accepted for filing and set for hearing on 11/8/05 or for somedate after 11/8/05 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	601	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to have four motions to compel accepted for filing and set for hearing on 11/8/05 or for somedate after 11/8/05 600 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	612	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	613	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	614	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	615	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 606 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)

10/20/2005	616	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	617	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	618	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	619	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/20/2005	620	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 10/20/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	598	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motions to Compel filed by Defendant Chungwa Picture Tube. Any supplemental brief must comply with Local Rule 37-2.3. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 10/21/2005)
10/21/2005	599	ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum granting defendant / counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Ex Parte Application to have four Motions to Compel accepted for filing and set for hearing on 11/9/05 at 10:30 AM: 1) CPTs Motion to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to provide further responses to Seventh Set of Interrogatories Nos 23 and 24; 2) CPTs Motion to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents responsive to First, Second, and Seventh Requests for Production; 3) CPTs Motion to Compel LG Electronics Inc to Provide Further Responses to Second Set and Third Sets of Interrogatories; and 4) CPTs Motion to Compel LG Electronics Inc to Produce Documents in Response to Third Set of Requests for Production, Request Nos 10-105. (mg,) (Entered: 10/24/2005)
10/21/2005	602	DECLARATION of CATHERINE N MITCHELL in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION to have four motions to compel accepted for filing and set for hearing on 11/8/05 or for somedate after 11/8/05 600 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	603	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION to have four motions to compel accepted for filing and set for hearing on 11/8/05 or for somedate

		after 11/8/05 600 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	604	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 11/9/2005 at 10:30 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	605	JOINT STIPULATION re motion to compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to second and third sets of interrogatories filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	606	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 11/9/2005 at 10:30 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	607	JOINT STIPULATION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	608	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 11/9/2005 at 10:30 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	609	JOINT STIPULATION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	610	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 11/9/2005 at 10:30 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	611	JOINT STIPULATION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	621	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 , MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 606 , MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 ,

		MOTION to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/25/2005)
10/21/2005	624	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of ex parte application to have four motions to compel accepted for filing, declaration of Catherine N Mitchell in support; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 10/21/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 10/26/2005)
10/26/2005	622	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 4/4/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (mo,) (Entered: 10/26/2005)
10/26/2005	623	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 4/4/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (mo,) (Entered: 10/26/2005)
10/26/2005	625	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 , MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 606 MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 , MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/28/2005)
10/26/2005	626	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 , MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 606 MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 , MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 10/28/2005)
10/26/2005	627	JOINT SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to provide further responses to Second and Third sets of Interrogatories 604 , MOTION to Compel LG Electronics Inc to produce documents in response to third set of requests for production, request nos 103-105 606 MOTION to Compel LG Philips Lcd Co Ltd to provide further responses to seventh set of special interrogatories Nos 23 and 24 608 , MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to produce documents in response to first second and seventh requests for production 610 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 10/28/2005)
11/08/2005	629	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on

		11/28/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Lodged Proposed Order. (shb,) (Entered: 11/10/2005)
11/08/2005	630	MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in support of MOTION to Certify 629 filed by defendant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (sv) (Entered: 11/15/2005)
11/08/2005	631	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of MOTION to Certify 629 filed by defendant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (sv) (Entered: 11/15/2005)
11/08/2005	632	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re Memorandum in Support of Motion 630 , Declaration (Motion related) 631 , MOTION to Certify, 629 was served on 11/7/05. (sv) (Entered: 11/15/2005)
11/09/2005	628	MINUTES OF Discovery Hearing held before Jennifer T. Lum :, RE: MOTION to Compel 608 , MOTION to Compel 610 , MOTION to Compel 604 , MOTION to Compel 606 . Motions granted in part and denied in part. See Minute Order for specifics. Tape #: 05-18. (dp,) (Entered: 11/10/2005)
11/14/2005	633	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products filed by defendants/counterclaimants Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/19/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed statement and judgment. (jag,) (Entered: 11/17/2005)
11/14/2005	634	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products 633 filed by defendants/counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite- On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jag,) (Entered: 11/17/2005)
11/14/2005	635	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants/counterclaimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation of motion for summary judgment No 7, memorandum of points and authorities in support; served upon attached service list on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/17/2005)
11/14/2005	638	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/5/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order and second amended complaint. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	639	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate

		638 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	640	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	641	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	642	OPPOSITION to MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	643	DECLARATION of CORINNE A NIOSI in support of opposition to MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	644	NOTICE of LODGING Second Amended or supplemental complaint for patent infringement (demand for jury trial) filed by plaintiff/counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/14/2005	645	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	646	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 filed by defendant/counterclaimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F and proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	647	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	648	DECLARATION of E NAIR FLORES in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	649	DECLARATION of SAMUEL K SIMPSON in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)

11/15/2005	650	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	651	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F and proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	652	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	653	DECLARATION of VINCENT LIU in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	654	DECLARATION of MIKE LEE in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	655	DECLARATION of DR VIJAY GUPTA in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	656	DECLARATION of MARK H KRIETZMAN in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	657	DECLARATION of VALERIE W HO in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	658	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/15/2005	660	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment of

		infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	661	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	663	DECLARATION of MARK MORANO in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	664	DECLARATION of DAVID P YORK in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	665	DECLARATION of DR GARY W RUBLOFF in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	666	DECLARATION of R TYLER GOODWYN IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	667	DECLARATION of R TYLER GOODWYN IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	668	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal certain exhibits attached to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV in support of motion for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order and exhibits. (jag,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/15/2005	669	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions, proposed judgment. (pj,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	670	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed judgment. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)

11/15/2005	671	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	672	DECLARATION of YONG BUM KIM in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	673	DECLARATION of JAMES L REED in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	674	DECLARATION of DONG SUN LEE in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	675	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to file under seal Exhibits 1 and 3 of Y B Kim Declaration, Exhibits 1, 3, 8, 10 - 14 of D S Lee Declaration and Exhibits M and N of Reed Declaration in support of their MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	676	DECLARATION of ANN A BYUN in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to file under seal Exhibits 1 and 3 of Y B Kim Declaration, Exhibits 1, 3, 8, 10 - 14 of D S Lee Declaration and Exhibits M and N of Reed Declaration in support of their MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 675 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	677	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed judgment. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	678	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	680	DECLARATION of DAVID P YORK in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	681	DECLARATION of MARK MORANO in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)

		11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	682	DECLARATION of R TYLER GOODWYN IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 ; VOLUME I filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	683	DECLARATION of R TYLER GOODWYN IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 ; VOLUME II filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	684	DECLARATION of DR GARY W RUBLOFF in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	685	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal certain exhibits attached to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order and exhibits. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	686	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	687	DECLARATION of CHIEN KUO HE in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	688	DECLARATION of DR GERALD LUCOVSKY in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	689	DECLARATION of CHIN-YUNG PENG in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	690	DECLARATION of DAVID P OWEN in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 ; **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	691	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	693	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.

		Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; judgment. (jag,) Modified on 11/22/2005 (jag,). (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	694	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	695	DECLARATION of CHIEN KUO HE WANG in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	696	DECLARATION of ROGER STEWART in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	697	DECLARATION of YI-TSAI HSU in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	698	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 **REDACTED** filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	699	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	700	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 throug 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 09:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	701	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 throug 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent 700 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	702	DECLARATION of ROGER STEWART in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 throug 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe

		claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent 700 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	703	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/14/05 by personal and overnight delivery (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	705	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	706	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar 705 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	707	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar 705 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	708	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/14/05 by personal service (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	712	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	714	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/15/2005	716	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	720	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal exhibits 9, 20, 22 -

		26, 28 - 31, 33 and 35 attached to declaration of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order; under seal exhibits. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	722	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents regarding it MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	723	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents regarding it MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 722 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	724	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement of U/F; proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	725	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	727	DECLARATION of DAVID L SCHRADER in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	728	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING motion for summary judgment no 6 - 8; ex parte application for order filing exhibits under seal submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd received on 11/14/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: Judge Marshall is not hearing motions on 12/19/05.(jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/15/2005	730	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order for Leave to file under seal exhibits D and E attached to the declaration of David M Morris in support of motion for summary judgment denying counterclaims to correct inventorship filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	731	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	732	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of MOTION for

		Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent 700 filed by defendants Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	733	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order for Leave to file under seal Exhibit "I" attached to the declaration of David L Schrader in support of motion for summary judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order and declaration. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	734	DECLARATION of WEN-TSE TSENG in support of defendants motion for summary judgment number 6 of noninfringement of claim 35 of U S Patent No 5,926,237 and claims 9, 37 and 38 of U S Patent No 6,002,457 filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	735	DECLARATION of DR VIJAY GUPTA in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products 633 and Motion for summary judgment Nos 6 and 8 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	736	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/14/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	737	DECLARATION of MARK H KRIETZMAN in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/15/2005	738	CORRECTED MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/17/2005	636	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Stipulation for an order regarding withdrawal and re-filing of certain documents containing information is DENIED.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/17/2005	637	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall regarding withdrawal and re-filing of certain documents containing confidential information is DENIED.(jag,) (Entered: 11/18/2005)
11/17/2005	709	PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE on 11/15/05 OF eXhibits 9,20,22-26,28-

		31,33 AND 35 attached to ann a Byun declaration in support of motion for partial summary judgment re patents 5926237, 6002457 and 6373537; declarations of Mark Morano, David P York re motion for summary judgment re patent 5825449, declarations of Mark Morano, David P York, R Tyler Goodwyn IV, Exhibits B,C,H,I,J,K,L,M,N,O,Q,R,S,U,X,Y,Z,AA,BB,CC,FF and GG to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV re motion for summary judgment re patent 4624737; declaration of Tyler Goodwyn IV and Exhibits B,C,,E,F,H,I,J,K,L,M,N,O,Q,R,S,U,X,Y,Z,AA,BB,CC,FF and GG to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV re motion for summary judgment re patent in support of motion for summary judgment re patent 5825449 filed by counterclaim defendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, (lc,) (Entered: 11/22/2005)
11/18/2005	739	REVISED EX PARTE APPLICATION for order for Leave to file under seal certain documents filed in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltds motions for summary judgment of infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 and infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged order and declaration. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	740	JOINDER in EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal exhibits 9, 20, 22 - 26, 28 - 31, 33 and 35 attached to declaration of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,53 720 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	741	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/18/05 by personal service and overnight delivery (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	742	JOINDER in MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624.737 669 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	743	JOINDER in MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	744	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Mark H Krietzman on 11/18/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	745	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order for Leave to file under seal the declaration of Dr Gary Rubloff in support of motion for summary judgment of infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	746	REVISED EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal certain exhibits attached to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV in support of motion

		for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 668 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	747	REVISED EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal exhibits 9, 20, 22 - 26, 28 - 31, 33 and 35 attached to declaration of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,53 720 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	748	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order for Leave to file under seal the declaration of Dr Gary W Rubloff in suppor of motion for summary judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/18/2005	749	REVISED EX PARTE APPLICATION for an order for Leave to to file under seal certain exhibits attached to declaration of R Tyler Goodwyn IV in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 685 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/21/2005	659	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Continuing the hearing as to Chunghwa Picture Tubes MOTION to Certify its order regarding stancing etc 629 to 12/5/2005 at 10:00 AM; Court Reporter: none. (lc,) (Entered: 11/21/2005)
11/21/2005	750	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING declaration of Heather H Fan in support of motion for summary judgment submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd received on 11/15/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: document indicates declaration be filed under seal, but document is not in proper under seal format.(jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/21/2005	751	REPLY to OPPOSITION to MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/21/2005	752	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of reply to opposition to MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/21/2005	753	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/21/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/21/2005	756	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.

		(jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/22/2005	715	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that McKenna may file a motion for protective order on or before the later of (a) 3 court days after it receives notice of entry of this order or (b) 11/29/05 and such motion shall be set for hearing with Magistrate Judge Jennifer T Lum on the first available Tuesday that would give the Court and the parties sufficient notice pursuant to LR 37-3.(jag,) (Entered: 11/23/2005)
11/22/2005	754	CORRECTED DECLARATION of HEATHER H FAN in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims 633 and motions for summary judgments 6 and 8 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/22/2005	755	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of corrected declaration in support of motion for summary judgment; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/22/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/22/2005	757	NOTICE of FILING CORRECTED OPPOSITION to MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/22/2005	758	CORRECTED OPPOSITION to MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/22/2005	759	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 11/22/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 11/29/2005)
11/23/2005	729	JOINDER to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file under seal exhibits 9, 20, 22 - 26, 28 - 31, 33 and 35 attached to declaration of Ann A Byun in support of MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,53 720 filed by defendants/counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 11/28/2005)
11/28/2005	767	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/28/2005	768	DECLARATION of DAVID L SCHRADER in support of MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off

		767 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/28/2005	769	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of reply in support of MOTION for Leave to to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/28/2005	770	REPLY in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/28/2005	771	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of attached document list; served upon Teresa M Corbin, Mark H Krietzman and Christopher A Mathews on 11/28/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	763	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 12/20/2005 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	764	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	765	DECLARATION of ADRIAN P J MOLLO in support of MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	766	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of notice of motion for protective order, memo of points and authorities, declaration in support; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 11/29/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	772	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING motion for summary judgment, memorandum, proposed statement, declaration submitted by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation received on 11/14/05 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: proposed judgment is lacking 2 lines of text on the page for judge to sign.(jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
11/29/2005	773	OBJECTION to Overly Long Reply Brief filed in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
12/01/2005	760	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On the Courts own motion, the defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltds MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 and defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds MOTION Requesting

		Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292 (b) 629 are CONTINUED to 12/8/2005 at 09:30 AM. Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/02/2005)
12/01/2005	774	AMENDED REPLY in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/01/2005	775	RESPONSE filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to OBJECTION to Overly Long Reply Brief filed in support of MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 773 (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/01/2005	776	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 12/1/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/01/2005	777	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file and serve motion to compel supplemental production of previously promised documents and information filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/01/2005	778	DECLARATION of DAVID L SCHRADER in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file and serve motion to compel supplemental production of previously promised documents and information 777 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/01/2005	784	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 12/1/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	761	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motion for Protective Order filed by plaintiff. Motion is denied without prejudice and taken off calendar due to parties failure to comply with Local Rule 37-1. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 12/02/2005)
12/02/2005	762	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Court orders the Consolidated Defendants and LPL to show cause as to why the Courts findings regarding LPLs lack of standing to assert the side-mount patent infringement claims against CPT prior to 7/7/03 do not equally apply to the side-mount patent infringement claims against the Consolidated Defendants and thus, why those claims should not also be dismissed at this time. Parties shall respond in writing no later than 12/7/2005 and should be prepared to discuss this issue at the upcoming 12/8/05 status conference. Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/05/2005)
12/02/2005	779	OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file and serve motion to compel supplemental production of previously promised documents and information 777 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third

		party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	780	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of opposition to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file and serve motion to compel supplemental production of previously promised documents and information 777 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	781	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged statement and judgment. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	782	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation 781 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	783	DECLARATION of BRIAN S KIM in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation 781 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	785	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/06/2005)
12/02/2005	806	DECLARATION of MARK W TAYLOR in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent 700 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	807	DECLARATION of DR GARY W RUBLOFF in support of memorandum of points and authorities in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent 700 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	808	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 4 that claims 1 through 7 of the `449 Patent are invalid or in the alternative, that defendants accused products do not infringe claims 1 through 7 of the

		`449 Patent 700 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	813	DECLARATION of SAMUEL R PHILLIPS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims 633 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	814	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation 781 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	815	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation 781 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	816	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar 705 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	817	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of claim 35 of the `237 patent and claims 9 37 and 38 of the `457 patent filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	818	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products 633 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	819	MEMORANDUM in support of Opposition to motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by portable computer products filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	820	DECLARATION of ROBERT SMITH-GILLESPIE in support of OPPOSITIONS to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products 633 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	821	DECLARATION of NATHAN W McCUTCHEON in support of OPPOSITIONS to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 7 of non-infringement of side-mount patent claims by Monitor Products 633 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	822	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an Order for leave to file under seal certain documents related to LG Philips LCD Co Ltds oppositions to motions for

		summary judgment of non-infringement of claims of the side-mount patents filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	823	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar 705 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	824	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order for leave to file under seal certain documents related to LG Philips LCD Co Ltds oppositions to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 5 to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims for invalidity under the on-sale bar filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	825	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 9 of invalidity of the side-mount patents for anticipation 781 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	830	OPPOSITION to MOTION Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	831	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	832	DECLARATION of MARK H KRIETZMAN in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	833	DECLARATION of VALERIE W HO in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	834	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 12/2/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	835	MEMORANDUM in Support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)

12/02/2005	836	DECLARATION of BRIAN S KIM in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	837	DECLARATION of WEN-TSE TSENG in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	838	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in RESPONSE to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	839	DECLARATION of DR VIJAY GUPTA in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Partial Summary Judgment of infringement of U S Patent Nos 5,926,237, 6,002,457 and 6,373,537 (side-mount patents) 716 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	840	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/02/2005	849	DECLARATION of R TYLER GOODWYN IV in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624,737 669 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	850	DECLARATION of DR GARY RUBLOFF in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624,737 669 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	851	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment #1 of noninfringement of Claim 1 of US Patent No. 4,624,737 669 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	852	EX PARTE APPLICATION to file under seal documents pertaining to its opposition to defendants motions for summary judgment Nos 1 3 and 4 regarding `449 and `737 Semiconductor Patents filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	853	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary

		Judgment of non-infringement of US Patents 6,373,537, 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 651 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	854	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	855	DECLARATION of ANN A BYUN in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	856	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	857	DECLARATION of ROBERT SMITH-GILLESPIE in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	858	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 646 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	859	EX PARTE APPLICATION for and Order for leave to file under seal certain documents related to LG Philips LCD Co Ltds OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment or in the alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment of invalidity of US Patents 6,002,457 and 5,926,237 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order and under seal documents. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	860	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	861	DECLARATION of DR GARY W RUBLOFF in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment No 3 of noninfringement of claims 10 and 11 of U S Patent No 5,825,449 693 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	862	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents regarding its OPPOSITION to Motion for summary judgment of infringement and no anticipation of US Patent No 5,825,449 to be filed under seal filed by

		defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and under seal documents. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	863	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents regarding its OPPOSITION to Motion for summary judgment of infringement and no anticipation of US Patent No 5,825,449 to be filed under seal 862 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	864	DECLARATION of CHIEN KUO HE in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	865	DECLARATION of ROGER STEWART in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	866	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in RESPONSE to Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	867	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	868	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of infringement and no anticipation of U S Patent 5,825,449 660 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/02/2005	869	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	787	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	788	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in RESPONSE to uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment

		dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	789	DECLARATION of BELLE CHANG in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	790	DECLARATION of ROBERT W TURNER in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	791	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. VOLUME 1 of 3 (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	792	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. VOLUME 2 of 3 (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	793	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment dismissing counterclaims for tortious interference and unfair competition and demand for punitive damages 724 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. VOLUME 3 of 3 (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	794	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	795	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents regarding its motion in opposition of LPLs motion for summary judgment of infringement of US Patent No 4,624,737 to be filed under seal filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and under seal declaration. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	796	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	797	OBJECTIONS to EVIDENCE in connection with MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by

		defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	798	DECLARATION of CHIN-YUNG PENG in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) Modified on 12/7/2005 (jag,). (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	799	DECLARATION of CHIEN KUO HE in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment of Infringement of U S Patent 4,624,737 677 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	800	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	801	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/5/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	802	DECLARATION of MICHELE B BOVIO in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 and in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	803	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in response to uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	804	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/5/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	805	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	809	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to to file under seal Exhibits 1 and 3 of Y B Kim Declaration, Exhibits 1, 3, 8, 10 - 14 of D S Lee Declaration and Exhibits M and N of Reed Declaration in support of their MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 675 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party

		plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	810	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	811	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order sealing documents re its opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and LG Electronics Incs Motion for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the State of Limitations filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and under seal declaration. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	812	DECLARATION of ROBERT C FRAME in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 and in OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	826	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents re its opposition to LPLs motion for summary judgment of infringement and no anticipation of US Patent No 5,825,449 to be filed under seal filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and under seal documents. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	827	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting documents re its opposition to LPLs motion for summary judgment of infringement and no anticipation of US Patent No 5,825,449 to be filed under seal 826 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	828	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	829	SECOND PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/05/2005	841	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order permitting the declaration of David A Makman in support of CPTs opposition to motion for summary judgment denying counterclaim correct inventorship to be filed under seal filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and under seal declaration. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	842	DECLARATION of DAVID MAKMAN in support of EX PARTE

		APPLICATION for Order permitting the declaration of David A Makman in support of CPTs opposition to motion for summary judgment denying counterclaim correct inventorship to be filed under seal 841 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	843	OPPOSITION to Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	844	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES in RESPONSE to Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in support of MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	845	STATEMENT OBJECTIONS to EVIDENCE cited in suport of MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	846	DECLARATION of DAVID A MAKMAN VOLUME II in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	847	DECLARATION of DAVID A MAKMAN in support of opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment denying Counterclaim to correct inventorship 712 ; VOLUME I filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	848	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrew S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	870	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	871	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 1 of 2 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	872	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 2 of 2 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa

		Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	873	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 1 of 5 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **AMENDED REDACTED VERSION** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	874	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 2 of 5 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **AMENDED REDACTED VERSION** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	875	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 3 of 5 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **AMENDED REDACTED VERSION** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	876	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 4 of 5 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **AMENDED REDACTED VERSION** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	877	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Summary Judgment that defendants conversion claims are barred by the Status of Limitations 670 ; VOLUME 5 of 5 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **AMENDED REDACTED VERSION** (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	878	AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/05/2005	879	AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 12/2/05 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
12/06/2005	786	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On the Courts own motion, this case is set for a Status Conference on 12/8/2005 09:30 AM.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/07/2005)
12/07/2005	881	RESPONSE filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to Order to Show Cause why side-mount patent infringement claims should not be dismissed as

		to consolidated defendants 762 (jag,) (Entered: 12/09/2005)
12/07/2005		***Terminated all deadlines and hearings. (jag,) (Entered: 12/09/2005)
12/07/2005	882	CONSOLIDATED WRITTEN RESPONSE filed by Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, Lite-On Technology Corporation, Lite-On Technology International Inc, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation to Order to Show Cause why side-mount patent infringement claims should not be dismissed as to consolidated defendants 762 (jag,) (Entered: 12/09/2005)
12/08/2005	880	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Plaintiffs MOTION for Leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add side-mount patent claims or to consolidate 638 is GRANTED. MOTION Requesting Court to Certify Its Order Regarding Standing Under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 is DENIED. Ex parte applications to file documents under seal shall be filed commencing 12/12/05 and the filing of said ex parte application shall end on 12/30/05. It is ordered that no more than 5 ex parte applications shall be filed per day during the period of 12/12/05 to 12/30/05.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/09/2005)
12/08/2005	885	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT against Defendant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd amending Amended Complaint 80 ,filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (shb,) (Entered: 12/15/2005)
12/09/2005	883	AMENDED ORDER RE CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD.'S Motion for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims for Lack of Standing by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Based on the foregoing, the Court GRANTS CPT's Motion for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims for Lack of Standing with respect to Defendant CPT and Defendants in Consolidated Case Nos. CV03-2886, CV03-2866, CV03-2884 and CV03-2885, whom the Court deems to have joined in the motion ab initio. LPL's side-mount patent claims are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE with respect to Defendant CPT and the Consolidated Defendants, ViewSonic Corporation, Jean Co., Lite-On Technology, TPV Technology and Envision Peripherals, Inc. IT IS SO ORDERED.(yl,) (Entered: 12/12/2005)
12/09/2005	886	AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPT's four motions to compel filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 1/9/2006 at 10:00 AM before Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 12/15/2005)
12/09/2005	887	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPT's four motions to compel 886 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/15/2005)
12/09/2005	888	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in

		part CPTs four motions to compel 886 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/15/2005)
12/15/2005	884	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error, The Amended Order 883 has the incorrect entered date. The correct entered date is 12/9/2005. (yl,) (Entered: 12/15/2005)
12/15/2005	889	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Court hereby dismisses Defendant CPT, Jean Company, Lite-On Technology Corporation, TPV Technology and Envision Peripherals Incs Counterclaims for non-infringement and invalidity of the side-mount patents.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/16/2005)
12/15/2005	890	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltds motion for leave to amend or supplement its complaint to add Side Mount-Patent Claims or to Consolidate is GRANTED. The Second Amended Complaint lodged in Case No 02-6775 is deemed filed as of the date of entry of this order. LPL shall file amended complaints in consolidated case Nos 03-2886, 03-2866, 03-2884 and 03-2885 on or before 12/28/05. Defendants shall file their answers to the amended complaints on or before 1/19/06 or in the alternative shall lodge a stipulation with the Court that the answers previously filed including all counterclaims asserted therein, shall constitute the operative answers to the amended complaints. (jag,) (Entered: 12/16/2005)
12/15/2005	891	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Motion requesting Court to Certify its Order regarding standing under 28 USC Section 1292(b) 629 is DENIED. (jag,) Modified on 12/16/2005 (jag,). (Entered: 12/16/2005)
12/15/2005	893	SECOND AMENDED OR SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT against defendants' Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd amending Amended Complaint 885 , Amended Complaint 80 ,filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd; Jury Demand (bp,) (Entered: 12/27/2005)
12/21/2005	892	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On the Courts own motion, CPT's MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 and Defendant Jean Company LTDs Motion for review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/7/05 order denying and granting in part defendant Jean Companys motion to compel LG Philips LC Ltd to provide response to interrogatory No 6-14 are hereby CONTINUED to 1/30/2006 at 10:00 AM. Oppositions shall be filed on or before 1/3/2006. Replies due by 1/10/2006. Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 12/22/2005)
12/22/2005	894	OPPOSITION to MOTION review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off 767 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/29/2005)
12/22/2005	895	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of OPPOSITION to

		MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off 767 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 12/29/2005)
01/03/2006	896	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On the Courts own motion, LG Philips Co LTDs MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off 767 is CONTINUED to 1/30/2006 at 10:00 AM.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 01/04/2006)
01/03/2006	898	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, counter defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/03/2006	899	REPLY in support of MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/03/2006	900	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 filed by plaintiff/counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/03/2006	901	DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/03/2006	902	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 1/3/06 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/03/2006	905	JOINT STIPULATION RE: LG. Philips LCD Co Ltd MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/12/2006)
01/03/2006	906	DECLARATION of GASPARE J. BONO in support of MOTION for Protective Order 763 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/12/2006)
01/03/2006	907	PROOF OF SERVICE of Opposition to MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 898 , Declaration of DAVID M MORRIS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 900 , was served on 1/3/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/12/2006)
01/09/2006	897	APPLICATION for attorney STEPHEN CHIPPENDALE to Appear Pro Hac Vice filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Lodged order. (jag,)

		(Entered: 01/10/2006)
01/09/2006	903	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Plaintiffs 897 Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Attorney Stephen Chippendale on behalf of plaintiff is GRANTED, designating David L Schrader as local counsel. (jag,) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
01/10/2006	912	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION to MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/10/2006	913	REPLY in support of MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/10/2006	914	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in support of MOTION for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena served on patent counsel 763 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/10/2006	927	DECLARATION of Stephen Chippendale in support of its Motion for Protective Order 763 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/11/2006	904	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; The Court on its own motion, CONTINUES the following hearings: 517 Pretrial Conference set for 2/27/2006 03:30 PM. Jury Trial set for 3/21/2006 10:00 AM (10 day estimate) Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 01/12/2006)
01/11/2006	908	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall that the last day for meeting of counsel is extended to 1/6/06; LG Philips LCD Co Ltd shall provide a preliminary draft Final Pretrial Conference Order to Chunghwa, Tatung Company of America and Tatung Company by 1/12/06; CPT and Tatung shall provide LPL with their portions of the draft pretrial conference order by 1/18/06; Date of service and filing memoranda of fact and law, Exhibit List and Witness List shall be extended to 1/20/06. Parties shall lodge a Final Proposed Pretrial Order by 1/30/2006. Pretrial Conference shall remain set for 2/6/2006 02:30 PM. (jag,) (Entered: 01/13/2006)
01/13/2006	917	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Bifurcate filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. Motion set for hearing on 2/6/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged order. (jag,) (Entered: 01/23/2006)
01/13/2006	918	MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 01/23/2006)
01/13/2006	919	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 01/23/2006)
01/13/2006	920	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD

		Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews and Mark H Krietzman on 1/13/06 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 01/23/2006)
01/17/2006	909	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Court continues defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiffs MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 , Plaintiffs MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off 767 and Jean Company LTDs Motion for review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/7/05 order denying and granting in part defendant and Jean Companys motion to compel LG Philips LCD Ltd to provide response to interrogatory No 6-14 to 2/6/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 01/18/2006)
01/17/2006	921	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LG Philips Co Ltds and LG Electronics Incs Motion to bifurcate for failure to comply with the LR; Request for Attorneys Fees and Costs filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/17/2006	922	MEMORANDUM in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LG Philips Co Ltds and LG Electronics Incs Motion to bifurcate for failure to comply with the LR; Request for Attorneys Fees and Costs 921 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/17/2006	923	DECLARATION of HEATHER H FAN in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LG Philips Co Ltds and LG Electronics Incs Motion to bifurcate for failure to comply with the LR; Request for Attorneys Fees and Costs 921 filed by defendant/counterclamaint/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/17/2006	924	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LG Philips Co Ltds and LG Electronics Incs Motion to bifurcate for failure to comply with the LR; Request for Attorneys Fees and Costs 921 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/17/2006	925	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon David L Schrader and Mark Krietzman on 1/17/06 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/17/2006	934	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order setting expedited hearing on motion for sanctions for LPL's failure to comply with the Magistrate's 11/10/05 Order and to meet and confer regarding the same filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Order. Memorandum of Points and Authorities.(mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	935	DECLARATION of Scott B Garner in support of re Ex Parte Application for

		Order setting expedited hearing on motion for sanctions for LPL's failure to comply with the Magistrate's 11/10/05 Order 934 and to meet and confer re the same filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	936	NOTICE OF LODGING OF MOVING PAPERS RE Ex Parte Application for sanctions for LPL's failure to comply with the Magistrate's 11/10/05 Order 934 and to meet and confer re the same filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	937	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order Compelling LPL to appear at Rule 30 (b)(6) deposition on damages or, in the alternative, for Order setting motion to compel for hearing with the Magistrate; and Request for Sanctions filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Order. Memorandum of Points and Authorities. (mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	938	DECLARATION of Scott B Garner in support of re Ex Parte Application for Order Compelling LPL to appear at Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on damages or, in the alternative, for Order setting motion to compel for hearing with the Magistrate; and Request for Sanctions 937 filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	939	NOTICE OF LODGING OF MOVING PAPERS RE Motion to Compel LPL to appear at Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on damages 937 filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/17/2006	991	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order Permitting document to be filed under seal; Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
01/17/2006		Motions No Longer Referred: EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order for Permitting document to be filed under seal 991 . (jp,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
01/17/2006	992	DECLARATION of SCOTT B. GARNER in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order Permitting document to be filed under seal 991 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
01/18/2006	942	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Scott B Garner re EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order for setting expedited hearing on motion for sanctions for LPL's failure to comply with the Magistrate's 11/10/05 Order 934 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (esa,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/18/2006	944	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Scott B Garner re EX PARTE APPLICATION to Compel EX PARTE APPLICATION for Sanctions on Discovery Motions 937 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (esa,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)

01/18/2006	990	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum ORDERING Ex Parte Application; Declaration of S. B. Garner; Order and Declaration of S. B. Garner under seal submitted by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd received on 1/17/2006 to be filed and processed; filed date to be the date the document was stamped Received but not Filed with the Clerk.(jp,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
01/19/2006	910	ANSWER to SECOND Amended Complaint 885 , 893 filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America. (jag,) Modified on 1/20/2006 (jag,). (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/19/2006	911	ANSWER to Second Amended Complaint 885 , 893 and COUNTERCLAIM against LG Philips LCD Co Ltd filed by defendant Tatung Company.(jag,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/19/2006	928	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its second amended complaint for patent infringement filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 2/27/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 01/25/2006)
01/19/2006	940	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 921 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	941	DECLARATION of David L Schrader in support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 921 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) Modified on 2/1/2006 (mu,). (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	943	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 921 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	945	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Ex Parte Application for Order setting expedited hearing on motion for sanctions for alleged failure to comply with the Magistrate's 11/10/05 Order 934 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	946	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of Memorandum in Opposition to Ex Parte Application for Order setting expedited hearing and for sanctions 934 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	947	OPPOSITION to Ex Parte Application for Order Compelling LPL to appear at Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on damages or for Order setting motion to compel with the Magistrate and for Sanctions 937 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG

		Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	948	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application re Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on damages and Request for Sanctions 937 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	949	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and third party defendant LG Electronics Inc re Memorandum in Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 940 , Declaration of Anthony C Roth in support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 943 , Declaration of David L Schrader in support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application to Strike Motion to Bifurcate 941 , were served on 1/19/06. (see document for further details) (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	950	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its second amended complaint for patent infringement 928 filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	951	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE in support of Motion to Dismiss second amended complaint 928 filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/19/2006	952	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its second amended complaint for patent infringement 928 , Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its second amended complaint for patent infringement 950 , Request for Judicial Notice 951 , were served on 1/19/06. (see document for further details) (mu,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/20/2006	915	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motion for Protective order shceduled for hearig on January 24. Motion taken under submission. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/20/2006	916	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re ex parte application for order setting expedited hearing on motion for sanctions. Ex parte is denied. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 01/20/2006)
01/20/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re proposed order granting ex parte application for order compelling submitted by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/23/2006	926	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; On 1/17/06 defendant counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds EX

		PARTE APPLICATION to Strike LG Philips Co Ltds and LG Electronics Incs Motion to bifurcate for failure to comply with the LR; Request for Attorneys Fees and Costs 921 . Based on consideratio of the papers submitted the application is DENIED. Request for attorneys fees and costs is likewise DENIED. Based on the information before it, Court is unable to determine whether the parties have in fact met and conferred to discuss the substance of the motion to bifurcate. Court orders parties to meet and confer regarding all of the issues presented in the motion to bifurcate no later than 5pm on 1/24/06. Motion to bifurcate will remain pending before the Court unless it is withdrawn. CPTs opposition shall not be due on or before 1/26/06; LPLs reply shall be due no later than 2/1/06. hearing date shall remain the same.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 01/24/2006)
01/23/2006	929	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Court hereby STRIKES Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes LTDs MOTION to Dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its second amended complaint for patent infringement 928 filed 1/19/06 for failure to comply with the Courts 1/11/06 order requiring the parties to seek leave of Court prior to filing additional motions prior to commencement of trial. Court strikes the motion without prejudice to obtaining leave of Court.Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 01/25/2006)
01/23/2006	931	DECLARATION of MARK H KRIETZMAN in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/23/2006	932	DECLARATION of VALERIE W HO in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/23/2006	933	OPPOSITION to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 01/31/2006)
01/24/2006	953	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order Compelling LPL to appear at Rule 30 (b)(6) deposition on damages or, in the alternative, for Order setting motion to compel for hearing with the Magistrate; and Request for Sanctions 937 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/24/2006	954	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/24/2006	955	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/01/2006)
01/26/2006	957	REQUEST for a Telephonic Status Conference filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/03/2006)

01/26/2006	958	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of VALERIE W HO in support of OPPOSITION to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 02/03/2006)
01/27/2006	959	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Rule 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its amended complaints and for an order tolling the time for defendants to answer the amended complaints filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Envision Peripherals Inc, Jean Company Ltd, TPV Technology Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. Lodged proposed order and motion documents. (jag,) (Entered: 02/06/2006)
01/27/2006	960	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Rule 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its amended complaints and for an order tolling the time for defendants to answer the amended complaints 959 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/06/2006)
01/27/2006	961	NOTICE of LODGING of defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Motion to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims and supporting documents filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/06/2006)
01/27/2006	962	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea S Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 1/27/06 by first class mail and personal service (jag,) (Entered: 02/06/2006)
01/30/2006	930	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. The Court on its own motion, continues the Jury Trial currently set for Tuesday, March 21, 2006 to Tuesday, October 3, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. Court Reporter: not reported. (cs,) (Entered: 01/30/2006)
01/30/2006	963	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Terence J Clark on 1/30/06 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 02/06/2006)
01/30/2006	974	RESPONSE to Defendants REQUEST for a Telephonic Status Conference 957 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, ThirdParty Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
01/30/2006	975	PROOF OF SERVICE of to Defendants REQUEST for a Telephonic Status Conference 974 , was served on 1/30/2006 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, ThirdParty Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
02/01/2006	964	REPLY MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Bifurcate 917 and in response to CPTs OPPOSITION filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 02/08/2006)
02/01/2006	965	REPLY MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Bifurcate 917 and in response to opposition filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 02/08/2006)

02/01/2006	966	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of reply to OPPOSITIONS to MOTION to Bifurcate 917 filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (jag,) (Entered: 02/08/2006)
02/01/2006	967	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff/counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc of attached document list; served upon Christopher A Mathews on 2/1/06 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 02/08/2006)
02/02/2006	956	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Court hereby DENIES Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes EX PARTE APPLICATION for Order Compelling LPL to appear at Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on damages 937 . Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 02/03/2006)
02/03/2006		COMMENT - e-mail addresses updated for counsel of record who are associated with the docket with an e-mail address for service of the Notice of Electronic Filing: Dieter H Hellmoldt; Mary Jane Boswell; Christopher Darrow; Frank E Meredith, Jr; Mark H Krietzman; Terence J Clark; Valerie W Ho; Brian S Kim; Christine A Dudzik; Christopher A Mathews; Teresa M Corbin (jj,) (Entered: 02/03/2006)
02/06/2006	970	REPLY to Counterclaim 911 filed by counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(jag,) (Entered: 02/09/2006)
02/06/2006	973	ORDER Granting Chunghwa Pictur Tubes Ltd Ex Parte Application for Order Permitting Document to Be Filed Under Seal: IT IS ORDERED by Judge Jennifer T. Lum that CPT is permitted to file under seal Plaintiff LC Philips LCD Co Ltd Supplemental Responses to Defendant First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 8, 11 and 14), including Exhibits A and B thereto. (jp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
02/06/2006	977	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: CPT Amended Notice of Motion/Motion for for review November 30, 2005 Order Denying and Granting In Part CPT Four Motions to Compel (filed 12/9/05); Jean Company LTD Amended Notice of Motion/Motion for Review of Magistrate Lum November 7, 2005 Order Denying and Granting IN Part Defendant Jean Company Motion to Compel LG. Philips LC, Ltd to Provide Responses to Interrogatory Nos. 6-14. (filed 12/9/05) 886 ; Motion of LG Philips LCD Co., LTD for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off (filed 11/18/05) 767 ; Motion of LG. Philips LCD Co., LTD and LG Electronics Inc to Bifurcate 917 (filed 1/13/2006). Arguments held. All motions are submitted without further oral argument. Court Reporter: Gail Peeples. (jp,) (Entered: 02/13/2006)
02/08/2006	968	APPLICATION for attorney CHRISTOPHER M JOE to Appear Pro Hac Vice. FEE PAID. filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 02/09/2006)
02/08/2006	969	APPLICATION for attorney ERIC S TAUTFEST to Appear Pro Hac Vice. FEE PAID. filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company,

		Tatung Company, Tatung Company. Lodged proposed order. (jag,) (Entered: 02/09/2006)
02/09/2006	971	ORDER ON APPLICATION of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Eric S. Tautfest as counsel for Defendants, Counter Claimants Tatung Company, Tatung Company, designating Terence J. Clark as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (jp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
02/09/2006	972	ORDER ON APPLICATION AND ORDER of Non-Resident Attorney to Appear in a Specific Case by Christopher M. Joe as counsel for Defendants, Counter Claimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, designating Terence J. Clark as local counsel. Fee PAID. Approved by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.(jp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
02/10/2006	976	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Motion for Protective Order in Connection with Subpoena Served on Patent Counsel. The Motion is denied. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 02/10/2006)
02/13/2006	978	Amended MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 02/14/2006)
02/14/2006	979	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/7/2006 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. Lodged Proposed Order. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	980	JOINT STIPULATION Regarding MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	981	DECLARATION of SCOTT B. GARNER in support of MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	982	DECLARATION of DAVID M. MORRIS in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	983	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)

02/14/2006	984	DECLARATION of WON JUN CHOI in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	985	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd re Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 983 , Declaration of WON JUN CHOI in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 984 , Declaration of DAVID M. MORRIS in support of Opposition to Defendant MOTION to Compel Compliance with November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 982 , was served on 2/14/2006. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/14/2006	986	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: IN CHAMBERS NOTICE - CONTINUATION OF HEARING. The Court, on its own Motion, CONTINUES the Pretrial Conference 904 from 2/27/2006 to 2/28/2006 01:30 PM. Court Reporter: Not Present. (jp,) (Entered: 02/15/2006)
02/16/2006	989	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Pretrial Conference. On the Court own motion, Pretrial Conference 986 is CONTINUED to 9/18/2006 03:00 PM.Court Reporter: Not Appeared. (jp,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
02/17/2006	987	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 12/8/05 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (mo,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
02/17/2006	988	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 12/8/05. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (mo,) (Entered: 02/17/2006)
02/21/2006	993	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of SCOTT B GARNER in support of MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/22/2006)
02/21/2006	996	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 02/23/2006)
02/21/2006	1000	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by Plaintiff, Counterdefendant and Third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (shb,) (Entered: 03/01/2006)
02/21/2006	1001	DECLARATION of David M Morris in support of Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's

		November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 filed by Plaintiff, Counterdefendant and Third Party Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc. (shb,) (Entered: 03/01/2006)
02/21/2006	1002	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counterdefendant and Third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, re Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tube Ltc's MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions, 1000 , Declaration of David M Morris in support of Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tube Ltc's MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 1001 , was served on 2/21/06. (shb,) (Entered: 03/01/2006)
02/22/2006	994	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 2/6/06 Court Reporter: Gail Peeples (mo,) (Entered: 02/23/2006)
02/22/2006	995	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 2/6/06. Court Reporter: Gail Peeples. (mo,) (Entered: 02/23/2006)
02/23/2006	997	MINUTES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Plaintiff/Third Party Defendants MOTION to Bifurcate 917 is DENIED. (see document for details)Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 02/24/2006)
02/24/2006	998	MINUTES OF Telephone Conference held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum. See Minute Order for specifics.Tape #: 06-04. (dp,) (Entered: 02/24/2006)
02/27/2006	999	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Plaintiffs MOTION for review and reconsideration by the District Court of the Magistrate Judges Order compelling the production of documents after the discovery cut-off 767 is DENIED. (see document for details)(jag,) (Entered: 03/01/2006)
02/27/2006	1003	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Defendants MOTION for Review of Magistrate Judge Lums 11/10/05 Order denying and granting in part CPTs four motions to compel 886 and MOTION to Compel LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's Compliance with Magistrate's November 10, 2005 Order or in the alternative, for Issue Sanctions 979 are DENIED. (see document for details) (jag,) (Entered: 03/01/2006)
02/27/2006	1004	DECLARATION of Rebecca Goldman Rudich filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/02/2006)
03/07/2006	1006	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Jennifer T. Lum, ORDERING Letter submitted by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd received on 3/7/06 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: Improper Communication with the Court; L.R. 83-2.11.(dp,) (Entered: 03/08/2006)
03/07/2006	1008	AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel LG Philips LCD Co Ltds compliance with Magistrates 11/20/05 order or in the

		alternative for issue sanctions filed by defendant/counterclaimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 4/18/2006 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jag,) Modified on 1/23/2007 (lm,). (Entered: 03/10/2006)
03/08/2006	1007	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER by Judge Jennifer T. Lum re letter from CPT. Letter improper communication with the Court. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 03/08/2006)
03/10/2006	1009	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall; Defendants EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Rule 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss plaintiffs side-mount patent infringement claims in its amended complaints and for an order tolling the time for defendants to answer the amended complaints 959 is DENIED in lead case CV02-6775. Defendants shall have 10 days from the date of entry of this order in which to file their answers to the amended complaints. The ex parte applications in Consolidated Case Nos CV03-2866, CV03-2884, CV03-2885 and CV03-2886 are DENIED as MOOT.(jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2006)
03/14/2006	1010	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 02/23/06 Court Reporter: D. Babykin (recla14,) (Entered: 03/17/2006)
03/14/2006	1011	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 02/23/06. Court Reporter: D. Babykin. (recla14,) (Entered: 03/17/2006)
03/28/2006	1012	ANSWER to Amended Complaint 893 , COUNTERCLAIM against LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(yl,) (Entered: 03/30/2006)
04/03/2006	1013	MINUTES before Judge Jennifer T. Lum; Court has received and reviewed the documents submitted in camera by plaintiff pursuant to the Courts 2/14/06 order. Court has determined that the documents withheld and partially redacted pursuant to privilege appear to be properly designated. At this time the parties need not take any further action regarding this subject matter. Court Reporter: n/a. (jag,) (Entered: 04/05/2006)
04/11/2006	1014	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum re motion to compel compliance with magistrate's order. Motion off calendar for April 18 and under submission. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 04/11/2006)
04/20/2006	1015	REPLY to Counterclaim 1012 with JURY DEMAND filed by counter defendant LG Electronics Inc.(jag,) (Entered: 04/24/2006)
04/20/2006	1017	ANSWER to Third Party Complaint 514 with JURY DEMAND filed by third party defendant LG Electronics Inc.(jag,) (Entered: 05/08/2006)
04/28/2006	1016	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall ORDERING answer to third party claims submitted by ThirdParty Defendant LG Electronics Inc received on 4/20/06 to be filed and processed; filed date to be the date the document was stamped Received but not Filed with the Clerk.(jag,) (Entered: 05/08/2006)

05/26/2006	1018	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples and Documents filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 6/19/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Order. (shb,) (Entered: 05/30/2006)
05/26/2006	1019	MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in Support of MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples and Documents 1018 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 05/30/2006)
05/26/2006	1020	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples and Documents 1018 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 05/30/2006)
05/26/2006	1021	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re MOTION to Strike 1018 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1019 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1020 , was served on 5/26/06. (shb,) (Entered: 05/30/2006)
06/05/2006	1022	DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION to L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD'S MOTION to Strike 1018 filed by Defendants and counter claimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (shb,) (Entered: 06/06/2006)
06/05/2006	1023	DECLARATION of Mark H Kreitzman in support of Defendant's Opposition to L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd's MOTION to Strike 1018 filed by Defendants and counterclaimant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (shb,) (Entered: 06/06/2006)
06/05/2006	1024	PROOF OF SERVICE of Tatund Company's and Tatung Company of America's Opposition to L.G. Philips LCD Co, Ltd's Motion to strike 1022 , and Declaration of Mark Kteitzman insupport of Opposition to LG Philips Co Ltd's Motion to strike 1023 filed by Defendants and counterclaimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, was served on 6/5/06. (shb,) (Entered: 06/06/2006)
06/12/2006	1026	SECOND DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples and Documents 1018 filed by Plaintiff and counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 06/13/2006)
06/13/2006	1025	REPLY MEMORANDUM OF L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD in support of MOTION to Strike untimely produced expert reports, physical samples and documents 1018 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 06/13/2006)

06/19/2006	1027	MINUTES OF Motion Hearing held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Re: MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples & Documents 1018 ; Arguments had, Plaintiff's Motion to Strike, etc is submitted without further oral argument. Court Reporter: Debbie Gale. (shb,) (Entered: 06/23/2006)
06/23/2006	1028	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: DENYING MOTION to Strike Untimely Produced Expert Reports, Physical Samples and Documents 1018 ; Consistent with this Court's ruling, if additional discovery is needed, fact discovery is to be completed no later than July 17, 2006, and expert discovery to be completed no later than August 7, 2006 Court Reporter: none present. (shb,) (Entered: 06/23/2006)
07/01/2006	1073	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counter defendant, third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1064 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1069 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1070 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1071 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1065 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1066 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1072 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1067 , MOTION for Summary Judgment 1068 , was served on 7/31/06. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/07/2006	1029	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Jennifer T. Lum denying RE: MOTION to Compel 1008 compliance with magistrate's order. See Minute Order for specifics. (dp,) (Entered: 07/07/2006)
07/07/2006	1030	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PRETRIAL SCHEDULING by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Upon the above stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parteis shall exchange witness lists, exhibit lists, deposition designations and other designations to sworn testimony on August 14, 2006. LG Phillips LCD shall provide a preliminary draft and Final Pretrial Conference Order to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD and Tatung Co of America and Tatung Company by 8/18/2006; (See documents for further details)(yl,) (Entered: 07/10/2006)
07/17/2006	1031	TATUNG COMPANY'S NOTICE EX PARTE APPLICATION AND EX PARTE APPLICATION TO (1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2)extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motin to Strike; Memorandum of points and authorities filed by Defendants and Counterclaimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. Lodged proposed order. (shb,) Modified on 7/18/2006 (shb,). (Entered: 07/18/2006)
07/17/2006	1032	DECLARATION of Valerie W Ho in support of Tatung Company's EX PARTE APPLICATION TO (1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2)extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motin to Strike; 1031 filed by Defendants and Counterclaimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (shb,) (Entered: 07/18/2006)

07/17/2006	1033	DECLARATION of Thomas N Fitzgibbon in support of Tatung Company's EX PARTE APPLICATION TO (1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2)extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motin to Strike; 1031 filed by Defendants and Counterclaimants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Tatung Company, Tatung Company. (shb,) (Entered: 07/18/2006)
07/18/2006	1034	NOTICE OF CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD'S MOTION for Summary Judgment based on the Atbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged order. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1035	DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD'S NOTICE OF PETITIONto Vacate Arbitration Award filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (shb,) Modified on 7/19/2006 (shb,). Modified on 7/19/2006 (shb,). (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1036	DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD'S MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in support of PETITION to Vacate 1035 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1037	DECLARATION of Michael L Resch in support Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's PETITION to Vacate Arbitration Award 1035 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1038	PROOF OF SERVICE RE DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD'S PETITION TO VACATE ARBITRATION AWARD filed by Defendant, Counerclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1037 , PETITION to Vacate Arbitration Award 1035 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1036 , was served on 7/18/06. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1039	DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBE LTD'S MEMORANDUM of points and authorities in Support of its MOTION for Summary Judgmentbased on the Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 award 1034 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)

		07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1040	DECLARATION of Michael L Resch in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's MOTION for Summary Judgment based on the arbitration panel's June 20, 2006 award 1034 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1041	NOTICE OF ADEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD'S MOTION for Leave of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged order, statement. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1042	DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD'S MEMORANDUM POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for Leave of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award 1041 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1043	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1039 , MOTION for Summary Judgment 1034 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1040 , MOTION for Leave to of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award 1041 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1042 , was served on 7/18/06. (shb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1044	NOTICE of Association of Counsel associating attorney Thomas N Fitzgibbon on behalf of Defendant Tatung Co Of America. Filed by defendants Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America (bb,) (Entered: 07/19/2006)
07/18/2006	1047	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Leave to of Court of File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents filed by Defendants, Counter-Claiamant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Order. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/18/2006	1048	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for Leave of Court of File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents 1047 filed by Defendant, counterclaimant and

		third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/18/2006	1049	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, the Notice of Defendant Chungwa Picture Tubs LTD's Motion for leave of Court fo file Rule 56 Miotion fo rSummary Judgment based on Intervening Rights Doctring and the Related Two Year Limitation on Seeking ot Revive Patents, etc (see document for further detials) was served on 7/18/2006. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/19/2006	1045	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : IT IS ORDERED that the Opposition to the Motion for Leave of Court, etc. shall be filed on or before 7/24/2006. (yl,) (Entered: 07/20/2006)
07/19/2006	1050	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, the Opposition to Tatung's Ex Parte Application to Amended Protective Order and to Extend Fact and Discovery Deadline; Declaration of Anne A Byun in Support of Opposition was served on 7/18/2006. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/19/2006	1051	LPL'S OPPOSITION to EX PARTE APPLICATION to 1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2)extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motin to Strike 1031 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/19/2006	1052	DECLARATION of ANN A. BYUN IN SUPPORT Of LPL's Opposition to Tatung's EX PARTE APPLICATION 1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2) extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motin to Strike 1031 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 07/27/2006)
07/20/2006	1046	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART RE: EX PARTE APPLICATION AND EX PARTE APPLICATION TO (1)AMEND Protective Order; and 2)extend fact discovery deadline set forth in Court's Order denying LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd's Motion to Strike; 1031 ; Defendants Application is DENIED IN PART AND GRANTED IN PART. Defendants Application to amend the protective order is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Defendant's Application to Extend Fact Discovery Deadline Set Forth in Court's Order Denying LCD Co's Ltd's Motion to Strike is GRANTED. All such discovery must be completed by August 7, 2006. IT IS SO ORDERED. Court Reporter: N/A. (shb,) (Entered: 07/21/2006)
07/21/2006	1061	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error Re: Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1039 . Documents filed in error should have been "LODGED" and forwarded to the Judge (yl,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/21/2006	1062	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error Re: Declaration (Motion related) of Michael L. Resch 1040 -Documents filed in error should have been "LODGED" and forwarded to the Judge (yl,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)

07/21/2006	1063	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error Re: MOTION for Summary Judgment 1034 was filed in error and should have been "LODGED" and forwarded to the Judge (yl,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/24/2006	1053	LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD'S AND LG ELECTRONICS INC'S NOTICE OF MOTION for Leave to to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1054	DECLARATION of Corinne A Niosi in support of LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD'S AND LG ELECTRONICS INC'S MOTION for Leave to to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 filed by Plaintiff, counterclaim defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1055	LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD'S AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC'S MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Leave to to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 filed by Plaintiff, counter defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1056	RESPONSE OF L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD AND LG ELECTROICS to CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD'S MOTION for Leave to of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award 1041 filed by Plaintiff, counterclaim defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1057	DECLARATION of Corinne A Niosi in support of LG Philips Lcd Co., Ltd's and LG Electroniocs Inc's RESPONSE to CPT's MOTION for Leave to of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award 1041 filed by plaintiff, counterdefendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1058	MEMORANDUM OF L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO LTD, in Opposition to CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD'S MOTION for Leave to of Court of File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents 1047 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1059	DECLARATION of Corinne A Niosi in support of LG Philips LLCD Co Ltd's OPPOSITION to CPT MOTION for Leave to of Court of File Rule 56 re Intervening Rights 1047 filed by Plaintiff, Counter defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/24/2006	1060	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, counter defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co

		Ltd, re MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion, 1058 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1059 , MOTION for Leave to to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1054 , Memorandum in Support of Motion, 1055 , Response to Motion, 1056 , Declaration (Motion related), Declaration (Motion related) 1057 , was served on 7/24/06. (shb,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
07/31/2006	1064	L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO.L LTD'S AND LG ELECTRONICS INC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to confirm arbitration award filed by Plaintiff, counterclaim defendant, third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order. (shb,) Modified on 8/1/2006 (shb,). (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1065	L.G. PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD and L.G. ELECTRONICS INC'S MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Order for confirm arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, counterclaim defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1066	DECLARATION of Kell M Damsgaard in support of L.G. Philips LCD Co, Ltd's and LG Electronics Inc MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, counter claim defendant, third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1067	DECLARATION of Corinne A Niosi in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's and LG Electronics Inc's MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim defendant, third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1068	L.G.PHILIPS LCD CO, LTD'S AND LG ELECTRONICS INC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim Defendant, Third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged proposed order and statement. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1069	LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's and LG Electronics Inc's MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim defendant, Third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1070	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's and LG Electronic Inc's MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim Defendant, Third Party Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)

07/31/2006	1071	DECLARATION of Won Jun Choi in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's and LG Electronics Inc's MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim defendant, third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
07/31/2006	1072	DECLARATION of Corinne A Niosi in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's and LG Electronics Inc's MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counterclaim defendant and third party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (shb,) (Entered: 08/01/2006)
08/02/2006	1074	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On July 18, 2006, pursuant to this Court's January 11,2006 Order, Defendant, counterclaimant and third party plaintiff, Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd ("CPT") sought leave of court to file a Motion for Summary Judgment based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents. Plaintiff L.G. Philips filed an Opposition to the Motion on July 24, 2006. Defendant's reply has not yet been filed with this Court. In order to facilitate the resolution of the Motion for Leave to File, this Court hereby orders that any Reply by Defendant CPT must be filed with this Court no later than 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, August 3, 2006. IT IS SO ORDERED.Court Reporter: None Present. (shb,) (Entered: 08/02/2006)
08/03/2006	1075	REPLY in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's MOTION for Leave to of Court of File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents 1047 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, (shb,) (Entered: 08/04/2006)
08/03/2006	1076	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Based upon the above stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The expert discovery cutoff set forth in the Court's June 23, 2006 Order 1028 , will be extended from August 7, 2006 to August 11, 2006. Discovery cut-off 8/11/2006.(shb,) (Entered: 08/04/2006)
08/04/2006	1077	JOINT STIPULATION Dismissing Portions of Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Counterclaims and Third-Party Claims for Conversion Against Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd and Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics, Inc with Prejudice AND ORDER Thereon by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (See document for further details.)(pcl,) (Entered: 08/07/2006)
08/07/2006	1079	OPPOSITION to Plaintiff MOTION for Leave to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1080	OPPOSITION to Plaintiff MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1064 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)

08/07/2006	1081	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Opposition to MOTION for Summary Judgment based on arbitration award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1082	STATEMENT of GENUINE ISSUES OF FACT in Opposition to Rule 56 Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Panel June 20, 2006 Award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1083	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chungwa Picture Tubes Ltd MOTION for Rule 56 Summary Judgment based on arbitration Panel June 20, 2006 award 1068 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1084	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chungwa Picture Tubes Ltd Petition to Vacate arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1085	DECLARATION of CORINNE A. NIOSI in support of Memorandum in Opposition to Chungwa Picture Tubes Ltd Petition to Vacate arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1086	NOTICE OF LODGING Memorandum of LG. Phillips LCD Co Ltd in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Motionfor Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents, and Related Papers filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/07/2006	1087	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc, re Statement of Genuine Issues of Fact 1082 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion, 1083 , Notice of Lodging, 1086 , Declaration 1085 , 1081 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1084 , was served on 8/7/2006. (jp) (Entered: 08/22/2006)
08/14/2006	1089	NOTICE OF LODGING of Reply Memorandum in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment based on the arbitration panel June 20, 2006 Award filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/14/2006	1090	NOTICE OF LODGING of Defendant Reply Memorandum in support of Motion for Summary Judgment based on the intervening rights doctrine and the related two-year limitation on seeking to revive patents filed by Defendant, Counter Claimaint and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/14/2006	1093	REPLY MEMORANDUM in support of PETITION to Vacate Arbitration

		Award 1035 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1091	REPLY in support of their MOTION for Leave to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1092	REPLY MEMORANDUM in support of MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1064 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1094	OBJECTIONS to Reply of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd in Excess of Page Limitation in Court Standing Order filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1095	NOTICE OF LODGING of defendant Chunghwa Reply Memorandum in support of Motion for Summary Judgment based on the intervening rights doctrine and the related two-year limitation on seeking to revive patents filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Reply. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1096	NOTICE OF LODGING of Defendant Chunghwa Reply Memorandum of its Motion for Summary Judgment based on the arbitration panel June 20, 2006 award filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Reply. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1097	PROOF OF SERVICE of Reply Memorandum in support of MOTION to confirm arbitration award 1092 , Reply in support of their MOTION for Leave to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1091 , was served on 8/14/2006 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/15/2006	1098	RESPONSE to Objections to Reply of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd in Excess of Page Limitation in Court Standing Order 1094 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
08/16/2006	1078	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court hereby GRANTS Defendant, counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Leave to of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment based on Arbitration Panel's June 20, 2006 Award 1041 and Plaintiff Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co, Ltds MOTION for Leave to to file Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 . (See document for further details.) IT IS SO ORDERED.Court Reporter: none present. (pcl,) (Entered: 08/18/2006)
08/16/2006	1100	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Summary Judgment Based on the Arbitration Panel's 6/20/2006 Award filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 8/21/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Proposed Order. (gk,) (Entered: 08/27/2006)
08/16/2006	1101	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support Motion for Summary

		Judgment Based on the Arbitration Panel's 6/20/2006 Award 1100 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 08/27/2006)
08/16/2006	1102	DECLARATION of Michael L. Resch in Support Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Arbitration Panel's 6/20/2006 Award 1100 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 08/27/2006)
08/17/2006	1099	NOTICE of Filing of Certification of Thomas Ventrone and Certified Copy of Arbitration Award filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 08/26/2006)
08/18/2006	1104	REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION for Summary Judgment 1100 filed by defendant, Counerclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 08/29/2006)
08/21/2006	1088	MINUTES OF Motion Hearing held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Arguments held, Motion of Chunghwa for Leave to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Panels 6/20/2006 Award 1041 and Motion of LG Philips LCD Co and LG Electronics for Leave to File Summary Judgment Motion Based on Arbitration Award 1053 are GRANTED, said Motions for Summary Judgment are set for hearing on 8/28/2006 at 10:00 AM. Motion of Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Vacate Artitration Award 1035 and for Leave of Court to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Intervening Rights Doctrine and The Related Two-Year Limitations on Seeking to Revive Patents 1047 and Motion of LG Philips LCD Cos and LG Electronics to Confirm Arbitration Award 1064 are SUBMITTED without further oral argument. Court Reporter: Anne Keilwasser. (pcl,) (Entered: 08/23/2006)
08/22/2006	1113	STATEMENT of Genuine Issues in Response to LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.'s and LG Electronics Inc.'s Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1068 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/22/2006	1114	OPPOSITION to LG. Philips, LCD Co., Ltd.'s and LG Electronics, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1068 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/22/2006	1115	DECLARATION of Michael L. Resch in Support of Opposition to LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.'s and LG Electronics, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1068 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/23/2006	1112	AMENDED Notice of Filing of Certification of Thomas Ventrone and Certified Copy of Arbitration Award 1099 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendants LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/24/2006	1116	REPLY MEMORANDUM in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment

		Based on Arbitration Award 1068 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. and Third-Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (gk,) (Entered: 09/03/2006)
08/24/2006	1117	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Anthony C. Roth in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1068 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. and Third Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (gk,) (Entered: 09/03/2006)
08/24/2006	1118	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. and Third Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc. of Stipulation Regarding CPT Products 1103 , Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1116 , Supplemental Declaration of Anthony C. Roth in Support of Motion 1117 , were served on 8/24/2006. (gk,) (Entered: 09/03/2006)
08/25/2006	1108	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses; Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, and defendant Viewsonic Corporation. Motion set for hearing on 9/25/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Proposed Order. (Motion to Compel stricken from record per Order filed 8/31/2006.) (pcl,) Modified on 9/1/2006 (pcl,). (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/25/2006	1109	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in Support of MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1108 filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, and defendant Viewsonic Corporation (pcl,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/25/2006	1110	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant, counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, and defendant Viewsonic Corporation, re MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1108 , Declaration of Christopher A Mathews 1109 , [Proposed] Order, served on 8/25/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/28/2006	1103	STIPULATION Regarding Chunghwa Picture Tubes {"CPT"} Products AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Attached as Exhibit A to this stipulation, and made a part hereof, is a table listing CPT and TFT-LCD products. Exhibit A correlates certain CPT TFT-LCD products that were included in CPTs responses to LPLs Interrogatory No 1 prior to 8/4/2005 and for which CPT provided other discovery before that date, with the Newly-Included CPT Products. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 08/29/2006)
08/28/2006	1119	MINUTES OF Motion Hearing held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Arguments held, Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Award 1053 and for Summary Judgment Based on Arbitration Panels 6/20/2006 Award 1041 are submitted without oral argument. Court Reporter: Anne Kielwasser. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/05/2006)
08/28/2006	1120	MOTION IN LIMINE (#1) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between the Parties filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)

08/28/2006	1121	MOTION IN LIMINE (#2) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Lack of Opinion of Counsel filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1122	MOTION IN LIMINE (#3) to Preclude Evidence or Argument of Willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1123	MOTION IN LIMINE (#4) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Co from Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony That Contradicts Its Claim Construction Arguments Regarding Interpretation of Claims 1 through 7 of the '449 Patent filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1124	MOTION IN LIMINE (#5) Precluding LG Philips LCD Cos Expert Dr Gary Rubloff from Testifying to Infringement of Certain Structures at the Periphery of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Products by the '737 Patent filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1125	MOTION IN LIMINE (#6) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Cos Expert, Mr Samuel R Phillips, from Testifying to Infringement of Unidentified Models of Monitors and Portable Computers of the Side-Mount Patents filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1126	MOTION IN LIMINE (#7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America, and Chunghwa Picture Tubes filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1127	MOTION IN LIMINE (#8) to Preclude Evidence of Sales by non-party Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Labuan) Ltd or Any Other Affiliated Company filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1128	MOTION IN LIMINE (#9) to Preclude Evidence or Opinions of LG Philips LCDs Lost Profits or Opinions Based on the Assumption that LG Philips LCDs Products Embody the Claims of the Patents-In-Suit filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1129	MOTION IN LIMINE (#10) to Preclude LG Philips LCDs Damages Experts Worldwide Royalty Rate Analysis filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1130	MOTION IN LIMINE (#11) to Preclude Evidence or Argument that LG Electronics 6/17/1996 Blueprint Shows Anything Less Than the Complete Side-Mount Invention filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (Lodged Proposed Order)(pcl,) Modified on 9/6/2006 (pcl,). (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1131	DECLARATION of Scott B Garner in Support of MOTIONS IN LIMINE NOS 1-11 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1132	NOTICE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE NOS 1-11 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)

08/28/2006	1133	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re MOTION IN LIMINE (#7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America, and Chunghwa Picture Tubes 1126 , MOTION IN LIMINE (#9) to Preclude Evidence or Opinions of LG Philips LCDs Lost Profits or Opinions Based on the Assumption that LG Philips LCD Cos Products Embody the Claims of the Patents-In-Suit 1128 , MOTION IN LIMINE (#2) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Lack of Opinion of Counsel 1121 , (see document for further details), served on 8/28/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/06/2006)
08/28/2006	1135	MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Plaintiff LG. Philips LCD CO., Ltd from arguing or introducing evidence to suggest that it invented the admitted prior Art Elements; Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America, Counter Claimant Tatung Company. Motion set for hearing on 9/18/2006 at 03:00 PM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Proposed Order. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1136	DECLARATION of VALERIE W. HO in support of MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Plaintiff LG. Philips LCD CO., Ltd from arguing or introducing evidence to suggest that it invented the admitted prior Art Elements 1135 filed by defendant Tatung Co of America, counter claimant Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1137	MEMORANDUM of CONTENTIONS of FACT and LAW filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1138	Witness List filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant, and ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1139	Witness List filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1140	JOINT Exhibit List. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1141	PROOF OF SERVICE of Memorandum of Contensions of Fact and Law; Motions In Limine; Declaration of Corinne A. Niosi; Proposed Order; Witness List and Joint Exhibit List, was served on 8/28/2006 filed by Plaintiff, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
08/28/2006	1167	MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude testimony of Robert Turner; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1168	MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any argument or evidence based on irrelevant statement in the Arbitration Award; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1169	MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence that Chungwa Picture Tubes took steps to avoid infringement; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG

		Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1170	MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence relating to Toshiba product LTM14C011; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1171	MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude expert opinion testimony by Douglas R. Grundstrom filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1172	MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any evidence of damages; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1173	MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude prior deposition testimony of nonparties Snell and Gibson; Memorandum in support filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1174	MEMORANDUM of CONTENTIONS of FACT and LAW filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/28/2006	1175	DECLARATION of CORINNE A. NIOSI in support of MOTIONS IN LIMINE 1169 , 1173 , 1171 , 1167 , 1172 , 1170 , 1168 (Filed with Memorandum of Contentions of Fact and Law 1174) filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
08/29/2006	1105	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On the Courts own motion, Defendants Tatung Companys and Tatung Company of Americas Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co, LTD From Arguing or Introducing Evidence to Suggest That It Invented the Admitted Prior Art Elements (filed 8/28/2006) is submitted without oral argument as of 9/18/2006. Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 08/30/2006)
08/30/2006	1106	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On the Courts own motion the following In Limine Motions which were filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd, are submitted without oral argument as 9/18/2006: (1) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between Parties; (2) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Retlating to CPTs Lack of Opinion of Counsel; (3) to Preclude Evidence or Argument of willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003; (4) to Preclude LPL from Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony that Contradicts its Claim Construction Arguments Regarding Interpretation of Claims 1-7 of the '499 Patent; (5) to Preclue LPL Expert Dr. Gary Rubloff from Testifying to Infringement of Certain Structures at the Periphery of CPTs Products by the '737 Patent; (6) to Preclude LpLs Expert, Mr Samuel R Phillips, from Testifying to Infringement of Unidentified Models of Monitors and Protatable Computers of the Side-Mount Patens; (7) to Preclude Evidence of any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America and CPT; (8) to Preclude Evidence of Sales by CPTL or any Other Affiliated Compnay; (9) to Preclude Evidence or Opinions of LPLs Lost Profits or Opinions Based on the Assumption that LPLs Products Embody the Claims of the Patens-In-Suite; (10) to Preclude LPLs Damages Experts Worldwide Royalty Rate

		Analysis; (11) to Preclude Evidence or Argument that LGEs 6/17/1996 Blueprint Shows Anything Less than the Complete Side-Mount Invention (filed 8/28/2006). Oppositions shall be filed on or before 9/1/2006, Replies shall be filed on or before 9/8/2006. Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 08/31/2006)
08/30/2006	1107	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The court hereby DENIES Defendants MOTION for Leave to File Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment "Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents." 1047 (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: none present. (pcl,) (Entered: 08/31/2006)
08/31/2006	1111	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The documents listed below were improperly filed for the following reasons: Failure to comply with LR 37; therefore, the following document shall be stricken from the record and shall not be considered by the Court: MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1108 .(pcl,) (Entered: 09/01/2006)
08/31/2006	1142	Trial Witness List filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006	1143	NOTICE OF ERRATA filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. correcting Witness List 1142 (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents submitted by defendant, counterclaimant, and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents submitted by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Thir-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re Declaration of Michael L Resch in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents submitted by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re [Proposed] Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and The Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents submitted by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
08/31/2006		PLACED IN FILE - NOT USED re [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the Intervening Rights Doctrine and the Related Two-Year Limitation on Seeking to Revive Patents submitted by

		Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/11/2006)
09/06/2006	1134	STIPULATION To Extend the Date for the Parties Conference of Counsel Re The Business Records Exception AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Parties shall meet-and-confer regarding any objections regarding the business records exception by 9/15/2006. IT IS SO ORDERED.(pcl,) (Entered: 09/07/2006)
09/06/2006	1145	JOINDER to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE (#1) to Preclude evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between the Parties 1120 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/14/2006)
09/06/2006	1146	JOINDER to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE (#3) to Preclude Evidence or Argument of Willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003 1122 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/14/2006)
09/06/2006	1147	JOINDER to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE (#7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America, and Chunghwa Picture Tubes 1126 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/14/2006)
09/11/2006	1151	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION IN LIMINE (#1) to Preclude evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between the Parties 1120 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1152	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION IN LIMINE (#2) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Lack of Opinion of Counsel 1121 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1153	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to CPT MOTION IN LIMINE (#3); and Tatung Defendants Joinder thereto [to Preclude Evidence or Argument of Willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003 1122] filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1154	OPPOSITION to Defendant MOTION IN LIMINE (#4) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Co from Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony That Contradicts Its Claim Construction Arguments Regarding Interpretation of Claims 1 through 7 of the 449 Patent 1123 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1155	OPPOSITION to Defendant MOTION IN LIMINE (#5) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Cos Expert Dr Gary Rubloff from Testifying to Infringement of Certain Structures at the Periphery of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Products by the 737 Patent 1124 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)

09/11/2006	1156	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Defendant MOTION IN LIMINE (#6) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Cos Expert, Mr Samuel R Phillips, from Testifying to Infringement of Unidentified Models of Monitors and Portable Computers of the Side-Mount Patents 1125 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1157	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Defendant MOTION IN LIMINE (#7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America, and Chunghwa Picture Tubes 1126 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1158	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to defendant MOTION IN LIMINE (#8) to Preclude Evidence of Sales by non-arty Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Labuan) Ltd or Any Other Affiliated Company 1127 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1159	OPPOSITION to CPT MOTION IN LIMINE (#9) to Preclude Evidence or Opinions of LG Philips LCDs Lost Profits or Opinions Based on the Assumption that LG Philips LCD Cos Products Embody the Claims of the Patents-In-Suit 1128 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1160	OPPOSITION to CPT MOTION IN LIMINE (#10) to Preclude LG Philips LCDs Damages Experts Worldwide Royalty Rate Analysis 1129 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1161	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE (#11) to Preclude Evidence or Argument that LG Electronics 6/17/1996 Blueprint Shows Anything Less Than the Complete Side-Mount Invention 1130 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1162	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Tatung Compnay, Tatung Company of America MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Plaintiff LG. Philips LCD CO., Ltd from arguing or introducing evidence to suggest that it invented the admitted prior Art Elements 1135 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1163	DECLARATION of DAVID M. MORRIS in support of Opposition of LG. Philips to MOTIONS IN LIMINE 1126 , 1128 , 1135 , 1121 , 1130 , 1123 , 1127 , 1122 , 1124 , 1125 , 1120 , 1129 of CPT and Tatung filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)
09/11/2006	1164	PROOF OF SERVICE re MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1157 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1151 , Response in Opposition to Motion 1154 , Declaration (Motion related) 1163 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1162 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1156 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1161 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1158 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1152 , Opposition to Motion 1159 , Opposition to Motion 1160 , MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Motion 1153 , Opposition to Motion 1155 , was served on 9/11/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/20/2006)

09/11/2006	1176	OPPOSITION to LG Philips Lcd Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude testimony of Robert Turner 1167 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1177	OPPOSITION to LG Philips Lcd Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any argument or evidence based on irrelevant statement in the Arbitration Award 1168 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1178	OPPOSITION to LPL MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence that Chungwa Picture Tubes took steps to avoid infringement 1169 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1179	OPPOSITION to LG Philips Lcd Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any evidence of damages 1172 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1180	OPPOSITION to LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude prior deposition testimony of nonparties Snell and Gibson 1173 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1181	DECLARATION of SCOTT B. GARNER in support of defendant Opposition to Plaintiff MOTIONS IN LIMINE 1169 , 1173 , 1171 , 1167 , 1172 , 1170 , 1168 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1182	PROOF OF SERVICE re Declaration of SCOTT B. GARNER in support of defendant Opposition to Plaintiff MOTIONS IN LIMINE 1181 , Oppositions to Motions in Limine 1180 , 1176 , 1177 , 1178 , 1179 , was served on 9/11/2006 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1183	OPPOSITION to LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence relating to Toshiba product LTM14C011 1170 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1184	DECLARATION of MARK KRIETZMAN in support of MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence relating to Toshiba product LTM14C011 1170 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1185	OPPOSITION to LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude expert opinion testimony by Douglas R. Grundstrom 1171 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/11/2006	1186	DECLARATION of MARK H. KRIETZMAN in support of Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude expert opinion testimony by Douglas R. Grundstrom 1171 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/12/2006	1144	MINUTES IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Jury Trial is continued to 10/10/2006 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B.

		Marshall.Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/12/2006)
09/13/2006	1202	NOTICE OF JOINT STIPULATION Regarding Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Viewsonic Corp MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. Motion set for hearing on 10/16/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (jp) (Entered: 09/22/2006)
09/13/2006	1203	JOINT STIPULATION regarding Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Viewsonic Corp MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1202 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jp) (Entered: 09/22/2006)
09/13/2006	1204	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHERWS in support of Defendants MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1202 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jp) (Entered: 09/22/2006)
09/13/2006	1205	PROOF OF SERVICE re NOTICE OF JOINT STIPULATION regarding Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Viewsonic Corp MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1202 , JOINT STIPULATION regarding Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Viewsonic Corp MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1203 , Declaration of Christopher A. Mathews in support of Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd and Viewsonic Corp MOTION to Compel Production of Documents and Witnesses 1204 , was served on 9/13/2006 filed by defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Viewsonic Corporation. (jp) (Entered: 09/22/2006)
09/14/2006	1148	NOTICE of Change of Attorney Information: changing firm name and address to McKenna Long and Aldridge, 1900 K Street, N W, Washington, DC 20006 for attorney R Tyler Goodwyn, IV. Filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (bb,) (Entered: 09/15/2006)
09/14/2006	1187	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Mark Krietzman in Support of Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Relating to Toshiba Product LTM14C011 1170 filed by Defendant Tatung Company Of America and Defendant and Counter-Claimant Tatung Company. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1188	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine No. 2 Precluding Evidence and Argument Relating to Defendant's Lack of Opinion of Counsel 1121 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1189	REPLY to LPL's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine (No. 3) to Preclude Evidence or Argument of Willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003 1122 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1190	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude LPL from Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony That Contradicts Its Claim Construction Arguments Regarding Interpretation of Claims 1 through 7 of the '449 Patent 1123 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,)

		(Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1191	RESPONSE to Opposition of LPL to CPT's Motion in Limine No. 5 1124 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1192	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine No. 6 to Preclude LPL's Expert, Mr. Samuel R. Phillips, from Testifying to Infringement of Unidentified Models of Monitors and Portable Computers of the Side-Mount Patents 1125 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1193	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine (No. 7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung (America), and CPT 1126 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1194	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine No. 8 to Preclude Evidence of Sales by CPTL or Any Other Affiliated Company 1127 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1195	RESPONSE to Opposition of LPL to CPT's Motion in Limine No. 9 1128 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1196	RESPONSE to Opposition on LPL to CPT's Motion in Limine No. 10 1129 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1197	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. re Replies Re Motions in Limine Nos. 2-10 1188 , 1189 , 1190 , 1191 , 1192 , 1193 , 1194 , 1195 , 1196 , was served on 9/14/2006. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1198	REPLY in Support of Motion in Limine No. 1 to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between the Parties 1120 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1199	REPLY to LPL's Opposition to CPT's Motion in Limine (No. 11) to Preclude Evidence or Argument that LGE's 6/17/1996 Blueprint Shows Anything Less Than the Complete Side-Mount Invention 1130 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1200	DECLARATION of Michael L. Resch in Support of Replies Re Motions in Limine Nos. 1-11 1120 , 1121 , 1122 , 1123 , 1124 , 1125 , 1126 , 1127 , 1128 , 1129 , 1130 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/14/2006	1201	AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. re Replies Re Motions in Limine Nos. 1-11 1198 , 1188 , 1189 , 1190 , 1191 , 1192 , 1193 , 1194 , 1195 , 1196 , 1199 , 1200 , were served on 9/14/2006. (gk,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/18/2006	1149	STIPULATION AND ORDER Regarding Pretrial Scheduling by Judge

		Consuelo B. Marshall: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: (1) A Final Pretiral Conference shall remain scheduled for 9/18/2006; (2) The Parties shall exchange objections to deposition counter-designations by 9/20/2006; (3) The parties shall exchange proposed Jury Instructions by 9/26/2006; (4) The parties shall file and serve Trial Briefs by 9/29/2006; (5) The parties shall file Proposed Jury Instructions by 10/3/2006; (6) The parties shall lodge deposition designations by 10/10/2006; (7) The parties shall file objections to Proposed Jury Instructions by 10/10/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/19/2006)
09/18/2006	1166	MINUTES: Pretrial Conference held continued to 10/3/2006 09:30 AM for a status conference. Court orders that all exhibits be admitted into evidence except those to which objects have have filed by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (ir,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/18/2006	1206	AMENDED Trial Witness List 1142 filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America, Counter Claimant Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/18/2006	1207	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/18/2006	1208	MEMORANDUM in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing 1207 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/18/2006	1209	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing 1207 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/18/2006	1210	PROOF OF SERVICE re EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing 1207 , Memorandum in Support EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing 1208 , Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file Motion to Compel and Expedited Briefing 1209 , was served on 9/18/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/19/2006	1150	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Defendant CPT must file its moving brief re: standing by 4:00 PM on 9/25/2006. Plaintiff LG Philips opposition is due by 4:00 PM on 10/2/2006. No Reply will be considered. Court Reporter: none present. (pcl,) (Entered: 09/19/2006)
09/19/2006	1211	OPPOSITION to Ex Parte Application of L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. for Leave to File Motion to Compel and for Expedited Briefing 1207 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/19/2006	1212	DECLARATION of Robert F. Kramer in Support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application of L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. for Leave to File Motion to Compel and for Expedited Briefing 1207 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 09/25/2006)

09/19/2006	1213	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. re Opposition to Ex Parte Application of L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. for Leave to File Motion to Compel and for Expedited Briefing 1211 , and Declaration of Robert F. Kramer 1212 , were served on 9/19/2006. (gk,) (Entered: 09/25/2006)
09/20/2006	1165	ORDER STRIKING FILED DOCUMENT FROM THE RECORD by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The documents listed below were improperly filed for the following reason: failure to ask leave of Court prior to filing documents; therefore, the following documents shall be stricken from the record and shall not be considered by the Court: MOTION for Summary Judgment 633 MOTION for Summary Judgment/Partial Summary Judgment [646, 651, 660, 669, 670, 677, 693, 700, 705, 712, 716, 724, 763, and 781](pcl,) (Entered: 09/21/2006)
09/20/2006	1214	DECLARATION of David M Morris in support of opposition re MOTION to Compel production of documents and witnesses 1202 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, third party defendant LG Electronics Inc. (lc,) Modified on 9/26/2006 (lc,). (Entered: 09/26/2006)
09/20/2006	1215	PROOF OF FEDERAL EXPRESS AND PERSONAL SERVICE on 9/20/06 re Declaration of David M Morris in support of opposition to motion to compel production and witnesses 1214 and confidential declaration of David M Morris in support of opposition to motion to compel production and witnesses submitted in Camera filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, third party defendant LG Electronics Inc, (lc,) Modified on 9/26/2006 (lc,). (Entered: 09/26/2006)
09/25/2006	1217	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities Re Plaintiff's Lack of Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. Pursuant to the Court's 9/19/2006 Minute Order 1150 . (gk,) (Entered: 10/02/2006)
09/25/2006	1218	DECLARATION of Michael L. Resch in Support of Memorandum of Points and Authorities Re Plaintiff's Lack of Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims Filed Pursuant to the Court's 9/19/2006 Minute Order 1217 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 10/02/2006)
09/25/2006	1219	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., re Memorandum of Points and Authorities Re Plaintiff's Lack of Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims Pursuant to the Court's 9/19/2006 Minute Order 1217 and Declaration of Michael L. Resch in Support of Memorandum 1218 , were served on 9/25/2006. (gk,) (Entered: 10/02/2006)
09/27/2006	1222	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING THE PARTIES To file Under Seal the Joint Stipulation Re LG Philips LCD. Co., LTD., Motion to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD. To Supplement Its Response to Document Request Nos. 27,30 and 41 and for Depositions Regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 filed by Defendant,

		Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Proposed Order. (yl,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/27/2006	1223	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd the EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING THE PARTIES To file Under Seal the Joint Stipulation Re LG Philips LCD. Co., LTD., Motion to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD. To Supplement Its Response to Document Request Nos. 27,30 and 41 and for Depositions Regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 1222 , was served on 9/27/2006. (yl,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/27/2006	1224	DECLARATION of S. CHRISTOPHER KYRIACOU in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING THE PARTIES To file Under Seal the Joint Stipulation Re LG Philips LCD. Co., LTD., Motion to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD. To Supplement Its Response to Document Request Nos. 27,30 and 41 and for Depositions Regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 1222 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/29/2006	1216	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court hereby DENIES Defendants Motion to Vacate the Arbitration Award, and GRANTS Plaintiffs Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 10/02/2006)
09/29/2006	1225	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 08/21/06, 08/28/06 Court Reporter: Anne Kielwasser (cbr,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/29/2006	1226	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 08/21/06. Court Reporter: Anne Kielwasser. (cbr,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/29/2006	1227	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 08/28/06. Court Reporter: Anne Kielwasser. (cbr,) (Entered: 10/05/2006)
09/29/2006	1242	TRIAL BRIEF filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
09/29/2006	1243	NOTICE OF MOTION to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to Supplement its Response to document request nos. 27, 30 and 41 and for depositions regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 10/24/2006 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Jennifer T. Lum. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
09/29/2006	1244	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of MOTION to Compel 1243 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
09/29/2006	1252	RESPONSE to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.'s EX PARTE APPLICATION to Seal 1222 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
09/29/2006	1253	TRIAL MEMORANDUM filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)

09/29/2006	1254	DECLARATION of Robert F. Kramer in opposition to MOTION to Compel 1243 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
09/29/2006	1321	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, and Third Party Defendant LG Electronics Inc, re MOTION to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd to Supplement its Response to Document Requests 1243 , Declaration of Anthony C Roth in Support of Motion to Compel; Confidential Declaration of Anthony C Roth in Support of Motion to Compel, Joint Stipulation re Motion to Compel, served on 9/29/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/31/2006)
10/02/2006	1245	OPPOSITION to Memorandum Regarding Plaintiff's Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims 1217 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/02/2006	1246	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Opposition to Defendant Memorandum Regarding Plaintiff's Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims 1245 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/02/2006	1247	PROOF OF SERVICE of Opposition to Defendant Memorandum Regarding Plaintiff's Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims 1245 , Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of Opposition to Defendant Memorandum Regarding Plaintiff's Standing to Assert Side-Mount Patent Infringement Claims 1246 , was served on 10/2/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/02/2006	1256	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of MOTION to Compel 1243 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 10/17/2006)
10/02/2006	1257	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, the Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Mtoion to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD. to Supplement Its Response to Document Request Nos. 27 30 and 41, etc (see document for further details) was served on 10/2/2006. (yl,) (Entered: 10/17/2006)
10/02/2006	1258	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Support of MOTION to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to Supplement its Response to document request nos. 27, 30 and 41 and for depositions regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001691243] filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 10/17/2006)
10/03/2006	1220	ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS, in part, and DENIES, in part, Plaintiff LG Philips and Third-Part Defendant LG Electronics Motion, and DENIES Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Motion, for Summary Judgment Based on the Arbitration Panels June 20, 2006 Award. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 10/04/2006)
10/03/2006	1221	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EXCHANGE OF DEMONSTRATIVES by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The parties shall exchange LR 16-2.10 graphic and

		illustrative materials as follows: (a) If a party intends to use any LR 16-2.10 graphic or illustrative materials at trial, that party shall serve a copy of those materials by 12:00 PM two calendar days prior to its use at trial except as set forth below in section (b); (see document for further details.)(pcl,) (Entered: 10/04/2006)
10/04/2006	1260	PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1261	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS WITH AUTHORITIES filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1262	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS WITHOUT AUTHORITIES filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1263	JOINT PROPOSED AGREED-UPON JURY INSTRUCTIONS filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1264	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS submittted by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1265	PROPOSED PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS submitted by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1266	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM submitted by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/04/2006	1267	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1265 , Jury Verdict (Proposed) 1260 , Jury Verdict (Proposed) 1266 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1261 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1263 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1264 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1262 , was served on 10/4/06. (bp,) (Entered: 10/18/2006)
10/05/2006	1228	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes MOTION IN LIMINE (#1) to Preclude evidence or Argument Relating to Prior Litigation or Arbitration Between the Parties 1120 . (See doucment for further details.)Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/06/2006)
10/05/2006	1229	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#7) to Preclude Evidence of Any Ownership Interest Between and Among Defendants Tatung, Tatung America, and Chunghwa Picture Tubes 1126 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/06/2006)
10/05/2006	1230	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#9) to Preclude Evidence or Opinions of LG Phililps LCDs Lost Profits or Opinions Based on the Assumption that LG Philips LCD Cos Products Embody the Claims of the Patents-In-Suit 1128 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/06/2006)
10/05/2006	1231	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#10) to Preclude LG Philips

		LCDs Damages Experts Worldwide Royalty Rate Analysis 1129 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/06/2006)
10/05/2006	1232	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Plaintiff LG. Philips LCD CO., Ltd from arguing or introducing evidence to suggest that it invented the admitted prior Art Elements 1135 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/06/2006)
10/06/2006	1233	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence that Chungwa Picture Tubes took steps to avoid infringement 1169 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/10/2006)
10/06/2006	1234	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude prior deposition testimony of nonparties Snell and Gibson 1173 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/10/2006)
10/06/2006	1235	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#3) to Preclude Evidence or Argument of Willfulness Related to the Side-Mount Patents Based on Conduct Prior to 6/23/2003 1122 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/10/2006)
10/06/2006	1236	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any argument or evidence based on irrelevant statement in the Arbitration Award 1168 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/10/2006)
10/10/2006	1237	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Preclude Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from presenting any evidence of damages 1172 . Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE Defendants claim of tortious interference (Count XXIX). (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/10/2006	1238	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#4) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Co from Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony That Contradicts Its Claim Construction Arguments Regarding Interpretation of Claims 1 through 7 of the '449 Patent 1123 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/10/2006	1239	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#5) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Cos Expert Dr Gary Rubloff from Testifying to Infringement of Certain Structures at the Periphery of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Products by the '737 Patent 1124 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/11/2006)

10/10/2006	1240	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#6) to Preclude LG Philips LCD Cos Expert, Mr Samuel R Phillips, from Testifying to Infringement of Unidentified Models of Monitors and Portable Computers of the Side-Mount Patents 1125 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/10/2006	1241	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#8) to Preclude Evidence of Sales by Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Labuan) Ltd or Any Other Affiliated Company 1127 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/11/2006)
10/10/2006	1268	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM in Opposition to MOTION to Compel 1243 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1269	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of Brett C. Jackson in opposition to MOTION to Compel 1243 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1270	REVISED PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON OBVIOUSNESS filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1271	PROPOSED JURY QUESTIONNAIRE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1272	DECLARATION of Chien Kuo He in support of defendants' motion to restrict access to trial during the presentation of certain confidential information and for an order sealing corresponding portions of the trial transcript filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1273	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Order for Restrict Access to Trial during the presentation of certain confidential information and for an order sealing corresponding portions of the trial transcript filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 10/10/2006 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1274	DECLARATION of Michael L. Resch in opposition to bench memorandum of L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. to preclude evidence of reexamination filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1275	RESPONSE to bench memorandum of L.G. Philips LCD. Co., Ltd. to preclude evidence of reexamination filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1276	MEMORANDUM of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd., to preclude evidence of reexamination (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1277	DECLARATION of Anthony C. Roth in support of L.G. Philips LDC Co. Ltd.'s bench memorandum to preclude evidence of reexamination filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)

10/10/2006	1278	AGREEED VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/10/2006	1279	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (non-motion) 1276 , Declaration (non-motion) 1277 , was served on 10/10/06. (bp,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1248	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court DENIES Defendants MOTION IN LIMINE (#2) to Preclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Chunghwa Picture Tubess Lack of Opinion of Counsel 1121 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
10/11/2006	1249	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude expert opinion testimony by Douglas R. Grundstrom 1171 and hereby STRIKES as untimely the Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of Douglas R Grundstrom. (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
10/11/2006	1282	OPPOSITION to Defendants MOTION to Restrict Access to Trial during the presentation of certain confidential information and for an order sealing corresponding portions of the trial transcript 1273 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1283	BENCH MEMORANDUM regarding the Construction of the Phrase One of in the 449 Patent filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, ThirdParty Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1284	DECLARATION of ERIC J. MAURER in support of Bench Memorandum regarding the Construction of the Phrase One of in the 449 Patent 1283 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, ThirdParty Defendant LG Electronics Inc. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1285	NOTICE OF FILING CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of BRETT C. JACKSON in Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to Supplement its response to document request nos. 27, 30 and 41 and for depositons regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 filed by defendant, counter claimant, thirdparty plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1286	[CORRECTED] SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of BRETT C. JACKSON in Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd to MOTION to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to Supplement its Response to document request nos. 27, 30 and 41 and for depositions regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 1243 filed by defendant, counter claimant and thirdparty plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1287	PROOF OF SERVICE re Declaration of ERIC J. MAURER in support of Bench Memorandum regarding the Construction of the Phrase One of in the 449 Patent 1284 , Bench Memorandum regarding the Construction of the Phrase One of in the 449 Patent 1283 , was served on 10/11/2006 filed by

		plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/11/2006	1304	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of Bench Brief filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mrgo,) Modified on 10/25/2006 (mrgo,). (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/11/2006	1305	BENCH BRIEF FILED REGARDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN PREVIOUSLY UNCONSTRUED LANGUAGE OF '449 PATENT filed by defendant, counterclaimant and 3rd party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/12/2006	1250	AMENDED MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs Motion in Limine to Exclude Expert Opinion Testimony by Douglas R Grundstrom and hereby STRIKES as untimely the Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of Douglas R Grundstrom. (See document for further details.) 1249 (pcl,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
10/12/2006	1251	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court hereby RESERVES JUDGMENT on Plaintiffs MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude testimony of Robert Turner 1167 . Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/12/2006)
10/12/2006	1255	Joint Statement of case (bp,) (Entered: 10/16/2006)
10/12/2006	1280	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 1 Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Jury empanelled and sworn. Jury Trial set for 10/17/2006 for further jury empanelment before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (yl,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/12/2006	1296	OBJECTIONS to plaintiffs proposed preliminary and final Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1288 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/12/2006	1298	DECLARATION of William L Seldeen in support of MOTION for Order for Restrict Access to Trial during the presentation of certain confidential information and for an order sealing corresponding portions of the trial transcript 1273 filed by defendant, counterclaimant and 3rd party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/12/2006	1301	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON OBVIOUSNESS filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/12/2006	1302	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant and 3rd party defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/12/2006	1303	OBJECTIONS to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds Proposed Jury Instructions filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)

10/12/2006	1306	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Objections - non-motion 1303 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1302 , Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1301 , were served on 10/12/06. (mrgo,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/16/2006	1259	MINUTES OF Status Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Case called and all parties present. Court excuses five prospective jurors from the jury trial. No objections by counsel. Court finds LG Phillips LCD Co., LTD cannot pursue on the infringement due to lack of standing. Formal order to be issued.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (yl,) (Entered: 10/17/2006)
10/16/2006	1312	REPLY to defendants Bench Brief regarding Claim Constructio9n of previously construed Language of '449 Patent 1123 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/16/2006	1313	BENCH MEMORANDUM in support of Limiting Instruction regarding Lack of Opinion of counsel filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pj,) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/17/2006	1281	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 2 Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Jury empanelled and sworn. Jury Trial set for 10/18/2006 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (yl,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)
10/17/2006	1292	MINUTES held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Denying Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltds MOTION IN LIMINE to Exclude evidence relating to Toshiba product LTM14C011 1170 . Court Reporter: N/A. (mg,) (Entered: 10/24/2006)
10/17/2006	1294	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/10/06, 10/12/06, 10/16/06, 10/17/06 Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo (et,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/17/2006	1295	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/10/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/17/2006	1297	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/12/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/17/2006	1299	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/16/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/17/2006	1300	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/17/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/17/2006	1320	PROPOSED PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS submitted on 10/17/06 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 10/30/2006)
10/17/2006	1331	JOINT STATEMENT of the case filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/18/2006	1288	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.. (yl,) (Entered: 10/20/2006)

10/18/2006	1290	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/12/06 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (car,) (Entered: 10/23/2006)
10/18/2006	1291	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/12/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (car,) (Entered: 10/23/2006)
10/18/2006	1314	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 3rd Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Opening statements made. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial set for 10/19/2006 08:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Ann Park and Chol W Kim sworn as official Korean language interpreters. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/18/2006	1328	MEMORANDUM in Opposition to proposed limiting instruction regarding defendants' lack of opinion of counsel filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/18/2006	1329	BENCH MEMORANDUM regarding the use of trial testimony from the Delaware litigation filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/18/2006	1330	BENCH MEMORANDUM regarding inducement evidence filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1289	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The matter before the Court is the parties dispute over the proper construction over the term "one" as found in Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,449 (the '449 Patent). The Court, therefore, finds that the term "one" in Claim 1 means "a single layer." (See document for further details.)Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/23/2006)
10/19/2006	1315	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 4th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 10/20/2006 08:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/19/2006	1332	BENCH MEMORANDUM to exclude testimony disclosed only in late filed supplemental expert report of Roger Stewart filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1333	DECLARATION of Anthony C. Roth in support of Bench Memorandum to exclude testimony disclosed only in late-filed supplemental expert report of Roger Stewart 1332 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1334	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition (non-motion) 1332 , Declaration (non-motion) 1333 , was served on 10/19/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1335	BENCH MEMORANDUM to preclude late-disclosed witnesses of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., from testifying at trial filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)

10/19/2006	1336	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition (non-motion) 1335 , was served on 10/19/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1337	BENCH MEMORANDUM regarding exclusion of Woo Sup Shin testimony about LPL's use of the 449 patent technology filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1338	DECLARATION of Helen Chae MacLeod in support of defendant Chunghwa Pictures Tubes bench memorandum regarding exclusion of Woo Sup Shin testimony about LPL's use of the 449 patent re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition (non-motion) 1337 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1339	NOTICE of lodging deposition transcripts filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1340	OBJECTIONS to plaintiff's proposed Jury Instructions (Proposed) 1265 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/19/2006	1351	JOINT STIPULATION regarding Interpreters. (jp) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
10/19/2006	1352	Notice of Withdrawal of MOTION to Compel Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to Supplement its Response to document request nos. 27, 30 and 41 and for depositions regarding 810-CPT 0001690-810-CPT 0001693 1243 filed by plaintiff, counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
10/20/2006	1307	PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, AND third-party defendant LG Electronics Inc, served on 10/18/2006.(pcl,) (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/20/2006	1308	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/18/06, 10/19/06, 10/20/06 Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo (et) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/20/2006	1309	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/18/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/20/2006	1310	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/20/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/20/2006	1311	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/20/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/20/2006	1316	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 5th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 10/24/2006 08:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/20/2006	1317	JOINT SUBMISSION OF '737 Patent Glossary and '449 Patent Glossary filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 10/28/2006)

10/20/2006	1318	AMENDED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd and Third Party defendant LG Electronics Inc.(ir,) (Entered: 10/28/2006)
10/20/2006	1319	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of Amended Joint Exhibit List 1318 was served on 10/20/06. (ir,) (Entered: 10/28/2006)
10/20/2006	1362	BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony. filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1363	BENCH MEMORANDUM Requesting the Exclusion of Trial Exhibit Nos. 1645 and 5109 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1364	DECLARATION of SUZANNE B. DRENNON in support of Defendant BENCH MEMORANDA: (1) to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony Brief 1362 AND (2) Requesting the Exclusion of Trial Exhibit Nos. 1645 and 5109 1363 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1365	OBJECTIONS to the Court Proposed Preliminary Jury Instructions filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1366	BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude late-disclosed witnesses of Changhwa Pictures Tubes Ltd from testifying at trial filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1367	STATUS REPORT CPT Sole remaining Obection to LPL Deposition Designation filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1368	OBJECTIONS regarding presentation of certain of Changhwa Picture Tubes Ltd confidential information in open court filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/20/2006	1369	BENCH MEMORANDUM Regarding summary of contentions jury instruction and the within the United States requirement of 35 U.S.C. 271 (A), (B) and (G) filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/23/2006	1353	BENCH MEMORANDUM to Exclude Trial Exhibits 1186, 1546, 1555 and 1557 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1354	DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support of its Bench Memorandum to Exclude Trial Exhibits 1186, 1546, 1555 and 1557 1353 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimants and ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1355	NOTICE OF LODGING Deposition Transcripts filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Lodged Deposition Transcripts with Courtroom Deputy (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)

		11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1356	BENCH MEMORANDUM to preclude Undisclosed Expert Opinion Testimony of Dr Gary Rubloff filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1357	OPPOSITION to Chunghwa Bench Memorandum Requesting the Exclusion of Trial Exhibit Number 1645 and 5109 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1358	REVISED OBJECTIONS to Courts Preliminary Jury Instructions filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1359	BENCH MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chunghwa Request to Exclude Trial Exhibits 1186, 1546, 1555 and 1557 1353 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1360	REPLY to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd opposition to Bench Memorandum to Preclude Late-Disclosed Witnesses of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd from testifying at trial 1335 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (ir,) (Entered: 11/07/2006)
10/23/2006	1391	MINUTES OF Status Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Status conference held, various exhibits were admitted into evidence (see exhibit list). Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/24/2006	1293	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) AMENDED ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The matter before the Court is Defendants objections to Plaintiffs proposed trial exhibits numbered 1186, 1546, 1555, 1557, and 1916. The Court OVERRULES the objection to exhibit 1546. The Court SUSTAINS the objections to exhibits 1186, 1555, 1557, and 1916. (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) Modified on 10/25/2006 (pcl,). (Entered: 10/25/2006)
10/24/2006	1361	MINUTES before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Order to Show Cause regarding Contempt as to Michael S. McDonald. IT IS ORDERED that Michael S. McDonald, Juror, shall appear in person before the Hon. Counselo B. Marhsall, United States District Judge, on 11/6/2006 at 11:00 AM and Show Cause as to why Michael S. McDonald shall not be held in contempt for his failure to appear for jury service. Court Reporter: Not Appeared. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1370	BENCH MEMORANDUM Requesting the Exclusion of trial exhibit Nos. 1435, 1647, 2012, 2453, 2455, and 5992 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1371	SUPPLEMENTAL BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony 1362 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1372	STANDING OBJECTIONS regarding presentation of certain of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd confidential information in open Court filed by Defendant

		Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1373	BENCH MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chunghwa Request to Preclude Alleged Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony 1362 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1374	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Opposition to Chunghwa Bench Memorandum to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony 1373 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1375	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS Submitted by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd on October 23, 2006. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1376	OBJECTIONS to Court Jury Instructions regarding Lack of Opinion of Counsel filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1377	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM submitted by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1378	REVISED PROPOSED FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1379	REVISED PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1380	PROOF OF SERVICE re Declaration of ANTHONY C. ROTH in support of LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Opposition to Chunghwa Bench Memorandum to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony 1374 , Bench Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Request to Preclude Newly-Disclosed Expert Opinion Testimony 1373 , was served on 10/24/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
10/24/2006	1392	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 6th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 10/25/2006 08:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporters: Pat Cuneo and Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/25/2006	1387	STANDING OBJECTION re presentation of certain of Chunghwas Picture Tubes Ltd.'s confidential information in open court filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/25/2006	1388	BENCH MEMORANDUM in support of its designations of the 30 (b)(6) deposition of Won-Jun Choi of Points and Authorities in Support filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/25/2006	1389	BENCH MEMORANDUM in Support of its 7/27/05 Ho Lee deposition designations filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/25/2006	1390	DECLARATION of William L. Seldeen in support of defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Inc., bench memorandum in support of its 7/27/05 Ho Lee

		deposition designations filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/25/2006	1404	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 7th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 10/26/2006 at 8:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo and Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/26/2006	1386	OPPOSITION to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's bechn memorandum re depostion designation of Won-Jun Choi (non-motion) 1373 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/09/2006)
10/26/2006	1407	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 8th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 10/31/2006 at 8:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo and Leslie King. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/27/2006	1322	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/24/06, 10/25/06 and 10/26/06 Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo (et) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/27/2006	1323	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/24/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/27/2006	1324	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/25/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/27/2006	1325	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/26/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/27/2006	1393	BENCH MEMORANDUM in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Attempt to Play Ho Lee Deposition Excerpts at Trial 1389 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1394	DECLARATION of DAVID M. MORRIS in support of Bench Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Attempt to Play Ho Lee Deposition Excerpts at Trial 1393 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1395	PROOF OF SERVICE re Bench Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Attempt to Play Ho Lee Deposition Excerpts at Trial 1393 , Declaration of DAVID M. MORRIS in support of Bench Memorandum in Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Attempt to Play Ho Lee Deposition Excerpts at Trial 1394 , was served on 10/26/2006 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1396	MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law. (No hearing set) filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America, Defendant, Counter claimant Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1397	DECLARATION of VALERIE W. HO in support of MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1396 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung

		Company, Counter Claimant Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1398	MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at Close of Plaintiff Case-In-Chief. (No Hearing Date Set) filed by Defendant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/27/2006	1399	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHEWS in support of MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law of Plaintiff Case-In-Chief 1398 filed by Defendant, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/10/2006)
10/30/2006	1400	MEMORANDUM in support of Supplemental Bench memorandum in support of its designations of the 20(b)(6) deposition of Won-Jun Choi filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1401	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1398 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1402	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1396 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1403	MEMORANDUM to preclude testimony regarding Chunghwa's acquisition of technology from ADI filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1405	BENCH MEMORANDUM to exclude certain testimony of Roger Stewart filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1406	DECLARATION of Anthony C. Roth in support of Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (non-motion) 1405 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1409	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Declaration (non-motion) 1406 , Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (non-motion) 1405 , was served on 10/30/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1410	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Response in Opposition to Motion 1402 , was served on 10/30/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1412	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Response in Opposition to Motion 1401 , was served on 10/30/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1413	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (non-motion) 1403 , was served on 10/30/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/30/2006	1418	DECLARATION of Teresa M. Corbin in support of defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's supplemental bench memorandum in support of its request that this court allow executive vice president C.Y. Chiu to testify (non-motion) 1417 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)

10/30/2006	1455	DECLARATION of David M Morris in support of its Opposition to Tatung Defendants MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1396 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
10/30/2006	1456	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Declaration of David M Morris in support of Motion of Judgment as a Matter of Law 1455 ; served on 10/31/06. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
10/30/2006	1457	DECLARATION of David M Morris in support of its Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1415 filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
10/31/2006	1326	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: ORDER RULING ON PLAINTIFFS OBJECTIONS TO DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEFENDANTS WITNESSES CASTLEBERRY, CHOI, CHUNG, GIBSON, LILLIE, AND SNELL. The matter before the court is Plaintiffs objections to the designated deposition testimony of Defendants witnesses Donald Castleberry, Won-Jun Choi, Chao Chi (Ryan) Chung, Roderick Gibson, Shutuan Lillie, and James Snell. The Courts rulings are listed below. (See document for further details.)Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
10/31/2006	1408	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Declaration (non-motion) 1394 , was served on 10/31/06. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1411	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 9th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Plaintiff rests. Defendants reserve right to make customary motions at the close of plaintiffs case. Jury Trial continued to 11/1/2006 at 8:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo and Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1414	BENCH MEMORANDUM testimony of C.Y. Chiu filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1416	DECLARATION of William L. Seldeen in support of Bench Memorandum re testimony of C.Y. Chiu (non-motion) 1414 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1417	BENCH MEMORANDUM in support of its request that this court allow executive vice president C.Y. Chiu to testify filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1419	DECLARATION of David Makman in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd's opposition to plaintiff L.G. Philips LDC Co., Ltd's motion to exclude Stewart Testimony filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
10/31/2006	1420	OPPOSITION to plaintiff L.G. Philips LCD Co. Ltd's motion to exclude Stewart Testiomony filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (bp,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
11/01/2006	1327	AMENDED MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall:

		AMENDED ORDER RULING ON PLAINTIFFS OBJECTIONS TO DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEFENDANTS WITNESSES CASTLEBERRY, CHOI, CHUNG, GIBSON, LILLIE, AND SNELL. The matter before the court is Plaintiffs objections to the designated deposition testimony of Defendants witnesses Donald Castleberry, Won-Jun Choi, Chao Chi (Ryan) Chung, Roderick Gibson, Shutuan Lillie, and James Snell. The Courts rulings are listed below. (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. 1326 (pcl,) (Entered: 11/01/2006)
11/01/2006	1421	BENCH MEMORANDUM Regarding Jury Instructions on Lack of Opinion of Counsel and Inducement of Patent Infringement filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
11/01/2006	1422	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS Regarding Limitations on the Doctrine of Equivalents filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. (gk,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
11/01/2006	1494	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 10th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 11/3/2006 08:00 AM. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/05/2006)
11/02/2006	1423	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION regarding allegations of copying filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.. (bp,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/02/2006	1497	MINUTES OF Status Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Status Conference held re: trial witnesses. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/03/2006	1341	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/17/06, 10/18/06, 10/19/06, 10/20/06, 10/23/06, 10/24/06, 10/25/06, 10/31/06 and 11/1/06 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1342	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/18/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1343	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/19/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1344	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/20/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1345	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/23/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1346	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/17/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1347	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10//24/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1348	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/25/06. Court Reporter:

		Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1349	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/31/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1350	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/1/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/04/2006)
11/03/2006	1381	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 10/31/06, 11/1/06, 11/2/06 and 11/3/06 Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo (et) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
11/03/2006	1382	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 10/31/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
11/03/2006	1383	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/1/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
11/03/2006	1384	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/2/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
11/03/2006	1385	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/3/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/08/2006)
11/03/2006	1434	OBJECTIONS to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Proposed Jury Instructions regarding allegations of copying 1261 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/15/2006)
11/03/2006	1435	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS Regarding Anticipation filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/15/2006)
11/03/2006	1436	OBJECTIONS to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Revised Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 10/24/2006 1378 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jp) (Entered: 11/15/2006)
11/03/2006	1449	BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude LG Philips LCD Co, Ltd from Cross-Examining Paul Meyer Regarding His Use Of Displaysearch and/or IDC To Calculate a Royalty Base filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/17/2006)
11/03/2006	1495	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 11th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Status Conference set for 11/6/2006 at 8:00 AM. Jury Trial continued to 11/7/2006 at 8:00 AM. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/05/2006)
11/06/2006	1450	FENCH MEMORANDUM in Response to Plaintiffs Objections to Proposed Jury Instruction Regarding Allegations of copying filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/20/2006)
11/06/2006	1451	BENCH MEMO regarding Amount of Remaining Time for Trial filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture

		Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1452	DECLARATION of David A Coplen in support of its Bench Memo regarding amount of Remaining Time for Trial 1451 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimants, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1453	DECLARATION of David Makman in support of its Response to Plaintiffs Objections to Defendants Proposed Jury Instructions regarding Copying 1454 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimants, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) Modified on 11/21/2006 (ir,). (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1454	OBJECTIONS to the Courts Proposed Final Jury Instructions filed by Defendant, Counter Claimants, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) Modified on 11/21/2006 (ir,). (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1458	BENCH MEMORANDUM Regarding Closing Arguments filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1459	DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support of BENCH MEMORANDUM Regarding Closing Arguments 1458 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1460	BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude Evidence of Doctrine of Equivalents Infringement in Plaintiffs Rebuttal Case, filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1461	DECLARATION of William L Seldeen in support of BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude Evidence of Doctrine of Equivalents Infringement in Plaintiffs Rebuttal Case 1460 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/06/2006	1498	MINUTES OF Status Conference held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Status Conference held re: jury instructions. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/07/2006	1462	BENCH MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION to Chunghwas BENCH MEMORANDUM to Preclude Evidence of Doctrine of Equivalents Infringement in Plaintiffs Rebuttal Case 1460 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/07/2006	1463	BENCH MEMORANDUM in support of demonstratives for use with Paul Meyer, filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/07/2006	1464	OBJECTIONS TO THE COURTS REVISED PROPOSED Instruction No. 33 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/07/2006	1496	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 12th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 11/8/2006 08:00 AM. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/05/2006)

11/08/2006	1415	MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at Close of Evidence filed by Defendant, Counter Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/13/2006)
11/08/2006	1424	REQUEST for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Defendants Invalidity Defenses Concerning US Patent No 4,624,737 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/08/2006	1425	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re REQUEST for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1424 , served on 11/8/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/08/2006	1426	REQUEST for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Defendants Invalidity and Noninfringement Defenses Concerning US Patent No 5,825,449 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/08/2006	1427	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re REQUEST for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1426 , served on 11/8/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/08/2006	1465	RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1466	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM submitted by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1467	BENCH MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION to Chunghwas Request to Limit Closing Arguments, filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1468	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Bench Memorandum in Opposition to Request to Limit Closing Arguments 1467 ; served on 11/8/06. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1469	SUPPLEMENTAL BENCH MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION to Chunghwas Bench Memorandum to Preclude Evidence of Doctrine of Equivalents in Plaintiffs Rebuttal Case 1460 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1470	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS Regarding Privileged Communications (Clean set) filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1471	REVISED PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS Regarding Privileged Communications (Clean set) filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1472	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM submitted by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1473	OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449; filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)

11/08/2006	1474	DECLARATION of Roger Stewart in support of OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449 1473 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1475	DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support of OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449 1473 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1476	OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449; filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1477	DECLARATION of Roger Stewart in support of OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449 1476 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1478	DECLARATION of Gregory K Storey in support of OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 1 of US Patent No. 5,825,449 1476 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/08/2006	1499	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 13th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Jury Trial continued to 11/9/2006 at 8:00 AM. Plaintiffs motion for judgment as matter of law granted as to claims 1, 20 and 11 of 449 claim/invalidity and denied in part. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/09/2006	1500	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 14th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Witnesses called, sworn and testified. Exhibits identified and admitted. Plaintiff(s) rest. Defendant(s) rest. Closing arguments made by plaintiff(s) and defendant(s). Jury Trial continued to 11/14/2006 08:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall.Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo/Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/13/2006	1428	OPPOSITION to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1415 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1429	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1415 , VOLUME 1, filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1430	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1415 , VOLUME 2, filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1431	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to

		Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1415 , VOLUME 3, filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1432	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1415 , VOLUME 4, filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1433	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Opposition to Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law at the Close of Evidence 1428 , DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law, VOLUMES 1, 2, 3, 4, [1429, 1430, 1431, 1432], (See document for further details), served on 11/13/2006. (pcl,) (Entered: 11/14/2006)
11/13/2006	1444	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 11/6/06, 11/7/06, 11/8/06 and 11/9/06 Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/13/2006	1445	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/6/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/13/2006	1446	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/7/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/13/2006	1447	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/8/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/13/2006	1448	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/9/06. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/13/2006	1479	OPPOSITION to Tatung Companys and Tatung Company of Americas Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1465 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/13/2006	1480	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in support of Opposition to Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1465 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/13/2006	1481	MOTION for Mistrial on Claim 1 of the '449 Patent and Request for Curative Instruction filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/13/2006		FAX number for Attorney Robert P Taylor is 415-848-4999. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/13/2006	1482	DECLARATION of William L Seldeen in support of MOTION for Mistrial on Claim 1 of the '449 Patent and Request for Curative Instruction 1481 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/14/2006	1483	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Mistrial on Claim 1 of the '449 Patent and Request for Curative Instruction 1481 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)

11/14/2006	1501	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 15th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Bailiff(s) sworn. Jury retires to deliberate. Motion for mistrial by defendants is denied. Motion for Judgment/Directed Verdict is denied. Case continued to 11/15/2006 08:00 AM for further trial/further jury deliberation. Court Reporter: Pat Cuneo. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/15/2006	1502	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: 16th Day Jury Trial. Jury resumes deliberations. Case continued to 11/16/2006 at 8:00 AM for further trial/further jury deliberation. Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/16/2006	1437	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 11/03/06, 11/06/06, for Trial proceedings, 11/06/06 for trial proceedings pm session, 11/7/06, 11/8/06 and 11/09/06 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1438	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/3/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1439	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/6/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1440	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/6/06, p.m. session. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1441	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/7/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1442	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/8/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1443	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/9/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (et) (Entered: 11/16/2006)
11/16/2006	1484	MOTION to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits, filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (mg,) (Exhibits A and B filed UNDER SEAL per order filed 12/4/2006 1493) Modified on 12/7/2006 (pcl,). (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1485	DECLARATION of Chuang-Yi Chiu in support of MOTION to Seal 1484 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1486	DECLARATION of Yi-Tsai Hsu in support of Defendants MOTION to Seal 1484 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1487	DECLARATION of Chien Kuo He in support of MOTION to Seal 1484 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1488	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re MOTION to Seal 1484 , Declaration 1485 ; Declaration 1486 ; Declaration 1487 ; served on 11/16/06. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)

11/16/2006	1489	EX PARTE APPLICATION to File Under Seal Exhibits A and B of Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits; filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1490	DECLARATION of Teresa M Corbin in support of Defendants EX PARTE APPLICATION to File Under Seal Exhibits A and B of Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits 1489 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (mg,) (Entered: 11/21/2006)
11/16/2006	1503	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 17th Day held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Exhibits identified. Jury resumes deliberations. Conference held re: exhibit 476(a). Case continued to 11/20/2006 08:00 AM for further jury deliberation. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/20/2006	1504	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: 18th Day Jury Trial. Exhibits Identified. Jury resumes deliberations. Case continued to 11/21/2006 at 8:00 AM for further trial/further jury deliberation. Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/21/2006	1491	RECEIPT OF REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT of proceedings for the following dates: 11/21/06 Court Reporter: Leandra Amber (car,) (Entered: 11/27/2006)
11/21/2006	1492	TRANSCRIPT filed for proceedings held on 11/16/06. Court Reporter: Leandra Amber. (car,) (Entered: 11/27/2006)
11/21/2006	1505	MINUTES OF Jury Trial - 19th Day held and completed before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Verdict reached. Jury FINDS: in favor of plaintiff. Jury polled. Filed Witness and Exhibit Lists. Filed jury notes. Filed jury instructions. Court Reporter: John Turman. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/07/2006)
11/21/2006	1507	COURT INSTRUCTIONS (Given). (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1508	Jury Note Number 1. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1509	Jury Note Number 2. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1510	Jury Note Number 3. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1511	RESPONSE by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall to Jury Note Number 3 1510 . ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1512	Jury Note Number 4. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1513	Jury Note Number 5. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006		Jury Note Number 6. (gk,) ** PER NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR FILED 12/28/2006 1515 , DUPLICATIVE DOCKET ENTRY DID NOT RECEIVE A DOCUMENT ITEM NUMBER. SEE DOCUMENT NUMBER 1514 ** Modified on 12/28/2006 (gk,). (Entered: 12/28/2006)

11/21/2006	1514	Jury Note Number 6. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1516	Jury Note Number 7. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1517	Verdict Form. ** NOT FOR PUBLIC VIEW DOCUMENT ** (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
11/21/2006	1519	TRIAL Exhibit List. (jj,) (Entered: 01/12/2007)
12/04/2006	1493	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court GRANTS Defendants Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits 1484 . The Court GRANTS Defendants request to extend the applicability of the parties Confidentiality Order. The Court GRANTS Defendants EX PARTE APPLICATION to File Under Seal Exhibits A and B of Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits 1489 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: none present. (pcl,) (Entered: 12/05/2006)
12/13/2006	1506	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE POST-VERDICT BRIEFING SCHEDULE: The parties propose the following briefing schedule: a) Opening briefs on all motions on 1/16/2007; b) Opposition briefs on 2/12/2007; c) Replies on 2/28/2007. IT IS SO ORDERED by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (jp) (Entered: 12/15/2006)
12/28/2006	1515	NOTICE OF CLERICAL ERROR: Due to clerical error, a duplicative docket entry for Jury Note Number 6 filed 11/21/2006 was docketed, and not assigned a document item number. See the docket entry for Jury Note Number 6, document number 1514, which is a not for public view document. (gk,) (Entered: 12/28/2006)
01/11/2007	1518	ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS SIDE-MOUNT PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS FOR LACK OF STANDING by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court hereby DISMISSES Plaintiff LG Philips, LCD, Ltds claims for infringement of the side-mount patents against Defendant CPT and the Defendants in Consolidated Case Nos CV03-2886, CV03-2866, CV03-2884 and CV03-2885, whom the Court deems to have joined in the motion ab initio. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 01/12/2007)
01/16/2007	1521	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTDs Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim filed by plaintiff and counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1522	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of MOTION to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1523	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of Memorandum of Points

		and Authorities in Support of MOTION to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1524	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense 1522 , Declaration of Anthony C Roth in Support of Memorandum of Points and Authorities 1523 , Notice of Motion and MOTION to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 , served on 1/16/2007. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1525	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1526	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (PUBLIC VERSION) (pcl,) Modified on 1/18/2007 (pcl,). (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1527	SEALED DOCUMENT- MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co LTDs MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1528	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co LTDs MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1529	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1530	NOTICE OF RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007		FAX number for Attorney Daniel Johnson, Jr is 415-442-1001. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1531	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (PUBLIC VERSION) (pcl,) Modified on 1/18/2007 (pcl,). (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1532	SEALED DOCUMENT - MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of LG Philips LCD CO LTDs Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent

		(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1533	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1534	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of LG Philips LCD CO, LTDs Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1538	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Enhanced Damages filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1539	MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (PUBLIC VERSION) (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1540	SEALED DOCUMENT - MEMORANDUM of Points and Authorities in Support of Plaintiff LG Philips LCD CO LTDs MOTION for Enhanced Damages(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1541	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1542	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of LG Philips LCD CO, LTD MOTION for Enhanced Damages(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/16/2007	1554	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for New Trial filed by defendant, counterclaimant, third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (jj,) (Entered: 01/30/2007)
01/16/2007	1555	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Fees Pursuant to 35 USC Section 285 filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2207 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1556	NOTICE OF MOTION AND RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1557	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, the Motion for a New Trial, etc (see document for further details) was served on 1/16/2007. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)

01/16/2007	1558	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or, In the Alternative, MOTION for New Trial filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1559	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Seal Documents in Support of Defendant and Counterplaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Motion for Equitable Relief and Attorney fees filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Order. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1560	DECLARATION of DAVID M. MORRIS In Support of Post-Verdict Motions of LG Phillips Co filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1561	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Vacate Court's Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the side-mount patents due to plaintiff's lack of standing filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 2/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1562	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A. MATHEWS IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION to Seal documents in support of defendant's motion for equitable relief and attorney fees 1559 filed by Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1563	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, the Motion for Equitable Relief and Attorney's Fees and Exparte Application to file under seal documents in support thereof was served on 1/16/2007. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1564	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, LG Electronics Inc, the Motion of Plaintiff LG Philips for Enhanced Damages, etc (see document for further details) was served on 1/16/2007. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1565	DECLARATION of MARK KRIETZMAN In Support of MOTION for Fees Pursuant to 35 USC Section 258 1555 filed by MARK KRIETZMAN Tatung Company. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1568	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for A Permanent Injunction filed by Plaintiff and counterclaim defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. Lodged Order. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1570	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Plaintiff and counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1571	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH IN SUPPORT OF MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1572	SEALED DOCUMENT-MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568

		(yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1573	SEALED DOCUMENT-DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH IN SUPPORT of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 (yl,) Modified on 1/31/2007 (yl,). (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1575	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1576	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES in Support of MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1577	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH IN SUPPORT OF MOTION for Order for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1578	DECLARATION of MICHAEL C. KEELEY in Support of MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement MOTION for Order for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1579	SEALED DOCUMENT-DECLARATION of ANTHONY C. ROTH IN SUPPORT OF MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007	1580	SEALED DOCUMENT-DECLARATION of DAVID M. MORRIS IN SUPPORT OF POST-VERDICT MOTIONS OF LG PHILLIPS LCD., CO., LTD 1525 , 1538 , 1530 , 1521 , 1575 (yl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/16/2007		Motions No Longer Referred: MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 (pcl,) (Entered: 04/05/2007)
01/16/2007		Motions No Longer Referred: MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 . (pcl,) (Entered: 04/05/2007)
01/17/2007	1520	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On the Courts own motion, Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tubes to Vacate Courts Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the Side-Mount Patents Due to Plaintiffs Lack of Standing (filed 1/16/2007) is hereby continued to 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM.Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/17/2007	1535	ORDER GRANTING Defendants Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits 1484 by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The portions of the trial transcript and initial exhibits identified by Defendants as confidential shall be sealed. Further, the provisions of the "Amended Confidentiality Stipulation and Protective

		Order" shall govern the handling of any document, information or other things exchanged by the parties or used in the trial proceedings. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/17/2007	1536	SEALED DOCUMENT - EXHIBITS to Defendants Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trail Exhibits, VOLUME 1 of 2 (pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/17/2007	1537	SEALED DOCUMENT - EXHIBITS to Defendants Motion to Seal Portions of the Trial Transcript and Trial Exhibits, VOLUME 2 of 2(pcl,) (Entered: 01/18/2007)
01/17/2007	1544	NOTICE OF DISCREPANCY AND ORDER: by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, ORDERING Motion, Declaration submitted by Defendant received on 1/16/2007 is not to be filed but instead rejected. Denial based on: LR 11-6 Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages.(pcl,) (Entered: 01/20/2007)
01/17/2007	1548	NOTICE OF ERRATA re DECLARATION of MARK KRIETZMAN in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/17/2007	1549	EX PARTE APPLICATION to file under seal exhibits A, W, CC and DD to the Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in support of CPTs Renewed Motion for Judgment as a matter of law and Motion for new trial filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged order and U/S declaration Vol. 1 and 2. (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/17/2007	1550	DECLARATION of DAVID G MEYER in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to file under seal exhibits A, W, CC and DD to the Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in support of CPTs Renewed Motion for Judgment as a matter of law and Motion for new trial 1549 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/17/2007	1551	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at Close of Evidence 1415 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/17/2007	1552	DECLARATION of CHRISTOPHER A MATHEWS in support of MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law at Close of Evidence 1415 filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/17/2007	1553	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea Ordin and Mark Krietzman on 1/16/07 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/19/2007	1543	AMENDED ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall dismissing Plaintiff LG Phillips, LCD, Ltd.'s claims for infringement of the side-mount patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,926,237, 6,002,457, 6,020,942, and 6,373,537) against Defendants CPT, Tatung Company of America, and Tatung Company, and Defendants in Consolidated Case Nos. CV03-2886, CV03-2866, CV03-2884 and CV03-2885 (Consolidated Defendants ViewSonic Corporation, Jean Co., Lite-On Technology, TPV Technology, and Envision Peripherals, Inc.),

		whom the Court deems to have joined in the motion ab initio 1518 (wm,) (Entered: 01/19/2007)
01/23/2007	1545	EX PARTE APPLICATION for an Order That Exhibits 6, 45 and 47-49 attached to the declaration of David Coplin in support of motion for attorney fees and motion for equitable relief be filed under seal filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Proposed order. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/23/2007	1546	DECLARATION of David G Meyer in Support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to File Under Seal Documents in Support of Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Equitable Relief 1545 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (pcl,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
01/23/2007	1566	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Attorney Fees; Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/23/2007	1567	DECLARATION of David Coplen in Support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Motion for Equitable Relief 1566 filed by Defendant and Counterplaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd (pcl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/23/2007	1569	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Equitable Relief; Memorandum of Points and Authorites filed by Defendant and Counterplaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Motion set for hearing on 3/12/2007 at 10:00 AM before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/23/2007	1574	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, re Ex Parte Application to File Under Seal Documents in Support of Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Equitable Relief, Declaration of David G Meyer in support of Ex Parte Application, Declaration of David Coplen in support of Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Equitable Relief, (see document for further details) served on 1/23/2007. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/26/2007	1547	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: ORDER GRANTING Defendants EX PARTE APPLICATION to File under Seal documents in Support of Defendant and Counterplaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Equitable Relief 1545 ; and GRANTING Defendants Ex Parte Application to File under Seal Exhibits A, W, CC, and DD to the Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in Support of CPTs Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial. (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 01/29/2007)
02/08/2007	1582	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 02/16/2007)
02/08/2007	1583	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chungwhwa Picture Tube MOTION to Vacate

		Court Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the side-mount patents due to plaintiff lack of standing 1561 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 02/16/2007)
02/08/2007	1584	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube MOTION for a New Trial 1554 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jp) (Entered: 02/16/2007)
02/12/2007	1585	OPPOSITION to MOTION for New Trial 1554 (Public Version) filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/20/2007)
02/12/2007	1586	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION OF LG Philips LCD Co, Ltd to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Motion for New Trial (pcl,) (Entered: 02/20/2007)
02/12/2007	1587	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to MOTION for New Trial 1554 (Public Version) filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/20/2007)
02/12/2007	1588	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of The Opposition of LG Philips LCD Co, Ltd to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Motion For New Trial (pcl,) (Entered: 02/20/2007)
02/12/2007	1589	OPPOSITION to Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 , (Public Version) filed by Plaintiff and counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/20/2007)
02/12/2007	1591	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION Of LG Philips LCD Co, Ltd To Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1592	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 (Public Version) VOLUME 1 of 4 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1593	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 (Public Version) VOLUME 2 of 4 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1594	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 (Public Version) VOLUME 3 of 4 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1595	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 (Public Version) VOLUME 4 of 4 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1596	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition of LG Philips LCD Co, Ltd to Chunghwa Picture Tubes,

		Ltds Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1597	OPPOSITION to MOTION to Vacate the Courts Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the Side-Mount Patents 1561 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1598	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of Opposition to MOTION to Vacate The Courts Claim Consturction Rulings Regarding the Side-Mount Patents 1561 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1599	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1600	DECLARATION of Anthony C Roth in Support of Opposition to MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1601	DECLARATION of David M Morris in Support of Opposition to MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 filed by Plaintiff and Counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1602	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Equitable Relief 1569 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1603	DECLARATION of David M Morris in Support of Opposition to MOTION for Equitable Relief 1569 filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1604	OPPOSITION to MOTION for Fees Pursuant to 35 USC 285 1555 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1605	DECLARATION of David M Morris in Support of Opposition to MOTION for Fees Pursuant to 35 USC 285 1555 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1606	OPPOSITION to Defendants Tatung Companys and Tatung Company of Americas Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or in the Alternative Motion for a New Trial (Public Version) filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1607	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION Of LG Philips LCD Co, LTD To Tatung Companys And Tatung Company of Americas Renewed Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law, Or In The Alternative Motion For A New Trial (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1608	DECLARATION of David M Morris in Support of the Opposition to Tatung Companys and Tatung Company of Americas Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or in the Alternative Motion for a New Trial filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)

02/12/2007	1609	NOTICE OF ERRATA Regarding Declarations of Anthony C Roth in Support of LG Philips LCD Co, Ltds Post Verdict Motions filed on January 16, 2007 filed by Plaintiff and Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1610	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff and counter defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, re Opposition to Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law (Public Version) 1589 , Opposition to Motion for New Trial (public version) 1585 , Declaration of Anthony C Roth in Support of the Opposition to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Motion for New Trial (public version) 1587 , (see document for further details) served on 2/12/2007. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/12/2007	1611	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Attorneys fees and Expenses 1525 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (ir,) Modified on 2/23/2007 (ir,). (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1612	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1613	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd motion for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1614	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Pictures Tube Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1615	NOTICE OF JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tube opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1616	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file (1) Exhibits 1-4, 6, 7, 15, 18, 30, 37 and 46 attached to the Declaration of Christopher A Mathew regarding CPT oppositions to LPL Post Trial Motions, (2) Portions of the declaration of Chien-Kuo He, Yi-Tsai Hsu, chuang-Ti Chiu, Christina Tsou, Hoover Chen and Jeff Volpe filed in support of CPT Opposition to LPL Motions for Permanent Injunction, (3) unredacted version of CPT Opposition to Attorneys fees and Expenses, (4) unredacted version of CPT Opposition to LPL Motion for Permanent Injunction, (5) unredacted version of CPT opposition to LPL Motion for Enhanced of Damages filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged Proposed Order and Proposed Underseal documents. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1617	DECLARATION of Eric J Moore in support of Ex Parte Applicaiton of Chunghwa Picture Tube Ltd to file documents in opposition to Plaintiff Post-Trial Motions under seal 1616 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)

02/12/2007	1618	DECLARATION of Christina Tsou in support of Chunghwa Pictures Tubes Ltd Ex Parte Application to seal 1616 filed by Defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1619	DECLARATION of Hoover Chen in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Inc Ex Parte Application to seal 1616 filed by Defendant and CounterclaimantChunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1620	DECLARATION of Chuang Yi-Chiu in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Ex Parte Application to seal declarations for Oppositions to LG Philips LC Co Ltd Motions for Injunctive Relief and Enhanced Damages 1616 filed by Defendant and counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1621	DECLARATION of Robert Ranucci in support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Ex Parte Application to seal 1616 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1622	DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of its oppositions to LPL Post-Trial Motions filed by Defendant and Counter Claimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1623	DECLARATION of Jeff Volpe in support of its opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1624	DECLARATION of Chien-Kuo He in support of its Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) Modified on 2/23/2007 (ir,). (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1625	DECLARATION of Christina Tsou in support of its Opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) Modified on 2/23/2007 (ir,). (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1626	DECLARATION of Yi-Tsai Hsu in support of its Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1627	DECLARATION of Hoover Chen in support of Defendants opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1628	DECLARATION of Chuang-Yi Chiu in support of its opposition to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED VERSION** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)

02/12/2007	1629	OPPOSITION to LG Philip LCD Co Ltd Motion for Entry of Permanent Injunction or in the alternative Request for Stay Pending Appeal 1568 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1630	OPPOSITION to Plaintiffs Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1631	OPPOSITION to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED** (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1632	OPPOSITION to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion to Dismiss and/or for Summary Judgment on its Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1633	OPPOSITION to LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for an Award of Prejudgment Interest, Post-Judgment Interest, and Damages for Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1634	OPPOSITION to Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd Motion for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1566 filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. **REDACTED-PUBLIC VERSION** (ir,) Modified on 2/23/2007 (ir,). (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/12/2007	1635	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of Ex Parte Application by Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd to file documents in opposition to Plaintiffs Post-Trial Motions under seal 1616 , Proposed Order Granting CPT Ex Parte Application to file documents in opposition to Plaintiffs Post-Trial motions under seal (See document for further details) was served on 2/12/07. (ir,) (Entered: 02/23/2007)
02/14/2007	1581	MINUTES (N CHAMBERS) held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: On the Courts own motion, the following Motions are submitted without oral argument as of 3/12/2007: Plaintiffs Motion for Attorney Fees and Expenses and for Enhanced Damages and to Dismiss Chunghwa Picture Tube, LTDs Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim, for a Permanent Injunction, Renewed Motion for Judgment as a matter of law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '499 Patent and for an Award of Prejudgment Interest, Post-Judgment Interest and Damages for Defendants Continuing Infringement (filed 1/16/2007), Motion of Chunghwa Picture Tube to Vacate Courts Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the Side-Mount Patents Due to Plaintiffs Lack of Standing, Renewed Motion for Judgment as a matter of Law, Motion for New Trial and for Equitable Relief and for Attorney Fees (filed 1/23/2007) and Motion of Tatung Defendants for Fees and Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or in the Alternative, for New Trial (filed 1/16/2007).Court Reporter: n/a. (pcl,)

		(Entered: 02/14/2007)
02/14/2007		***Terminated all deadlines and hearings. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/14/2007)
02/21/2007	1590	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: The Court hereby DENIES Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes MOTION to Vacate Courts Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the Side-Mount Patents Due to Plaintiffs Lack of Standing 1561 . (See document for further details.) Court Reporter: N/A. (pcl,) (Entered: 02/21/2007)
02/26/2007	1636	NOTICE of Change of Attorney Information: Attorney Terence J Clark will no longer receive service of documents from the Clerks Office for the reason indicated in the G-06 Notice. Filed by defendant Tatung Co Of America (ak,) (Entered: 02/27/2007)
02/28/2007	1637	EX PARTE APPLICATION to file documents under seal in support of its post-trial reply briefs filed by defendant/counter claimant and third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. Lodged proposed order and u/s documents. (jag,) (Entered: 03/14/2007)
02/28/2007	1638	DECLARATION of ERIC J MOORE in support of EX PARTE APPLICATION to file documents under seal in support of its post-trial reply briefs 1637 filed by defendant/counter claimant/third party plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/14/2007)
02/28/2007	1639	REPLY in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 filed by defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company. (jag,) (Entered: 03/14/2007)
02/28/2007	1640	REPLY in support of MOTION for Equitable Relief 1569 filed by defendant etc Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/14/2007)
02/28/2007	1641	REPLY in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 filed by defendant etc. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1642	REPLY in support of MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 filed by defendant etc. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1643	REPLY in support of MOTION for New Trial 1554 filed by defendant etc. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1644	DECLARATION of HEATHER H FAN in support of REPLY BRIEFS in support of POST-TRIAL MOTIONS filed by Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1645	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd of attached document list; served upon Andrea Ordin and Valerie Ho on 2/28/07 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1646	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of REPLY in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 filed by plaintiff etc LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1647	DECLARATION of MICHAEL C KEELEY Ph.D in support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)

02/28/2007	1648	DECLARATION of WON JUN CHOI in support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1649	REPLY in support of RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1650	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1651	REPLY in support of MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1652	REPLY in support of MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1653	DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1654	DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of REPLY in support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1655	REPLY in support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1656	REPLY in support of MOTION to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1657	REPLY in support of its MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1658	SEALED DOCUMENT - SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION for an Award of Prejudgment Interest and Damages for Defendant's Continuing Infringement 1575 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1659	SEALED DOCUMENT - REPLY in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1660	SEALED DOCUMENT - SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1661	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of DAVID M MORRIS in support of REPLY in support of MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1662	SEALED DOCUMENT - REPLY in support of MOTION for Enhanced

		Damages 1538 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1663	SEALED DOCUMENT - SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION of ANTHONY C ROTH in support of REPLY in support of MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1664	SEALED DOCUMENT - REPLY in support of RENEWED MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law That Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent 1530 (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
02/28/2007	1665	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by plaintiff etc. LG Philips LCD Co Ltd of attached document list; served upon attached service list on 2/28/07 by first class mail (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
03/02/2007	1666	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 filed by defendant etc. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jag,) (Entered: 03/15/2007)
03/09/2007	1667	RESPONSE to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd Supplemental Authority in support of Motion for New Trial and Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (ir,) (Entered: 03/27/2007)
04/01/2007	1668	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT as a Matter of Law, or in the alternative, Motion for New Trial filed by Defendant Tatung Co Of America. (yl,) (Entered: 04/06/2007)
05/23/2007	1669	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall: Court Reporter: none present. ORDER GRANTING Ex Parte application by Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd to File Document sin Opposition to Plaintiffs Post-Trial Motion s Under Seal; GRANTING Ex Parte Application by Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd to File documents Under seal in support of its Post-Trial reply Briefs; and GRANTING Ex Parte Application to File Under Seal Documents in support of Defendant and Counterplaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Motion for Equitable Relief and Attorney fees. (See document for further details.) (pcl,) (Entered: 05/24/2007)
05/23/2007	1670	SEALED DOCUMENT - EXHIBIT NUMBERS 6, 45, 47, 48 and 49 to Declaration of David Coplan in support of MOTION for Order for Equitable Relief 1569 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1671	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Heather H Fan in support of Reply Briefs in support of Post-Trial Motions 1569 , 1566 . (ad) Modified on 6/11/2007 (ad,). Modified on 6/11/2007 (ad,). (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1672	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION to MOTION for Attorney Fees 1525 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1673	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION to MOTION for Enhanced Damages 1538 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1674	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Christopher A Mathews in support of Oppositions to Post-Trial Motions 1538 , 1568 , 1575 , 1525 , 1530 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)

05/23/2007	1675	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Hoover Chen in support of Opposition MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1676	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Yi-Tsai Hsu in support of Opposition to MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1677	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Christina Tsou in support of Opposition to MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1678	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Chien-Kuo He in support of Opposition to MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1679	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Jeff Volpe in support of Opposition to MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1680	SEALED DOCUMENT - OPPOSITION to MOTION for Permanent Injunction, or in the alternative Request for Stay Pending Appeal 1568 . (ad) Modified on 6/11/2007 (ad,). (Entered: 06/11/2007)
05/23/2007	1681	SEALED DOCUMENT - DECLARATION of Chuang-Yi Chiu in support of Opposition to MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . (ad) (Entered: 06/11/2007)
06/28/2007	1682	SEALED DOCUMENT - Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in Support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial, Volume 1 of 2. Filed Nunc Pro Tunc to 1/26/2007.(pcl) (Entered: 07/17/2007)
06/28/2007	1683	SEALED DOCUMENT - Declaration of Christopher A Mathews in Support of Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltds Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial, Volume 2 of 2. Filed Nunc Pro Tunc to 1/26/2007.(pcl) (Entered: 07/17/2007)
09/07/2007		denying RE: MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1530 (jl) (Entered: 09/07/2007)
09/07/2007	1684	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Having received the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff L G Philips LCD Co ltd's MOTION Prejudgment Interest, Post-judgment Interest, and Damages for Defendants Continuing Infringement 1575 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow. (bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1685	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's Motion for Enhanced Damages 1538 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will

		follow.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1686	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, an the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1687	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, an the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's MOTION for New Trial 1554 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1688	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, an the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd's MOTION for Equitable Relief 1569 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow. (bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1689	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, an the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Tatung Co and Tatung Co of America Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or in the alternative, Motion for New Trial 1558 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow. (bg) Modified on 9/12/2007 (bg,). (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1690	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, an the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Tatung Co and Tatung Co of America's MOTION for Fees 1555 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow. (bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1691	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition therto, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.'s MOTION for Attorney Fees and Expenses 1525 . Plaintiff is ORDERED to file with the Court, on or before 10/1/07, declarations and supporting evidence detailing the fees and costs requested, and evidence of the reasonableness of those amounts. This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). The Court's findings and conclusions with respect to the instant motion will be included in the Court's order regarding reasonable attorney's fees and expenses.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1692	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Chunghwa Picture

		Tubes Ltd's MOTION for Attorney Fees 1566 . This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court, will follow. (bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1693	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall :The following motions, made during trial, remain open on the docket: Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America's MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1396 , Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.'s MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1398 Chunghwa's MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1415 , Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America's Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1465 . The Court believes that these motions were denied by ruling from the bench during trial. See e.g., LG Philips LCD Co Ltd v Tatung Co of America, et al., Civil Minutes - Trial, 11/14/06 (including denial of motion(s) for judgment as a mater of law, without specifying motion(s). In order to clear the docket, the Court hereby confirms that each of the above referenced motions for judgment as a matter of law is DENIED.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1694	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : Having denied Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.'s MOTION for Equitable Relief 1569 the Court issues to Defendants, Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America, this ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE why the result should not be the same with respect to their Unclean Hands Affirmative Defense. Further, although the Court has ruled on the parties post-trial motions, the Court is aware that some of those decisions may be affected by the resolution of outstanding equitable issues, if any. All parties are ORDERED to advise the Court, no later than 9/21/2007 whether equitable claims, counterclaims or affirmative defenses remain undecided and should be considered by the Court prior to the entry of final judgment in this case.(bg) (Entered: 09/12/2007)
09/07/2007	1696	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : re: Renewed MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1530 . Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff L G Philips LCD Co Ltd.'s Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law that Defendants Infringe Claims 10-11 of the '449 Patent. This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order, signed by the Court will follow.(bg) (Entered: 09/17/2007)
09/07/2007	1697	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : re: MOTION for Permanent Injunction 1568 . Having reviewed the motion, and the pleadings and evidence in support and opposition thereto, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff L G Philips LCD Co Ltd.'s Motion for Peramnent Injunction. Defendants request for stay pending appeal is DENIED. This is not a final order pursuant to FRCP 77(d). A formal order signed by the Court will follow.(bg) (Entered: 09/17/2007)
09/07/2007	1699	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : In order to clear the docket, the Court hereby confirms that CPT's MOTION for Order to Restrict Access to Trial during the presentation of certain confidential information 1273 is DENIED. CPT's Motion for an

		Order Sealing Corresponding Portions of the Trial Transcripts is DENIED. (bg) (Entered: 09/17/2007)
09/07/2007	1700	MINUTES OF IN CHAMBERS ORDER held before Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : re: MOTION to Dismiss Unclean Hands Defense and Unfair Competition Counterclaim 1521 . In its opposition brief, Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd states that it has elected not to pursue its unfair competition claim at this time. Accordingly, the Court hereby DISMISSES CTP Unfair Competition Claim, without prejudice. The Court's separate Order, denying CPT's Motion for Equitable Relief, renders moot Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss CPT's Unclean Hands Defense. Accordingly, the Court DENIES LG Philips LCD Co Ltd's Motion to Dismiss Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.'s Unclean Hands Defense as MOOT. This is a final order pursuant to FRCP 77 (d).(bg) (Entered: 09/17/2007)
09/12/2007	1695	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL Authority regarding the Willfulness Standard in support of Motion for New Trial and Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1556 filed by Defendant, Counter Claimants, ThirdParty Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (ir) (Entered: 09/14/2007)
09/13/2007	1698	NOTICE of Pending Request for Reexamination of U. S. Patent No. 5, 825,449 filed by Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-Party Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. (jl) (Entered: 09/17/2007)
09/17/2007	1701	JOINDER in Chunghwa Picture Tubes, LTD's Notice of Supplemental Authority Regarding Willfulness Standard In Support of Motion for New Trial and Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law 1558 filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimant Tatung Company. (jl) (Entered: 09/20/2007)
09/21/2007	1702	OBJECTIONS to LG. Philips LCD Co., LTD'S Proposed Order Granting Permanent Injunction filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimant Tatung Company. (lw) (Entered: 09/25/2007)
09/21/2007	1703	RESPONSE to Order to Show Cause regarding Unclean Hands Affirmative Defense and Other Equitable Defenses filed by Defendants Tatung Co Of America, Tatung Company, Counter Claimant Tatung Company (lw) (Entered: 09/25/2007)
09/21/2007	1704	RESPONSE to the Court's 9/7/2007 Order and Notice of Settlement with Request to stay further proceedings in the case until October 12, 2007 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd, Counter Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd (lw) (Entered: 09/25/2007)
10/02/2007	1705	STIPULATION and Order Extending Time for LG.Philips LCD to File Papers in Support of its Fee/Cost Petition Through and Including Oct. 22, 2007 filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd.(jl) (Entered: 10/02/2007)
10/12/2007	1706	Joint STATUS REPORT and Request to Stay Further Proceedings in the Case Until Oct. 31, 2007 filed by Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (jl) (Entered: 10/17/2007)
12/18/2007	1707	Minute ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall Removing Case from

		Active Caseload Pending Further Order of Court. Order that Quarterly Joint Status Reports be filed commencing Jan. 18, 2008 (Made JS-6. Case Terminated.) (jl) (Entered: 12/18/2007)
01/11/2008	1708	STIPULATION to Dismiss Case pursuant to Rule 41 filed by plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Schrader, David) (Entered: 01/11/2008)
01/11/2008	1709	STIPULATION to Dismiss Case pursuant to Rule 41 filed by Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (Attachments: # 1 Order) (Schrader, David) (Entered: 01/11/2008)
01/18/2008	1710	STATUS REPORT filed by Plaintiff LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (Schrader, David) (Entered: 01/18/2008)
01/22/2008	1711	ORDER action between LP and Tatung, including any claims or counterclaims filed by LPL or Tatung in this action, are dismissed with prejudice. LPL and Tatung each shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs as to each other by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall, (jl) (Entered: 01/24/2008)
02/07/2008	1712	STIPULATION to Dismiss Case pursuant to Rule 41 filed by PLaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant LG Philips LCD Co Ltd. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order Re Rule 41 Stipulation of Dismissal)(Schrader, David) (Entered: 02/07/2008)
03/25/2008	1713	STIPULATION AND ORDER by Judge Consuelo B. Marshall : re Stipulation to Dismiss Case 1712 : Pursuant to Rule 41 Stipulation of Dismissal between Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant L.G. Philips LCD Co., Ltd and Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd, including any claims or counterclaims filed against each other in this action, is dismissed with prejudice, each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs as to each other. (lom) (Entered: 03/25/2008)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
05/27/2008 09:02:56			
PACER Login:	im0006	Client Code:	915796-0007-chun
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	2:02-cv-06775-CBM-JTL End date: 5/27/2008
Billable Pages:	30	Cost:	2.40

EXHIBIT 19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WYETH,

Plaintiff,

v.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
) Civil Action No.: 06-222 JJF
)
) **PUBLIC VERSION**
)
)
)
)
)

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RICHARD K. HERRMANN (I.D. No. 405)
MARY B. MATTERER (I.D. No. 2696)
MORRIS JAMES HITCHENS & WILLIAMS LLP
222 Delaware Ave., 10th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 888-6800
mmatterer@morrisjames.com

M. PATRICIA THAYER (*pro hac vice*)
JOHN M. BENASSI (*pro hac vice*)
JESSICA R. WOLFF (*pro hac vice*)
DANIEL N. KASSABIAN (*pro hac vice*)
SAMUEL F. ERNST (*pro hac vice*)
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
4350 La Jolla Village Drive, 7th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (858) 450-8400
Facsimile: (858) 450-8499

Attorneys for Defendant
IMPAX LABORATORIES, Inc.

Originally filed: August 10, 2006
Public version filed: August 15, 2006

Pursuant to the Discovery Dispute procedures set forth in paragraph 4 of the Rule 16 Scheduling Order, (D.I. 27 ¶ 4), Defendant Impax Laboratories, Inc. (“Impax”) moves to compel the production of documents from Plaintiff seeking the following relief:

I. The Court should order Wyeth to produce all pleadings, deposition transcripts, hearing transcripts, and orders from the Teva Litigation.

Wyeth has refused to produce all pleadings, deposition transcripts, hearing transcripts, expert reports, and orders from its lawsuit against Teva Pharmaceuticals in the District of New Jersey, No. 03-CV-1293 (“Teva Litigation”), with those portions designated by Teva as “confidential” or “highly confidential” redacted. Declaration of Mary B. Matterer in Support of Impax’s Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order and Motion to Compel (“Matterer Decl.”), Ex. 7 at 11, Ex. 8 at 26 (Wyeth’s Responses to Impax’s Requests for Production).¹ These documents are responsive to Impax’s Document Requests Nos. 2-4 and 30 and are relevant to the claims and defenses at issue in this lawsuit because Wyeth asserted the same patents against Teva in the Teva Litigation that it asserts against Impax in this litigation. Matterer Decl., Ex. 5 at 4-5, Ex. 6 at 11 (Impax’s Requests for Production).

Wyeth objected to producing these documents because “Teva has designated the bulk of this information as confidential and subject to the protective order in place in the Teva litigation, and it would be unduly burdensome to attempt to redact this information. Moreover, under the protective order in that litigation, Teva, not Wyeth, would have to redact information it designated as confidential.” Matterer Decl., Ex. 7 at 11, Ex. 8 at 26. The protective order from the Teva Litigation does not preclude Wyeth from redacting the Teva confidential materials to meet its discovery obligations in this litigation. Matterer Decl., Ex. 26.

Furthermore, there is no undue burden to Wyeth because Wyeth already possesses the documents and knows which information, designated by Teva as confidential, to redact. Impax has requested but has been unable to obtain this information from Teva in time to meet the August 10, 2006 deadline to amend pleadings in this case. Matterer Decl., Exs. 17, 18. Before Impax

¹ There are undoubtedly other documents from the Teva litigation that are responsive to Impax’s documents requests. It remains to be seen whether Wyeth will agree to produce those.

may subpoena the documents from Teva, it must move to compel the documents from Wyeth in this Court. *See Haworth, Inc. v. Herman Miller, Inc.*, 998 F.2d 975, 978 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

II. The Court should order Wyeth to produce responsive documents in their native format and not as Tiff images or paper copies with the meta-data stripped away.

Wyeth refuses to produce any documents, including e-mail messages and other electronic documents, in their native format and will only produce paper copies or TIFF images of documents with crucial and discoverable metadata stripped away. Matterer Decl., Ex. 7 at 4-5, Ex. 8 at 4-5, Ex. 10 at 3. Metadata for electronic documents includes such information as “file names, dates of the file, authors of the file, recipients of the file, print-out dates, changes and modification dates, and other information.” *Williams v. Sprint/United Mgmt. Co.*, 230 F.R.D. 640, 644 (D. Kan. 2005).

A request for documents under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 operates as a request for data stored in electronic form. *Crown Life Ins. Co. v. Craig*, 995 F.2d 1376, 1383 (7th Cir. 1993) (“[C]omputer data is included in Rule 34’s description of documents.”). When a party produces responsive electronic documents, it must produce the documents with their metadata intact. It is improper to produce electronic documents in a form with their metadata removed. *Williams*, 230 F.R.D. at 652. The amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing electronic discovery, approved by the Supreme Court on April 12, 2006 to take effect on December 31, 2006, require a party to produce electronically stored information on a motion to compel unless the producing party can show that the information “is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost.” Matterer Decl., Ex. 27 at Rule 26(b)(2)(B). Even if such a showing is made, “the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause.” *Id.*

Producing electronic documents in their native format would not result in undue burden or cost to Wyeth because it would require no processing of the documents to convert them to TIFF images or to print them as paper copies. There is good cause for requiring production in this format because it preserves responsive metadata indicating who authored, modified, and received

electronic documents and on what precise dates. Moreover, metadata greatly facilitates and reduces the cost of the task of reviewing electronic documents.

REDACTED

This information does not constitute undiscoverable attorney work product because it contains only that information a party would be required to provide when withholding documents as privileged or subject to work product protection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5).

III. The Court should order Wyeth to bear the cost of its own discovery productions

The general rule requires the entity producing the documents to bear that burden. *Cont'l Ill. Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago v. Caton*, 136 F.R.D. 682, 685 (D. Kan. 1991) (“[T]he general rule requires the entity answering or producing the documents to bear that burden.”). Rule 54(d)(1) anticipates that each party will bear its own costs and expenses during the pendency of litigation. FED. R. CIV. P. 54(d)(1). Nonetheless, Wyeth refuses to produce documents unless Impax pays for the full cost of imaging copies of any documents produced solely in this litigation, and half the cost Wyeth incurred for imaging copies of documents it produced in the Teva Litigation, or unless Impax agrees to pay for the full cost of producing paper copies. Matterer Decl., Ex. 7 at 5.

Wyeth offers no legitimate basis for its cost-shifting demands. Because Wyeth initiated this lawsuit and is a much larger company than Impax with much greater resources, its production costs should not shift to Impax. Also, Impax should not be required to pay for half the cost Wyeth incurred in imaging documents for production in the Teva Litigation because Wyeth has already incurred that cost and will not need to incur it again in this litigation. There is moreover no justification in the Federal Rules for Wyeth’s refusal to produce documents until this dispute is resolved, such that responsive documents are not produced prior to the August 10 deadline to amend pleadings.

IV. The Court should order Wyeth to produce responsive documents gathered from all of Wyeth's locations and facilities, and not just from those in the United States.

Wyeth refuses to search for and produce responsive documents from its locations and facilities other than those located in the United States. Matterer Decl., Ex. 7 at 2. Wyeth's self-imposed geographical limitation on its discovery obligations is improper. When relevant documents and things are sought from a party in discovery, the responding party's obligation to produce extends to all documents in its possession, custody, and control, not just those located in the United States. *See, e.g., Avery Dennison Corp. v. UCB Films PLC*, No. 95C 6351, 1998 WL 293002, at *1 (N.D. Ill. May 28, 1998) (discovery ordered regardless of where the documents were located); *Manville Sales Corp. v. Paramount Sys., Inc.*, No. Civ.A. No. 86-4157, 1987 WL 14794, *3 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 20, 1987) (information outside U.S. can lead to admissible evidence).

Documents from Wyeth's foreign facilities are relevant to this litigation. For example, one of the clinical studies in support of Wyeth's NDA for Effexor® XR which is relied upon in the specifications of the patents-in-suit – study no. 600B-367-EU – took place in Europe. Matterer Decl., Ex. 28 at 2. As a further example, documents related to Wyeth's prosecution of the foreign-equivalent patents-in-suit are relevant, as Wyeth's assertions in these prosecutions are relevant, *inter alia*, to the construction of disputed claim terms.

V. The Court should order Wyeth to produce all responsive documents generated after its self-selected cut-off date of February 10, 2003.

Wyeth refuses to produce documents, except in certain discrete categories it has defined itself, that were created or generated after February 10, 2003. Matterer Decl., Ex. 7 at 5. There is no basis for Wyeth's arbitrary cut-off date of February 10, 2003. The Federal Rules require a party seasonably to amend a request for production if it discovers or generates additional responsive documents. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(e)(2). Relevant documents generated after February 10, 2003 include, for example, documents regarding Wyeth's unclean hands in asserting the patents-in-suit against Impax with knowledge that Impax's products do not infringe. Nor is Wyeth's cut-off date justified by the fact that it will incur additional expenses in gathering and producing documents not collected for the Teva Litigation. Matterer Decl., Ex. 12 at 4-5. Wyeth chose to file this lawsuit and must have expected that it would incur litigation expenses.



RICHARD K. HERRMANN (I.D. No. 405)
MARY B. MATTERER (I.D. No. 2696)
MORRIS JAMES HITCHENS & WILLIAMS LLP
222 Delaware Ave., 10th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 888-6800
mmatterer@morrisjames.com


M. PATRICIA THAYER (*pro hac vice*)
JOHN M. BENASSI (*pro hac vice*)
JESSICA R. WOLFF (*pro hac vice*)
DANIEL KASSABIAN (*pro hac vice*)
SAMUEL F. ERNST (*pro hac vice*)
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
4350 La Jolla Village Drive, 7th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (858) 450-8400
Facsimile: (858) 450-8499

Attorneys for IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.

Dated: August 10, 2006

RULE 7.1.1 CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certifies that counsel for plaintiffs and counterclaim defendants Wyeth has been contacted and the parties have been unable to resolve the issues presented in this Motion.


Mary B. Matterer (#2696)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WYETH,)	
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No.: 06-222 JJF
v.)	
)	
IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court having considered Defendant's Motion to Compel
Production of Documents;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2006 that the
Motion is GRANTED.

Joseph J. Farnan, Jr., J.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of August, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing document, **PUBLIC VERSION OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**, with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Jack B. Blumenfeld
Karen Jacobs Loudon
Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell
1201 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Additionally, I hereby certify that on the 15th day of August, 2006, the foregoing document was served as indicated on the following:

VIA EMAIL AND HAND DELIVERY

Jack B. Blumenfeld
Karen Jacobs Loudon
Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell
1201 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

VIA EMAIL AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

Basil J. Lewris
Linda A. Wadler
Finnegan Henderson Farabow
Garrett & Dunner
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DE 20001
202.408.4000
Bill.Lewris@finnegan.com
Linda.Wadler@finnegan.com

/s/ Mary B. Matterer

Mary B. Matterer (I.D. No. 2696)
Morris James Hitchens & Williams LLP
222 Delaware Avenue, 10th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 888-6800
mmatterer@morrisjames.com

Attorneys for IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.

EXHIBIT 20

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS)	
CORPORATION; AU OPTRONICS)	Civil Action No. 06-726 (JJF)
CORPORATION; AU OPTRONICS)	
CORPORATION OF AMERICA; TATUNG)	
COMPANY; TATUNG COMPANY OF)	
AMERICA, INC.; AND VIEWSONIC)	
CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>		
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 07-357 (JJF)
)	
LG DISPLAY CO., LTD and)	
LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.,)	CONSOLIDATED CASES
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
<hr/>		

**PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' SECOND SET OF
REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp. ("CMO") responds as follows to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents, served by LG Display Co. Ltd. ("LGD").

GENERAL STATEMENT AND OBJECTIONS

1. The following responses, while based on a diligent investigation by CMO and its counsel, are necessarily supported only by those facts and writings presently and specifically known and readily available. Discovery in this matter is at an early stage and is ongoing. As this action proceeds, further documents may be discovered, or their significance better understood,

and CMO reserves the right to change, amend or supplement its responses with such pertinent documents. CMO therefore makes these responses without prejudice to its right to produce at any stage of these proceedings, including at trial, evidence of any facts or information that CMO may later recall or discover. CMO further reserves the right to change, amend or supplement any or all of the matters contained in these responses with facts or information that it learns were omitted by inadvertence, mistake, excusable neglect, and as additional facts are ascertained and contentions are made in this litigation.

2. These responses are made solely for the purposes of this action, and are subject to all objections as to competence, authenticity, relevance, materiality, privilege, and admissibility. All such objections and grounds are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

3. Each and all of CMO's general objections are hereby expressly incorporated into each and all of CMO's specific responses. For particular emphasis, one or more of these general objections may be reiterated in a specific response. The absence or inclusion of any reiteration in a specific response is neither intended as, nor shall be construed as, a limitation or waiver of any general objection or any other specific objection made herein.

4. No incidental or implied admissions are intended by the responses below. The fact that CMO has answered or objected to all or part of a request should not be construed or taken as an admission that CMO accepts or admits the existence of any purported facts set forth or assumed by such request or that CMO has waived or intended to waive any part of any objection to the request. The statement that responsive documents will be produced in response to a particular request is not intended to mean and does not mean that any such documents were in fact created or exist.

5. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they call for production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, or other applicable privileges or immunities. CMO further objects to the requests to the extent that they purport to seek or call for the production of information constituting, recording, or reflecting the work product of CMO's attorneys, including

their thoughts, opinions, or mental impressions in connection with the preparation, prosecution, avoidance or defense of any claim by or against CMO. CMO also objects to the requests to the extent that they seek information protected by the right of privacy contained in the United States Constitution, or other applicable statute or case law. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, nor shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, right of privacy or other applicable privilege or immunity. To the extent any request calls for the production of privileged documents, CMO will provide a log which, separately for each document not produced, sets forth such detail as would be required for LGD to test the claim of privilege on a motion to compel. CMO, however, will not at this time log any privileged documents reflecting communications with counsel past the filing of this lawsuit.

6. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they seek information concerning patent claims and claim terms that have not yet been construed by the Court.

7. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they seek confidential or proprietary information. CMO will produce confidential or proprietary information only pursuant to protective orders issued by this Court.

8. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they call for the production of documents or information that CMO may not produce without the consent of third parties. To the extent the consent of any third party is necessary to produce any such documents, CMO will not produce such documents until it has received such consent.

9. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they call for the production of documents and information that are not relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

10. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they purport to impose obligations beyond those required by the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules for the District of Delaware, or any order or ruling by the Court in this action. CMO will comply with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and any order or ruling by the Court in this action in responding to the requests.

11. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they purport to require CMO to search for or produce documents and files that are not within its possession, custody or control. CMO will use reasonable diligence to locate documents in facilities under its control based upon an examination of those files reasonably expected to yield responsive documents.

12. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they purport to require CMO to undertake an unduly burdensome and oppressive search of its documents and files.

13. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they seek documents that are inadmissible pursuant to Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

14. CMO objects to the definition of "CMO," "you," and "your," to the extent that it purports to impose duties beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, or any order or ruling by the Court in this action. CMO also objects to these definition as unduly burdensome, harassing, oppressive and overbroad to the extent it purports to include entities other than CMO. For purposes of its responses, CMO will construe "CMO," "you," and "your" to mean only Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation.

15. CMO objects to LG Display's definition of "CMO USA" as including any Affiliates (as that term is defined by LG Display) of Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc. For purposes of its responses, CMO will construe "CMO USA" to mean only Chi Mei Optoelectronics, USA, Inc.

16. CMO objects to LG Display's definition of "CMO Products" as overly broad to the extent that it includes products other than CMO products made to specifications suitable for

sale in the United States. For purposes of its responses, CMO will construe “CMO Products” to mean only those products identified in LG Display’s response to CMO’s Interrogatory No. 2, made to specifications suitable for sale in the United States and shipped directly to the United States.

17. CMO objects to LG Display’s definition of “CMO Customer” as overly broad to the extent that it relates to products other than CMO products made to specifications suitable for sale in the United States. For purposes of its responses, CMO will construe “CMO Customer” to mean only those customers that purchase CMO Products.

18. CMO objects to the definition of "LCD display" as unduly burdensome, harassing, oppressive and overbroad to the extent it purports to include any device that contains an LCD module and regardless of brand name.

19. CMO objects to each Request to the extent that (i) the discovery sought by any such Request is unreasonably cumulative, duplicative, obtainable from other sources that are more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive, the information is as easily ascertainable to LG Display as it is to CMO, or is readily available from public sources, and/or (ii) compliance with any such Request would be unduly burdensome, expensive, harassing and/or oppressive.

20. CMO objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that they specify (i) no time frame, or (ii) a time frame beyond that which is relevant to this proceeding.

21. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they seek information that is publicly available or equally or more readily available or accessible to Defendants.

22. CMO objects to the requests, including the definitions and instructions contained therein, to the extent that they seek information that is duplicative and redundant. CMO's response to any particular request does not in any way imply or express that such request is unique or covers subject matter different in scope from that of prior or succeeding requests.

23. CMO objects to the requests because they do not specify the form or forms in which electronically stored information is to be produced. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(b)(2)(E)(ii), CMO will produce electronically stored information responsive to the requests in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable, subject to any agreement the parties may reach on the form of the parties' productions.

24. CMO objects to the requests to the extent they seek information that is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost.

25. CMO's responses are based on its present knowledge, information and belief. CMO reserves the right to supplement these responses. A partial response to any Request that has been objected to in whole or in part is not a waiver of the objection. By asserting various objections, CMO does not waive other objections that may become applicable.

26. Subject to and without waiving these General Objections, CMO responds and specifically objects to LG Display's Requests as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 84:

All Documents not produced in response to another request for production that are identified and referred to in CMO's initial disclosures submitted in accordance with Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 84:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All Documents." CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 85:

Any and all patents, patent applications, and/or other documents that CMO contends are "material" to the claims of the LGD Patents, as the term "material" is defined under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 85:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "Any and all patents, patent applications, and/or other documents." CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity. CMO also specifically objects to this Request as premature to the extent that the relevant patent claims and claim terms have not yet been construed by the Court.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 86:

All Documents concerning any convoyed sales or bundling that CMO believes occurs for the CMO Products.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 86:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All Documents." CMO objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it specifies no time frame.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that it will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 87:

All Documents concerning any contention by you relative to whether or not LG Display or LGDA should be enjoined and restrained from allegedly infringing one or more claims of any CMO Patents.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 87:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All Documents." CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity. CMO also objects to this Request as premature to the extent that the relevant patent claims and claim terms have not yet been construed by the Court.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 88:

All Documents concerning any contention to you relative to whether or not LG Display's or LGDA's alleged infringement of one or more claims of any of the CMO Patents is "willful,"

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 88:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All Documents." CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity. CMO also specifically objects to this request as premature to the extent that the determination of willfulness will be based in part on LGD's litigation conduct throughout this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 89:

All Documents concerning any contention by you relative to whether or not this action is an "exceptional case."

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 89:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All

Documents.” CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity. CMO also specifically objects to this request as premature to the extent that the determination of whether this action is an exceptional case will be based in part on LGD's litigation conduct throughout this action.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 90:

All Documents concerning the best mode for practicing the purported inventions claimed in each of the CMO Patents.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 90:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce “All Documents.” CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 91:

All Documents and Things relating to any test, study, experiment, or investigation conducted by any Person in an effort to design around any LGD Patent or any Foreign Counterparts of the LGD Patents.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 91:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce “All Documents and Things.” CMO also specifically objects to this Request as overly broad, unduly burdensome and not relevant to any claim or defense in this action, or reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of information relevant to any claim or defense, particularly to the extent it seeks documents “relating to any test, study, experiment, or investigation conducted by *any Person*”

and is not limited to CMO. CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent such documents exist, located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 92:

All Documents that any AUO witness reviews that refreshes that witness's recollection or memory or otherwise uses in connection with a deposition in this case.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 92:

CMO objects that this request is vague and ambiguous in its reference to "AUO witness." CMO presumes that the request is intended to seek documents regarding CMO witnesses, and will respond accordingly. CMO further objects that this request is vague and ambiguous in its reference to documents a witness "otherwise used in connection with a deposition." CMO objects that this request is premature in that there have been no depositions in this case. CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 93:

All charts of accounts and/or other Documents correlating CMO and/or CMO USA United States customer accounts with internal designations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 93:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All charts of accounts and/or other Documents." CMO also specifically objects to this Request as overly broad, unduly burdensome and not relevant to any claim or defense in this action, or reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of information relevant to any claim or defense, particularly to the extent it seeks all documents "correlating CMO and/or CMO USA United States customer

accounts with internal designations.” CMO objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it specifies no time frame.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 94:

Documents relating to, indicating, and/or showing the factory line where each of the CMO Products are produced.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 94:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce “Documents relating to, indicating, and/or showing.” CMO objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it specifies no time frame.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that summary documents providing the requested information were provided in response to LG Display's Interrogatory Nos. 1, 2 and 4 in case number 1:08-cv-0355-JJF, formally numbered Case No. 2-07CV176 in the Eastern District of Texas.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 95:

All expert reports served by or on behalf of CMO in any other patent infringement litigation in which CMO was or is a party since January 1, 2003.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 95:

CMO also specifically objects to this Request as overly broad, unduly burdensome and not relevant to any claim or defense in this action, or reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of information relevant to any claim or defense. CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity. Moreover, CMO will only produce the requested documents subject to the requirements of the relevant protective orders and any additional third party confidentiality obligations attaching to the information within the requested documents.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that will produce responsive, non-privileged documents located after a reasonable search.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 96:

All Documents that show where each limitation is present for each CMO Product identified in Interrogatory No. 6 of LG Display's First Set of Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 96:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "All Documents." CMO also objects that this request is premature, as CMO has objected to Interrogatory 6 of LGD's First Set of Interrogatories. CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 97:

Any Documents relating to rewriting and/or recycling of CMO backup tapes.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 97:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CMO specifically objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for CMO to produce "Any documents." CMO also specifically objects to this Request as overly broad, unduly burdensome and not relevant to any claim or defense in this action, or reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of information relevant to any claim or defense, particularly to the extent this Request is not limited by time and seeks documents "relating to rewriting and/or recycling of CMO backup tapes." CMO objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it specifies no time frame. CMO further objects to this Request to the extent that it requests documents subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product immunity.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing specific and General Objections, CMO states that it will produce responsive, non-privileged documents regarding CMO policies and practices, to the extent such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search.

Dated: June 26, 2008

/s/

Adam Hoffman

Jonathan S. Kagan
Alexander C.D. Giza
Adam Hoffman
Irell & Manella LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
Telephone: (310) 277-1010

Philip A. Rovner (pr3215)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP
Hercules Plaza
1313 N. Market St.
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000

Attorneys for Defendant
Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation

EXHIBIT 21

Albany
Atlanta
Brussels
Denver
Los Angeles

**McKenna Long
& Aldridge**
Attorneys at Law

1900 K Street, NW • Washington, DC 20006-1108
Tel: 202.496.7500 • Fax: 202.496.7756
www.mckennalong.com

New York
Philadelphia
Sacramento
San Diego
San Francisco
Washington, D.C.

Gaspare Bono
(202) 496-7211

EMAIL ADDRESS
gbono@mckennalong.com

September 1, 2008

VIA EMAIL

Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.
HOWREY LLP
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708

Re: LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, et al.;
C.A Nos. 06-726-JJF and 07-357-JJF

Dear Ms. Corbin:

I write in response to your August 27, 2008 letter regarding discovery requests made by AU Optronics Corp. ("AUO") and Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp. ("CMO") for confidential information from previous patent cases litigated between LG Display Co., Ltd. (formerly LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.) ("LG Display") and Chunghwa Picture Tubes ("CPT") in Delaware and California (the "Prior Patent Cases").

I have responded to your previous communications as promptly as I could, but as you know I was away from the office for much of that time on both business and personal matters. I note in your letter that you have been away for the last five days, apparently on vacation as well. To facilitate communications regarding any future correspondence that you send regarding this matter, please copy the LG Display counsel, AUO counsel, and CMO counsel who have received this letter via email.

We strongly disagree with your baseless contention that LG Display somehow has failed to comply with any protective order in the Prior Patent Cases. The document request that is the subject of CMO's motion to compel discovery is overly broad on its face, making it unclear what information CMO was seeking in that request. In any event, there was no risk of disclosure of such information by LG Display. LG Display properly objected to that request in its entirety and did not produce documents in response to that request. We did not receive clarification from CMO concerning the documents that it seeks until a July 25, 2008 letter from CMO's counsel. In discussing that letter in recent meet and confer teleconferences, we made clear to CMO that we would not produce any third party confidential information, including information designated by CPT under protective orders in the Prior Patent Cases.

Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.
HOWREY LLP
September 1, 2008
Page 2

We promptly notified you of this issue and inquired whether CPT consented to production of any confidential information. In addition, at the request of CMO (and later AUO), we provided copies of letters from CMO and AUO regarding their request for production of discovery from the Prior Patent Cases. We provided you with an opportunity to object and we have notified the Court of your objections to disclosure of this discovery. Therefore, we have complied with all protective orders in the Prior Patent Cases and we will continue to do so.

We understand that CPT and customers are objecting to producing any of their confidential information to CMO or AUO. We have notified the Court of this objection in our opposition to CMO's motion to compel. Enclosed is a copy of our opposition brief. As you will see, Exhibit V to our brief is a copy of your August 27 letter and is also attached. We believe that CMO will seek to have this motion heard at the Court's motions day on September 12. We do not know yet whether the Court will hear oral argument on the motion. If the Court does wish to hear argument, we have asked the other parties to consent to move the hearing to the following week on September 18, which is the date of the claim construction hearing when all of the parties will already be before the Court.

Your August 27 letter refers to the importance of protective order provisions in the Prior Patent Cases. In our case, motions for protective orders are fully briefed and awaiting a decision from the Court. If necessary, moreover, we are open to considering any protective order that CPT may propose concerning production to AUO and CMO of confidential information from the Prior Patent Cases. If you would like to propose any such protective order, please let us know. At this time, however, we understand CPT's position to be that disclosure is not acceptable regardless of any protective order.


We do not agree with all of your assertions concerning what courts may or may not have previously concluded with respect to CPT's confidential information. Nonetheless, we will not produce any of CPT's confidential information without a court order compelling such disclosure. We are producing only non-confidential information from the Prior Patent Cases, such as materials concerning validity and claim construction.

With respect to any further information that you are seeking regarding CMO's discovery requests or motion to compel, CMO's counsel is in the best position to provide that information. If CMO's counsel is unable to provide certain information, please let us know and we will attempt to cooperate with you as necessary. In addition, we understand that you were able to obtain a copy of CMO's motion to compel that you reference in your letter as under seal (as you stated in a subsequent email, the motion to compel is not under seal and you were able to retrieve a copy electronically from the Court).

Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.
HOWREY LLP
September 1, 2008
Page 3

Thank you for your anticipated response and cooperation regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gaspare Bono". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Gaspare" and the last name "Bono" clearly distinguishable.

Gaspare J. Bono

Encls.

cc: Thomas C. Werner, Esq. w/encls.
S. Christian Platt, Esq., w/encls.

EXHIBIT 22

Albany
Atlanta
Brussels
Denver
Los Angeles

**McKenna Long
& Aldridge**^{LLP}
Attorneys at Law

1900 K Street, NW • Washington, DC 20006-1108
Tel: 202.496.7500 • Fax: 202.496.7756
www.mckennalong.com

New York
Philadelphia
Sacramento
San Diego
San Francisco
Washington, D.C.

CASS W. CHRISTENSON
(202) 496-7218

EMAIL ADDRESS
cchristenson@mckennalong.com

August 22, 2008

BY E-MAIL

Thomas C. Werner, Esq.
Irell & Manella LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276

Re: *LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp., et al.*; CV 06-726 (JJF)
(D.Del.)

Dear Tom:


I write in response to your August 6, 2008 letter, raising questions concerning LG Display's production of materials from prior cases.

In response to your first question, we do have a rebuttal expert report responding to Dr. Howard's report in the 05-292 case. We have not yet produced that report, however, because it includes third party confidential information.

With respect to Exhibits EE and FF to the report of Dr. Lucovsky in the 02-6775 case, we did not produce those exhibits because they were General Electric documents marked confidential. We have obtained consent to produce those exhibits, however, and they are being produced today under separate cover. We included Exhibit GG in our earlier production because that document did not involve confidentiality problems.

We are continuing to investigate and produce documents on a rolling basis, including today.

Very truly yours,



Cass W. Christenson

CWC:ea

EXHIBIT 23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WYETH,

Plaintiff,

V.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No.: 06-222 (JJF)

**WYETH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Karen Jacobs Loudon (#2881)
Morris, Nichols, Arsht &
Tunnell LLP
Chase Manhattan Centre, 18th Floor
1201 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200

Attorneys for Plaintiff Wyeth

OF COUNSEL:

Basil J. Lewis
Linda A. Wadler
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 408-4000

August 17, 2006

Impax's Request for Materials from the Teva Litigation Is Overly Broad and Unreasonable

Impax has demanded production of every scrap of paper from a litigation involving both a different party and product than this case. Yet Impax has failed to articulate any relevance for documents concerning issues other than claim construction or validity of the patents in suit. Information regarding Teva, its product, and its infringement is simply irrelevant to this litigation.

Wyeth agreed in its responses to Impax's document requests and interrogatories to produce deposition transcripts of Wyeth's fact witnesses, exhibits from those depositions and Teva's 35 U.S.C. § 282 Notice. *See Matterer Decl.*, Ex. 7, Resp. at pp. 10 and 12. Wyeth also has no objection to producing Markman briefing; portions of expert reports, expert depositions and contention interrogatory answers concerning validity; and Teva's proposed amended answer on enforceability *once Teva has redacted its confidential information or given Wyeth permission to produce it*. Teva confidential information appears throughout the pleadings and transcripts. Significantly, documents were marked confidential as a whole, not by page and line number as Impax implies, requiring Teva to do much of the painstaking and time consuming line by line redaction of its own confidential information. Impax should not be allowed to jeopardize Wyeth's compliance with the protective order in the Teva litigation by forcing Wyeth to make decisions concerning the confidentiality of Teva information.

The Court Should Permit Wyeth to Produce Electronic Documents in TIFF Format

Impax wrongly states that it is "improper" for Wyeth to produce electronic documents in image-file TIFF format. The Default Standard for Discovery of Electronic Documents specifically states when the parties cannot agree on a format, "electronic documents shall be produced to the requesting party as image files (*e.g.*, PDF or TIFF)." Only after such production may a party then attempt to demonstrate a particularized need for production of electronic documents in their native format. Impax's request is both premature and devoid of any demonstrated "particularized need" for any of the metadata Impax seeks.

Furthermore, the very case on which Impax relies recognizes the "reality that most of the metadata has no evidentiary value, and any time (and money) spent reviewing it is a waste of

resources.”” *Williams* at 651 (quoting *The Sedona Principles for Electronic Document Production*). Contrary to Impax’s position, there is a presumption *against* production of metadata. *Id.* (“[I]t is likely to remain the exceptional situation in which metadata must be produced.”). The *Williams* court required production of metadata for certain identified excel spreadsheets, central to the heart of the dispute, because the defendant made a particularized showing that it needed the metadata to understand the documents. *Id.* at 652. It specifically distinguished such relevant metadata from other irrelevant metadata, such as those associated with word processing applications, “where the metadata is usually not critical to understanding the substance of the document.” *Id.* at 647. Impax simply has not established either any relevance of the requested metadata or any need that would outweigh the enormous burden of collecting and producing such information. *See* Pollock Decl. at ¶ 15-18.

Impax offers no authority whatsoever for its demand that Wyeth produce objective coding created not in the ordinary course of business, but at the request of outside counsel in connection with the Teva litigation. The coding was done at great expense to Wyeth and was not provided to Teva. Pollock Decl. at ¶ 17. Impax has no particularized need for this information as it is something that litigation counsel would normally create at their own expense.

Impax Should Bear the Cost of Copying Documents It Has Requested

Impax’s citation to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) misses the point. At this stage, Wyeth is simply requesting reimbursement of its expenses in providing a production copy to Impax. It is boilerplate law that “[a] party producing documents will ordinarily not be put to the expense of making copies for the requesting party.” *Moore’s Federal Practice-Civil* § 34.14.5 (2006). As the court made clear in *Obiajulu v. City of Rochester, Dep’t. of Law*, 166 F.R.D. 293, 297 (W.D.N.Y. 1996), Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 allows a requesting party “‘to inspect and copy’ relevant documents and does not require a responding party to pay for copying costs of voluminous materials.”

Impax’s argument that “Wyeth initiated this lawsuit and is a much larger company than Impax with much greater resources” does not shift the expense to Wyeth. Impax initiated this

lawsuit by filing its ANDA with a Paragraph IV certification. Impax, moreover, is not a “Mom & Pop” organization, but rather is a major corporation doing business throughout the United States, having current market capitalization of nearly \$300 million. Loudon Decl., Ex. 1.

In sum, Rule 34 merely requires a producing party to make documents available for inspection. Nothing in the Federal Rules requires that Impax get free copies at Wyeth’s expense, and indeed the case law squarely holds to the contrary. Impax should be ordered to reimburse Wyeth.

Impax’s Request for Unlimited Foreign and Post-Patent Issuance Discovery Should Be Denied

As detailed in the Pollock Decl. at ¶ 3-14, Wyeth has already gone to great lengths to collect over 1.3 million pages from numerous U.S. and foreign facilities. Impax’s insistence that Wyeth redo and greatly expand this search to encompass the approximately 60 foreign countries in which Wyeth maintains one or more business facilities is simply unreasonable. The inventors and relevant documents pertaining to conception and reduction to practice were and still are located in the United States. Not surprisingly, Impax has not articulated why it needs discovery from Wyeth’s foreign locations. Although Impax notes that Study 600B-367-EU took place in Europe, Wyeth already collected and will produce the relevant documents relating to that study. *See* Pollock Decl. at ¶ 8. Impax simply has not established either the relevance of additional foreign discovery or any need that would outweigh the enormous burden of collecting and producing such documents.

Moreover, the cases Impax cites do not compel world-wide discovery of Wyeth. In *Avery Dennison Corp.*, the court compelled production from two out of state agents—a far less onerous burden than the extensive international discovery that Impax demands here. Similarly, in *Manville Sales Corp.*, the court compelled foreign production for specific interrogatory responses on limited topics. *Manville Sales* does not support the proposition that foreign production is required for every single request, let alone from the approximately 60 countries in which Wyeth maintains one or more facilities. To the contrary, *Manville Sales* emphasizes that courts “should not neglect their power to restrict discovery where justice requires (protection for) a party . . . (from) oppression or undue burden or expense.” *Id.* at *2.

With respect to prosecution of foreign counterparts to the patents-in-suit, which Impax raises for the first time in its motion to compel, such documents are irrelevant to claim construction because the legal standards for patentability and infringement as well as the claims themselves differ from country to country. *See Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Labs. Ltd.*, No. 06-1179, 2006 WL 2137244, at *4 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 2, 2006). Nevertheless, Wyeth will agree to update to March 2006 its previous production of correspondence to or from the approximately 75 foreign patent offices in which counterpart cases have been filed, as kept in Wyeth's U.S. patent department's files. As in the Teva litigation, Wyeth will not agree to list other foreign prosecution documents on a withheld document log. *See* Pollock Decl. ¶ 9. The burden on Wyeth to collect, screen, translate and log all such privileged documents far outweighs any questionable relevance the documents might have.

Finally, the patents in suit were filed in 1996 and issued in either 2001 or 2002. Subsequently created Wyeth documents are thus largely irrelevant and Impax has not articulated any basis for Wyeth to recreate the large document collection effort involving over 200 people, resulting in the production of about 1.3 million pages. As demonstrated in Pollock Decl. at ¶ 3-14 and Matterer Decl. Ex. 11, Wyeth's prior searches were reasonably calculated to collect the documents relevant to this litigation. The amount of time and money required to perform a broad update of this collection in the U.S. alone would be enormous, particularly when weighed against the likelihood of finding relevant material. It simply could not be done before the October 2006 deadline for document production in this case. Significantly, Wyeth has agreed to produce certain categories of relevant documents generated after February 2003, such as summary documents reflecting annual sales for Effexor® XR in the U.S. relating to commercial success; non-privileged documents concerning Impax's product and its infringement of the patents-in-suit; non-privileged documents concerning any Wyeth policy or practice regarding "charging other persons with patent infringement" or "enforcing patents;" and foreign prosecution of counterparts to the patents-in-suit and certain documents from the Teva litigation as outlined above. Impax has not demonstrated any need for any documents beyond these.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &
TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Karen Jacobs Louden

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Karen Jacobs Louden (#2881)
klouden@mnat.com
1201 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
Attorneys for Plaintiff Wyeth

OF COUNSEL:

Basil J. Lewris
Linda A. Wadler
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 408-4000

August 17, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on August 17, 2006 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Mary B. Matterer
MORRIS, JAMES, HITCHENS & WILLIAMS, LLP

I also certify that copies were caused to be served on August 17, 2006 upon the following in the manner indicated:

BY HAND

Mary B. Matterer
Morris, James, Hitchens & Williams
222 Delaware Avenue
P.O. Box 2306
Wilmington, DE 19899

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

M. Patricia Thayer
John M. Benassi
Jessica R. Wolf
Heller Ehrman LLP
4350 La Jolla Village Dr.
San Diego, CA 92102

/s/ Karen Jacobs Loudon
Karen Jacobs Loudon (#2881)
klouden@mnat.com

EXHIBIT 24

I R E L L & M A N E L L A L L P

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 400
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-6324
TELEPHONE (949) 760-0991
FACSIMILE (949) 760-5200

1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 900
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-4276

TELEPHONE (310) 277-1010
FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199
WEBSITE: www.irell.com

WRITER'S DIRECT
TELEPHONE (310) 203-7956
FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199
twerner@irell.com

July 2, 2008

VIA E-MAIL

Lora A. Brzezynski, Esq.
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
lbrzezynski@mckennalong.com

Re: *LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.*, CV No. 06-726
(D. Del.)

Dear Lora:

Regarding LGD's request for a meet and confer before the 4th of July weekend regarding CMO's responses to LGD's discovery requests, we are unfortunately not available. We propose that the parties conference on Monday, July 7, or Tuesday, July 8, after 1:00 p.m. PDT on either day. Please let us know if either of those times work for you. Please also advise when CMO can expect to receive a response to our outstanding letters regarding LGD's deficient responses to CMO's discovery requests.

On a related note, we are unable to locate certain documents in LGD's production, although those documents should have been produced long ago:

- LGD produced at LGD000230 a "Patent Agreement" with Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., which references at LGD000231 a "Separate Agreement" that we are unable to locate in LGD's production.
- We are unable to locate in LGD's production the transcript of and exhibits to the deposition of Woo Sup Shin taken in *LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.*, CV-02-6775-CBM (C.D.Cal.). As a claimed inventor of the '449 patent, Shin's deposition is highly relevant to claim construction and must be produced immediately.
- We are unable to locate in LGD's production documents evidencing the assignment of the '737 patent from Seiko to LGD.

Please confirm that LGD produced the documents described above, or that LGD will produce them by July 10, 2008.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Lora A. Brzezynski, Esq.
July 2, 2008
Page 2

Finally, we are unable to locate in LGD's production any documents responsive to CMO's Document Request No. 46, other than documents included in the file histories of LGD's patents, to the extent LGD produced them. Please confirm that LGD has no other documents responsive to this request, or else produce them by July 10, 2008, or explain LGD's basis for withholding them.

Thank you.



Very truly yours,



Thomas C. Werner

cc: Christian Platt, Esq. (christianplatt@paulhastings.com)
Brian Range, Esq. (brange@wsgr.com)

EXHIBIT 25



Leexam

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

67274 U.S. PTO



08/08/08

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	90/008,737
Filing Date	July 12, 2007
First Named Inventor	SHIN, Woo-Sup
Art Unit	3992
Examiner Name	Albert J. Gagliardi
Attorney Docket Number	8733.1930.60

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PTO/SB/08 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cited References
--	---	--

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Valerie P. Hayes; Reg No. 53,005 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
Signature	<i>Valerie P. Hayes</i>
Date	August 8, 2008



08/08/08

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Woo Sup Shin

GROUP ART UNIT: 3992

SERIAL NUMBER: 99/008,737

EXAMINER: Albert J. Gagliardi

FILED: July 12, 2007

FOR: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE AND
A METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant(s) wish to disclose the following information.

REFERENCES

- The Applicant(s) wish to make of record the references listed on the attached PTO/SB/08. Copies of the listed references are attached, where required, as are either statements of relevancy or any readily available English translations of pertinent portions of any non-English language references.

OTHER DOCUMENTS

- ☐ For the Examiner's convenience, Applicant(s) wish to make of record the following document(s):
- ☐ International Search Report from PCT/_____/_____;
 - ☐ Written Opinion of the ISA from PCT/_____/_____;
 - ☐ Search Report of the _____ patent office in _____;
 - ☐ Official Communication of the _____ patent office in _____;
 - ☐ Office Action of the U.S. Patent Office in _____;
 - ☐ Other: _____.

The above-cited document(s) are not prior art. The above-cited document(s) should not appear on the face of any patent that issues from the above-identified application. Accordingly, these document(s) are not listed on the attached PTO/SB/08. These document(s) are believed to be relevant only in that they identify one or more of the documents cited on the attached PTO/SB/08, or were issued in another application associated with the inventor(s) and/or applicant(s) of this application.

RELATED CASES

- ☐ A list of Applicant's pending applications or issued patents, which may be related to the present application, is identified below:

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certifies that:

- ☐ each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- ☐ no item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after having made reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.

FEES

- ☐ A check is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR § 1.17(p) for taking action under 37 CFR § 1.97(c) or (d).

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or any other fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 8, 2008

Valerie P. Hayes
Valerie P. Hayes
Registration No. 53,005
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone No: 202-496-7500

RECEIVED

AUG 08 2008

CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

PTO/SB/08a/b (05-03)

Approved for use through 05/31/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				Complete if Known	
				Application Number	90/008,737
				Filing Date	July 12, 2007
				First Named Inventor	Woo Sup Shin
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	Albert J. Gagliardi
Sheet	1	of	4	Attorney Docket Number	8733.1930.60

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		Number-Kind Code ² (if known)			
		5,483,082	01/09/1996	Takizawa, et al.	
		3,825,442	07/23/1974	Moore, et al.	
		4,040,073	08/02/1977	Luo	
		4,065,781	12/27/1977	Gutknecht	
		4,330,932	05/25/1982	Morris, et al.	
		4,331,758	05/25/1982	Luo	
		4,335,161	06/15/1982	Luo	
		4,389,481	06/21/1983	Poleshuk, et al.	
		4,404,731	09/20/1983	Poleshuk	
		4,426,407	01/17/1984	Morin, et al.	
		4,461,071	07/24/1984	Poleshuk	
		4,470,667	09/11/1984	Okubo, et al.	
		4,509,066	04/02/1985	Schachter, et al.	
		4,558,340	12/10/1985	Schachter, et al.	
		4,648,691	03/10/1987	Oguchi, et al.	
		4,821,092	04/11/1989	Noguchi	
		5,003,356	03/26/1991	Wakai, et al.	
		5,005,056	04/02/1991	Motai, et al.	
		5,032,883	07/16/1991	Wakai, et al.	
		5,084,905	01/28/1992	Sasaki, et al.	
		5,148,248	09/15/1992	Possin, et al.	
		5,162,901	11/10/1992	Shimada, et al.	
		5,162,933	11/10/1992	Kakuda, et al.	
		5,166,085	11/24/1992	Wakai, et al.	
		5,166,816	11/24/1992	Kaneko, et al.	
		5,182,661	01/26/1993	Ikeda, et al.	
		5,187,604	02/16/1993	Taniguchi, et al.	
		5,200,846	04/06/1993	Hiroki, et al.	
		5,200,847	04/06/1993	Mawatari, et al.	
		5,208,690	05/04/1993	Hayashi, et al.	
		5,229,644	07/20/1993	Wakai, et al.	
		5,233,448	08/03/1993	Wu	
		5,327,001	07/05/1994	Wakai, et al.	
		5,334,859	08/02/1994	Matsuda	
		5,397,719	03/14/1995	Kim, et al.	
		5,414,547	05/09/1995	Matsuo, et al.	
		5,459,596	10/17/1995	Ueda, et al.	
		5,468,987	11/21/1995	Yamazaki, et al.	
		5,483,082	01/09/1996	Takizawa, et al.	
		5,495,121	02/27/1996	Yamazaki, et al.	
		5,518,805	05/21/1996	Ho, et al.	
		5,532,850	07/02/1996	Someya, et al.	
		5,530,568	06/25/1996	Yamamoto, et al.	
		5,541,748	07/30/1996	Ono, et al.	
		5,573,958	11/12/1996	Fukui, et al.	
		5,580,796	12/03/1996	Takizawa, et al.	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
-----------------------	--	--------------------	--

DC:50563230.1

PTO/SB/08a/b (05-03)

Approved for use through 05/31/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				Complete if Known	
				Application Number	90/008,737
				Filing Date	July 12, 2007
				First Named Inventor	Woo Sup Shin
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	Albert J. Gagliardi
Sheet	2	of	4	Attorney Docket Number	8733.1930.60

	5,598,012	01/28/1997	Hebiguchi	
	5,600,461	02/04/1997	Ueda, et al.	
	5,621,556	04/15/1997	Fulks, et al.	
	5,650,636	07/22/1997	Takemura, et al.	
	5,682,211	10/28/1997	Yao, et al.	
	5,726,077	03/10/1998	Kawahata, et al.	
	5,807,772	09/15/1998	Takemura, et al.	
	5,867,242	02/02/1999	Yao, et al.	
	5,917,221	06/29/1999	Takemura	
	5,879,958	03/09/1999	Kawahata, et al.	
	4,624,737	11/25/1986	Shimbo	
	5,153,142	10/06/1992	Hsieh	
	5,166,085	11/24/1992	Wakai, et al.	
	5,210,045	05/11/1993	Possin, et al.	
	5,466,618	11/14/1995	Kim	
	5,580,796	12/03/1996	Takizawa, et al.	
	5,585,290	12/17/1996	Yamamoto, et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶
		Country Code ³ -Number ⁴ -Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY			
		KR 10-0372305	02/03/2003	Samsung Electronics, Ltd.		
		KR 10-0147019	05/14/1998	Samsung Electronics, Ltd.		
		JP 1994-202153	07/22/1994	Fujitsu Inc.		
		JP 1995-730085	01/31/1995	Fuji Xerox Co., Ltd.		
		EP 090 661	12/16/1987	Fujitsu Limited		
		GB 2 004 994	10/22/1980	Philips Electronics and Associated Industries Limited		
		JP 56-135968	10/23/1981	Canon, Inc.		
		JP 58-190042	11/05/1983	Toshiba Corp		
		JP 58-190061	11/05/1983	Toshiba Corp		
		JP 59-136971	08/6/1984	Toshiba Corp		
		EP 0 312 389	12/13/1995	Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha		
		EP 0 449 123	10/02/1991	Sony Corporation		
		EP 0 484 965	05/13/1992	Seiko Epson Corporation		
		EP 0 530 834	04/12/1996	Casio Computer Company Limited		
		EP 0 620 473	10/15/1997	France Telecom		
		GB 2 253 938	09/23/1992	Samsung Electronics Co Limited		
		JP 01270026	10/27/1989	Seiko Epson Corp		
		JP 02180076	07/12/1990	Nec Corp		
		JP 04253027	09/08/1992	Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.		
		JP 04253031	09/08/1992	Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.		
		JP 04295825	10/20/1992	Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.		
		JP 05297410	11/12/1993	Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.		
		JP 06281957	10/07/1994	Toshiba Corp		
		JP 07147410	06/06/1995	Alps Electric Co Ltd.		
		JP 61080226	04/23/1986	Toshiba Corp		

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

DC:50563230.1

PTO/SB/08a/b (05-03)

Approved for use through 05/31/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)				Complete if Known	
				Application Number	90/008,737
				Filing Date	July 12, 2007
				First Named Inventor	Woo Sup Shin
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	Albert J. Gagliardi
Sheet	3	of	4	Attorney Docket Number	8733.1930.60

		JP 62218936	09/26/1987	Seiko Instr & Electronics Ltd.		
		WO 94/13019	06/09/1994	Litton Systems Canada Limited		

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the application number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials ¹	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
		Counterclaimant CPT's Supplemental Responses to Counterclaim Defendant LG.Philips LCD CO., Ltd.'s Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 10-11)	
		CPT's Objections and Responses to LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-10)	
		CPT's Objections and Supplemental Responses to LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.'s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 4,5, and 10)	
		CPT's Objections and Third Supplemental Response to LG.Philips LCD CO. Ltd's First Set of Interrogatories (No.5)	
		LPL's Supplemental Responses to CPT's Interrogatories Nos. 13 and 14	
		Woo Sup Shin Trial Testimony (October 19, 2006)	
		Expert Report of Roger Stewart Re: Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,449	
		Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Gary Rubloff on U.S. Patent Nos. 4,624,737 and 5,825,449	
		Dr. Stuarts Trial Testimony on the Invalidity of the '449 Patent -Reporter's Transcript of Trial Proceedings November 7, 2007	
		Order Re Claim Construction-May 5, 2005	
		LPL's Opening Claim Construction Brief	
		LPL's Responsive Claim Construction Brief	
		CPT's Opening Brief in Support of Their Proposed Claim Constructions	
		CPT's Responsive Claim Construction Brief	
		Second Revised Joint Claim Construction Statement	
		LPL's Responses to CPT's Sixth Set of Requests for Admissions (615-700)	
Examiner Signature			Date Considered

DC:50563230.1

PTO/SB/08a/b (05-03)

Approved for use through 05/31/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				Complete if Known	
				Application Number	90/008,737
				Filing Date	July 12, 2007
				First Named Inventor	Woo Sup Shin
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	Albert J. Gagliardi
Sheet	4	of	4	Attorney Docket Number	8733.1930.60

		CPT's Notice of Motion and Motion for New Trial	
		LPL's Opposition to CPT's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	
		Deposition Transcript of Rubloff (October 31, 2005)	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
-----------------------	--	--------------------	--

DC:50563230.1